**BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM OF OREGON BECC Regular Meeting**

**Thursday, April 20th, 2023**

**3:00 PM – 5:00PM**

**OREGON COMMISSION FOR THE BLIND**

This meeting will be held by videoconference. Attend the meeting using the Zoom.com platform or by telephone.

• Any agenda item may become an action item.

• Any of these items may be a conflict of interest.

AGENDA

1.       Call to order- Chairman Hauth.

a.       Roll call.

b.      Disposition of minutes.

2.       Public comments.

3.       Old Business.

A.      BEP managers files, content and consideration of contained content.

B.      City of Salem- Art Stevenson.

C.     Monthly Site Visits- Director Morris

OAR 585-015-0025 Responsibilities:

(m) Reviewing and documenting operation of vending facilities through on-site visits;

(n) Advising vending facility managers of deficient areas and assisting the vending facility manager in developing performance improvement plans;

(p) Advising vending facility managers as needed on merchandising, inventory control, reporting, and all related business functions;

(v) Conducting audits of vending facilities and vending facility managers;

4. New Business.

A. RSA Feed Back and direction as it pertains to Federal and Set-Side Monies being spent on complaint matters against VFM’s, corrective action plan.

B. The BECC’s allowance to use set-side and Federal monies to engage with a consultant and/or assistant.

C. The unassigned vending debacle needs to end.

D. Corrective action plan and request for documentation relevant to the use of Federal Funds and Set-Aside in consideration of RSA’s guidance.

E. OAH Hearing BECC Advocacy.

F. Improper Interference with unit 2455 Lebanon/Albany/Corvallis Vending Route

G.  ADA compliance- Art Stevenson

5. Chairman/Director Report.

6. Adjournment- Chairman Hauth

**Transcript**

Hauth: Here? Lewanda Miranda? Lewanda, Miranda, I heard you.

Miranda: Lewanda's here.

Hauth: All right, and Carole is here as well. How about Steve Jackson? Steve? Okay, well, I'm here. How about Derrick Stevenson?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Derrick?

A Stevenson: He's not going to be here because of his, he's not going to be here because of his health, Randy.

Hauth: Okay, got it. Okay, well, we have a quorum. So, Steve Jackson, I know I spoke with him yesterday, and I think he's going to be on the line, but I might have to send him a reminder. So, what vendor, what vending facility managers are here? Please identify yourself.

Hawkins: Char Hawkins.

Jackson: I'm here, guys. Steve Jackson's here.

Hauth: All right. So, I heard Char. Hi Char, welcome, and Steve's here.

Jackson: Yeah, I couldn't find that mute button.

Hauth: Who else do we have?

Bird: Jerry Bird.

Hauth: Hey, Jerry, welcome! Who else do we have as vendors? Okay, are there any members of the public that would like to identify themselves?

Babcock: Michael Babcock.

Hauth: Hey, Michael, welcome. Anyone else?

Haseman: Linda Haseman.

Hauth: Hi, Linda! Welcome. All right. Anyone else before we move on? All right, and, Eric, of course you're here, anybody?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes, anybody joining you, Eric?

Morris: Not today, Randy.

Hauth: Okay, thank you. Art?

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Yes.

A Stevenson: Okay, I want to, as you know, Randy, I have Covid. I want to make a motion that for this meeting, Lewanda Miranda serve as Vice Chair because I'm not capable of doing it.

Hauth: All right, motion’s made. Do we have a second?

Webber: I second it.

Hauth: Okay, any discussion around it? Okay, yea, or nay, Art Stevenson?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda Miranda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Steve Jackson?

Unknown Person: Do you hear Steven?

Hauth: Steve? And then Carole Webber?

Webber: Yea.

Hauth: And I'll accept that as yea, as well. Okay, so.

Jackson: I say yea.

Hauth: Dis--, dis--, okay, thank you, Steve. Disposition of minutes. So, do we have a motion to approve the minutes as recorded?

A Stevenson: I make that motion.

Webber: I make the motion the minutes be approved.

Hauth: Okay, motion's been made by Art Stevenson. Do we have a second?

Webber: I second it.

Miranda: Second.

Hauth: Okay, Carole seconded it. Yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yea.

Hauth: Steve? Steve?

Unidentifiable: That's Randy, isn’t it, talking, leading the committee. [inaudible] morning, red.

Hauth: Hello? Okay, somebody's off of mute, I believe. Anyway, so, Steve, I, and I'll vote yea.

Jackson: I'm sorry, yes. I vote yes, it's just...

Hauth: Okay.

Jackson: I'm outside and there's lots of noise around me. So.

Hauth: Yeah, I, I, I understand. Thank you, and then I'll vote yes as well, so motion passes. Thank you everybody. What do we have next, Carole?

Webber: Public comment is next. Public.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Okay, public comment. Yes, Art?

A Stevenson: That is our friend that's doing the lobby work for us, that's off mute. And I'm having a brain fart about his name, so, that's who was off mute. I hope they're on mute now. It sounds like they may be, okay.

Hauth: Public comment?

A Stevenson: Go ahead. Chair Hauth?

Miranda: I'd like to make a, I'd like to make a public comment, Chairman Hauth.

Hauth: Yes, Lewanda, go ahead.

Miranda: Okay, it's about the, the 1099, and I've had a lot of managers contacting me about this. So, late January, I received my first 1099, and it was for, the amount was like 800 and something, oh it was 900 and something and I didn't agree with it, because my records only show that I got, like, $132 for vacation pay. And so, I think of 800 something was something that I had received for 2022, or 2021, and, and had already claimed it. But anyway, so I waited and waited and waited, hoping that I'd get, you know, a corrected one, but I never did, and so then I had to go ahead and claim my taxes. And so, then I received a corrected one on April 13th, but I'd already put my taxes in and, and got a refund on my state, actually. And so, that was on April 13th, that well, the corrected one said “zero”. And so, I talked to my accountant, and so she said that I'd have to have it amended and whatever, and so of course I'd have to pay for that. And then on April 19th, the day after I was to have my taxes all done and everything, I get another one, and then it says the same thing as that first one. And so, it's just all over the place, and, and it's really confusing. And you know, if, if we have to have it amended then we have to pay for that, which I think is unfair. And also, we don't get an itemized statement to know what it is, so, so, we can't argue the point, you know, like, like I don't believe that I got that much for 2022, but I can't argue the point because we don't get an itemized statement. And so we, we went through this last year and we couldn't get an itemized statement. I talked to DAS then, and asked for an itemized statement, and they said, no, that we weren't going to get one. So, we just went ahead and, and claimed that then, and now, I, I believe that we're claiming the same 800 and something dollars again. And I just think that it's very unfair. I mean, who does business like that?

Hauth: Well.

Miranda: Having to claim something that we can't prove whether we, you know, got it or not? And it's not just me. I've heard it from several different managers, that, that they're, that they're being, that they're having to claim things that, that they didn't receive.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes. Lewanda, are you done?

Miranda: Yeah. Yes.

Hauth: Okay, well, I just wanted to tell ya, you know, I'm sorry about that. I know Linda Haseman is going to make a public comment around this, as well, but just real quickly, it reminds me of an old adage. The accounting department at OCB is as messed up as Hogan's goat, you know, and it seems that it's been a pattern of practice to make a lot of mistakes, and there's been accountants that have come and gone, and we've had some issues recently with a number of things, you know, money is being categorized under different codes and no information, and I mean, I guess, my first, before I turn this over to Art for his comment and then move on, my first thought is, why in the world wasn't there communication provided to the managers to this, right? So, anyway, Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: I make a motion. Can you hear me?

Hauth: Yeah, we're not done with public comment yet, though, Art, if you could hold.

Hawkins: We’re doing public comment.

A Stevenson: No, no, Randy, Randy, I got to make the motion now!

Hauth: Sure, go ahead. Go ahead.

A Stevenson: Okay, Okay. I move that OCB comply with the laws of our program and provide itemized statements in our 1099. That's program relevant information. The law requires it and damn it, they should comply by the law. That's my motion.

Hauth: Okay. So, motion's been made. Do we have a second?

Miranda: Second.

Hauth: Okay, any discussion around that? Yea, or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yea.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes. Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yea with the comment that we did request from the agency an itemized accounting of the 1099, and it was provided. It took a long, long time to get it. But I feel your pain any other public comment?

Haseman: Linda Haseman.

Hawkins: Chair Hauth, it's Char.

Hauth: Go ahead, Linda.

Haseman: I, I want to make sure that everybody realizes there's different, couple of years ago, I think, about two or three now, the federal government came out with different 1099s. They used to be 1099, there, there's a whole bunch of 1099s, but they used to be all a particular type. And then, they start categorizing them a little bit more, and a 1099 NEC, so, up in the right-hand corner, as it, it would say, 1099-NEC, means non-employee compensation, and that was another error that was sent out to everybody. Not only were some of their accounting incorrect, so, was the type of form, you guys are not employee, non-employee compensation that would mean you’re subcontractors to the agency, which is inaccurate. So, part of the correction that had to come out is that you are 1099, you should have been issued 1099-MISC. Meaning miscellaneous. Some type of miscellaneous pay was presented to you, and exactly like Lewanda was saying, we had to, as soon as we got ours, Randy's was very clearly inaccurate. We questioned it. We asked for itemized statements of the previous one and the corrected one. We finally just got them, I believe, last week. What I was concerned about and continue to be concerned about is not only the county practices and principles and best practices of those, I'm extremely concerned about the lack of communication. We thought we were on the, the island by ourselves, that Randy was the only one having this issue. Never once do I know of that the agency came out and notified the managers as a group to be looking at their 1099 and what to expect. So, that created the whole situation that Lewanda is talking about, about extension, we had to file extensions, because we knew what was, at least we knew what was going on with ours, but the agency should have came out and let people know that they were in the process of reviewing these, and that there could be some changes, and what to do or expect for their tax purposes. Lack of communication is unacceptable. Thank you.

Hauth: Thank you, Linda. Anybody else comment?

Hawkins: Chair Hauth, this is Char.

Hauth: Yes, hi, Char. Go ahead.

Hawkins: Well, I'll just to quickly say I had the same issue. The first, the first copy I didn't even get, because the state has my wrong address. The second copy I got was the blank one. I really like that one. I think I'll keep it. The third one said, I owed 15, or that I was given 15,000. I can't figure out, I can figure out part of it. I can't figure out the other, and the meanwhile we filed an extension, because I hadn't even received anything. And so, that was $99 to file an extension with the, with the [inaudible] Block advisor, so, it's really frustrating. And now we still have to wait to figure it out. So, that’s all I…

Hauth: Yeah. And you know, thank you, Char. The, the other thing is, when managers make mistakes or are late, and reporting or filing they're assessed $50, you know, so, that's a concern.

Hawkins: Oh yeah. Yeah.

Hauth: Eric, just let me ask you real quick, was there any reason why the vendors were not provided information on the 1099s, and what was going on?

Morris: Well, Randy, I, I, this is news to me. I knew that there was at least one person. I think you talked about having issues with yours. That was the only one I was aware of. So. I'm taking good notes to follow up with accounting to find out what the story was, and I'm going to recap you guys so we can figure out why, why it did happen. I am no tax expert by any means, but I think I, if it was affecting a large portion of the managers, I thought I would have heard that. But I'll get some answers.

Hauth: Yeah, okay.

Haseman: We'll send reminders.

Hauth: Thank you.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes, Art?

A Stevenson: I think, because I've been listening to this, mine, mine came to me only a “zero”. But I think OCB is counting the telemetry payment that they're making on your behalf for that year, that free year that they're doing. That's my suspicion on what they're doing, but that's not, that doesn't have anything to do with our income. It has to do with OCB paying for something that they should be paying for anyway.

Hauth: Yeah, thank you, Art. And Eric, if you could, if you can make sure and ensure that, you know, the managers receive the information and what happened, and, you know, get, get caught up to speed. It should have been, it should have been handled already by accounting, or, you know, through your directorship office, or, but you're saying you weren't even aware of it. So, that's, that's a concern. So, any other public comment? So, I want to make a quick public comment as a vending facility manager, right? I know, I'm the Chair of the committee and you guys are board members. But I'm also a vending facility manager. I just, as, as professionally as I can, I want to touch on a few items. I think the first and foremost is the recent action by Teresa Fields [sic], I believe, under the direction of Eric Morris, to contact Canteen and Timber Valley Vending, I believe is their name, Chuck Batson, and to direct that Steve Gordon is no longer in the program and that commissions assigned to him be directed to the Commission. Concerning in a couple ways because of what was going on with Steve. I don't think it's a secret, and I'm sure Steve, even though he's a private person, would want this brought forward. Steve was in ICU, and for three weeks, and the day after the agency found this out, they take an action like that. I think that's despicable. I don't know who is behind it, but that's, that's lower than I could imagine this agency doing. Now with that said, do I know all the particulars going on between the agency and Steve. No, I don't. However, any manager is owed due process, and they're owed disciplinary actions if they're not in compliance, right? There's different levels. But I'm just so ashamed of that action, and that needs to be addressed and needs to be rectified. And when we reached out to Dacia Johnson, she said, oh, wait! I'll check into it. Oh, it's confidential. Well, it was so confidential that Steve Gordon didn't even know, right, the impact, the person didn't even know. And it was so confidential that only the subcontractors could understand that. So, I think that's hogwash. Those are the kind of things where the Elected Committee and the blind vendors are left out of communications like that, that again need to change. I also want to touch base, and I know we're going to talk about these during the meeting, want to touch base about the dispute resolution process that Lewanda and I were involved in with Rehabilitation Services Administration. We put forward a couple of questions to RSA, they convened a dispute resolution, requesting the agency and several members of the committee to participate, which we did. A few of those questions were about unassigned vending and why does the agency have a bigger vending route than any of the blind vendors? The other was about money being spent to battle the vending facility managers in an amount of over a $150,000 a year. The agency uses those monies to wrongfully from what Rehabilitation Services Administration says, you can't use federal money, and you can't use set-aside to litigate or to battle against managers, right? But as most of you remember and recall and would agree with, the agency has basically administered this program through the AG's office and just thrown money at them to have them do their bidding to try and keep the blind vendors in line, who maybe aren't happy with the way that the agency is administering the program. So, I can tell you if you've read the emails or not, RSA came out and said, you can't do that. You can't use those monies, and the agency came back a week later through Eric Morris, which I assume was provided through the AG's advisement and Dacia Johnson, said, oh, we disagree with you. We think we can under a different section of the Code of Federal Regulations, which clearly doesn't look like that's accurate from the analysis that was done. But that's another thing that I think really has to change. It's easy to have those kind of taxpayer monies to be able to spend to battle managers if it's not coming out of your own pocket and your own budget. But you know, people need, people in oversight positions who should be watching this program, this small program, that has six staff members for 14 managers, and spends $150,000, and has the AG review our meetings, these meetings, I mean, that's ridiculous. So, that needs to change. But I did want to bring those forward as my public comment, so I'm sorry if I'm frustrated, but again, these recent actions are not what a state agency who's serving the blind of Oregon should be doing, and I blame the leadership for that, including Mr. Scott Mccullen [sic] who is the Chair, the sighted Chair of the committee and the Oregon Commission for the Blind Commissioners. He talks a lot about, and smiles a lot about SWEP, and smiles a lot about somebody hitting a ball who's blind, but when these kind of issues come up he turns and runs, and that's got to stop. Thank you. Anybody else?

A Stevenson: Nice job.

Haseman: Can Linda Haseman make one more comment, please?

Hauth: Yeah, just a quick one, Linda. And then we're moving on.

Haseman: Yeah, I just wanted to remind everybody when we went through the House and Senate and did a House Bill, and collaboratively, I think it took, took 10 different drafts, and then everybody sat around and did rules and fiscal impact and all that, as a reminder, even Gretchen Merrill has it on the record, and was, that, that House Bill was supposed to be program friendly. It was supposed to create flexibility. It was supposed to create growth in this program, and at this point in time, I'm struggling to see any of those happen and I do know for a fact that at one point Keny-Guyer sat at a table and indicated that there shouldn't be one size that fits all, and that seems to be what's trying to happen. I spoke with a licensed blind vendor yesterday who screamed from the rooftop almost, that her, her route wasn't viable to take the 50 machines, finally had to resign. I spoke to her recently, and she indicated that she'd still be in this program if the agency wouldn't have bullied her out. Now that's telltaling [sic] to me. And the other thing tied to that is, not only was she bullied out, in my opinion and hers, it's not just mine it's hers. She was also later informed that it was put on the record that the director felt that it was also not a viable route, only after she was gone from the program. Doesn't [inaudible] growth to me, doesn't speak flexibility to me. Doesn't speak program friendly to me. I'm sorry, it doesn't. Thank you.

Hauth: Thank you very much. Okay. Anybody else before we move on? Okay, thank you everybody, and thank you for those who speak up who sometimes don't because your voices count and they're important. So, what's next, Carole?

Webber: Okay, we're going to old business. The first one is BEP managers’ files, content and consideration of contained content.

Hauth: Thank you very much. Yeah. I, I asked for this to be on the agenda. I can share with you why and hopefully Director Morris will have some answers to this. So, you know, each one of us has a file that the BEP holds on us. We have a VR file where vocational rehabilitation holds a file on us and documents, you know, different items and issues, and the BEP does, as well. So, what I can tell you is that several years ago, when I was issued a step one and step two discipline and step two revised discipline, the agency pushing me out into the self-service initiative, and actually trying to take steps toward my license, because I guess they felt I wasn't moving fast enough, you know, in the, you know, with all the health issues I was experiencing, and Covid still being an impact. And you know, the, the list goes on and on. But with that said, they issued discipline. And so, when I started to ask Eric Morris, Director Morris about the retention of that discipline within my file, I believe he came back, and I'm trying to summarize here, it may not be word for word, but I believe Director Morris, and he can correct that if it's wrong, but he came back and said, well, I think we would have to follow state retention and then maybe we could hold it within your file for seven years. And so, when I received a copy of my file, I come to find out that it wasn't with, even within my file, right? Wasn't even in my file. So, when I reached out to the agency to let them know that it wasn't in my file, they said, oh, that's a mistake. So, we're going to put it back in your file because we forgot to do it, which I felt was kind of a retaliatory action. But then what, that, following up on that, what I can tell you is when I requested that documents through Disability Rights Oregon, that chronicled my work with them, dealing with my disability, on my arthritis on my hip and a chronological event summary that chronicled my efforts and my action working forward through the self-service initiative, even though I didn't agree with it, I was doing my best to work forward in a successful and healthy manner, and you know, I asked for those to be included in my document. And Director Morris came back and said, nope, we're not going to do that. And when I requested why, Director Morris said, no, no--, nothing, no response, so... I guess my question is, if, if there's anybody else that has any questions or concerns around their files, then [inaudible] know that's, that's the time to talk about it. But my question, Director Morris, is, you know, how do you determine what goes in the file, and what is the grounds that you would deny a vending facility manager to request and include and support that documents go within their file, such as the ones I provided you?

Morris: Yeah, Randy, thanks for that. I think you summed it up pretty well. The, the personnel file and the facility file, and all those kind of things, there's a very outlined structure that RSA provides around what goes in those files and what doesn't. It actually just says, what goes in those files, there's not what doesn't go in there. But we're not, we're not accepting things from people to file on their file. This is documents that we get that we file. You're, you're more than welcome to keep and retain your own information, and I'll send you guys out the punch list of the things that are supposed to be in the, the personnel file if you'd like, because it's very specific. So, that's, that's my thought process on that, if people were just sending stuff, hey I need you to put...

Hauth: Yeah, well, I'm, not a, I'm not an employee of the state and the BEP file, the experts that I've spoken to, including Deanna Jones, who works for Rehabilitation Services Administration and is an attorney and does advocacy work, said there shouldn't be a reason that BEP file doesn't include something a vendor… So, if you can send me out the guidance that you relied on when you denied that, I'd appreciate it, but it has to be specific to BEP. I don't want some standard state employment policy because that's not who I am. So.

Morris: No, no, you're, you're right, Randy. And…

Haseman: [Inaudible] this is Linda Haseman.

Morris: Sorry, stepping on somebody.

Hauth: Go ahead.

Morris: Me?

Hauth: Go ahead.

Morris: Yeah, so, the, the RSA monitoring guide is specific to BE. I'm sure that Deanna probably helped write it back in the day. So, yeah, I'll push it out to you.

Hauth: Okay, thank you.

Haseman: It's Linda Haseman.

Hauth: Go ahead, Linda.

Haseman: Yeah, coming from the world of human resources, I can tell you that even if we were to be talking personnel files, which we should not be talking personnel files, because these individuals are not state employees. But if we were, individuals could put things in their file, they could add rebuttals to progressive discipline, they could add a variety of things. They could come and look at their file at any point in time, ask for copies of items within their file, etc. So, unless something significantly changed in the personnel realm, I haven't heard where employees can't be adding items to their files, such as rebuttals to discipline, etc. I find that kind of interesting. So, might have to start digging into some state personnel laws. Thank you.

Hauth: Yeah, thank you, Linda. Okay. Anybody else?

A Stevenson: Randy, Randy.

Hauth: Yes, Art?

A Stevenson: Randy? Randy?

Hauth: Yes.

A Stevenson: Okay, I want to make a motion. Okay, because the Elected Committee is supposed to be informed when the agency is in the middle of, of, of, of, of this disciplinary garbage. And we’re supposed to be advocates for our blind licensed managers. So, my motion is that whenever a progressive disciplinary document goes out from a, for a manager, that the Elected Committee is copied on it every single time. That's program relevant information that we're entitled to. That's my motion.

Hauth: Okay. Okay. So, do I have a second on that? Do I have a second on that?

Miranda: Second.

Hauth: Any discussion around it? Okay, yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yea.

Hauth: And Steve? Steve Jackson?

A Stevenson: He's having trouble off mute.

Hauth: I'll, I'll, I’ll vote, I'll vote yea as well. So, the motion passes. Okay, what do we have next on the agenda?

A Stevenson: Randy, Randy, Randy, Randy, Randy, Randy!

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: Okay, now that that passed, Director Morris, okay, the reason why this is a major administrative decision, is because this program, that affects the overall program, when you are doing what you do, okay, it affects the whole program because every manager should have the right to advocacy, and that's our job, the Elected Committee. So, I don't want no canned statement this time, this does not fit active participation. Because it does, and if, I don't want this garbage pulled on me anymore. You think you can get away with the stuff you do by making that canned statement and from now on, every time we make a motion, we're going to explain why it's a major administrative decision or policy making, and, and is a part of the law, and we're, our responsibilities as members of the Elected Committee. Thank you, Randy.

Jackson: I second that motion. Thank you.

Hauth: Yeah, thank you, Art. And clear, yeah, clearly within the, clearly within the disciplines, it also informs that the committee can be there to advocate, and so, anyway, I think it's pretty appropriate, and things need to be, you know, brought to the committee and be made aware of, I mean… I'm just going to back up a second. Hopefully, this matter is resolved but to, to terminate, or to take Steve's vending away from him without even the committee knowing is ridiculous. So, is that not a major programmatic issue? Please. So, what next, Carole?

Webber: City of Salem with Art Stevenson.

Hauth: All right. City of Salem. Well, you've been kind of quiet this meeting, Art. So now is your chance!

A Stevenson: Okay. Thanks, I appreciate it.

Hauth: I don't mean to make you laugh when you're not feeling well.

Jackson: You're off mute too, buddy.

A Stevenson: Yeah, Yeah, okay. Well, I'm gonna make this brief because of I'm not feeling very well. Number one, I was notified by our director that I was violating my operating agreement concerning the vending that was issued to me, the police station and the, okay, call center. Okay, I have not violated my operating agreement at all, and I'm really mad that our director keeps bullying people and telling lies. Okay, I've done everything according to the law and the rules and regulations of our program and I, I don't do those kind of things, but the agency does on a consistent basis. And it, it, it, it just, it just irks me and I'm 67 years old and, and my brother isn't at this meeting, because he's so stressed out right now. He spent three days in the hospital because of this program and how stressed out he is because the agency is forcing him to do things that aren't right. In fact, they're a violation of the law because there is no benefit to him as a blind licensed manager, and as a matter of fact, it's going to be taking more money from the program. Got to take a breath here, guys. From the program, so, I have two motions make right now. I make a motion that the illegal 50 machine mandate ends here and now, because it doesn't benefit the managers. What benefits the managers is if they’re allowed to decide how they are going to row, how they're going to run their business to make the most money that they can. And right now, the agency doesn't get that, they don't get that we're smart businesspeople, and we know how to run our businesses to maximize the amount of money we make. So, my motion is that the 50 machine mandate, which we never got to vote on anyway, ends today.

Hauth: Okay, so that's your, make, make it, just, just make a clear motion, and we'll move on to this.

A Stevenson: I did. I moved that the 50 machine, the, the illegal 50 machine mandate ends today.

Hauth: Okay, so that motion has been made and there's been conversation around it. Do we have a second on that? Do we have a second on that?

Miranda: I'll second it.

Hauth: Okay. Any conversation around it? I just want to say real quickly, you know, I agree with a lot of what Art's saying. I can go back to 2017, when we were working what we thought was collaboratively with the agency in good faith to try and make, like Linda Haseman said earlier, a bill that was progressive and flexible and program friendly like AAG Merrill identified, but soon became, in my opinion, hijacked by the agency to twist and turn it to, for whatever their purposes are. You know, sitting across from that time Representative Keny-Guyer, she said, you know, Randy, I supported grandfathering all the current vendors in, but the agency wouldn't have any of it. So, that's on the record, you know. That we know what was going on behind the scenes. The agency was running around kind of undermining to try and maybe age us out. I'm not sure. It sure looks like it. You're talking about the bullying of Lin Jaynes. You're talking about taking away a route from somebody who is in ICU. You're talking about other people like Gordon Smith and Shawn Bever who have left. I mean, does the agency not want the program? Do they not want us in the program? It's just ridiculous to me. Most of us are not spring chickens. We're almost all over 60 years old who are faced with this, and it's just, you know, it's just disheartening to understand that the agency is head down and rear end up go, go, go and knowing if you look at the cost involved with this plan, the costs are going through the roof. If you look at the profits, they're dropping and the legal around this, the contention of program contention, it just doesn't make sense. And if you're talking about civil rights, a blind vendor should have the right to choose what is supposed to be the most profitable method for them. Right? Do, do the agency control their subcontractors? Do they make them fill out time logs like they do us, treating blind vendors, adults like little children? And do they make the private companies do a certain, you know, amount of machines? I mean it's just, it, yeah, it's, it's ridiculous. But with that said, we have a, any other discussion before we vote? Okay, hearing none, yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yea.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes, I'm here. Sorry. Can you hear me?

Hauth: And that was a yes?

Jackson: Yes, I'm sorry.

Hauth: Okay, and I'll vote yes as well, so, the motion passes. Yep, no worries.

Jackson: Keep having a hard time getting the mute to activate.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth? Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes, yes?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: Okay, Eric, Eric. This is a major administrative decision. This 50-machine mandate is going to make it where managers are going to make less money, less money, Eric, than what they were before. And less money means less set-aside to run our program. And so, that's why this is a major administrative decision, and I don't want any answer, this is not a major administrative decision, because it is. The money we're losing because of your 50-machine mandate is a lot of money, and I know it. And, and we could prove it, all of us. Thank you, Randy.

Hauth: Yep. Thank you. Yeah, clear, clearly, I, I would, I would concur it’s a major administrative decision. It deals with budgetary items which the Elected Committee is supposed to have, you know, participation in, not simply a cursory, you know, letting us know after the fact. And so, there's a lot of additional cost and losses in people's profitability. So, yeah, clearly so, it is. And it impacts at least seven managers in the program. So, okay, what do we have next?

Webber: It's monthly site visits, with Director Morris, and there's several different things on here, the first one being responsibility, and it's got, we have a list, like, three or four of them. Well, no, there's more than that.

Hauth: Okay.

Webber: So, so, I guess, Eric wants, you'd want to start…

Hauth: Yeah, that's, that's great. Thank, thank you, Carole. I know Director Morris asked for this to be on the agenda. So, the floor is yours.

Morris: Yeah, thanks, Randy. I think this comes out of one of the email conversations we're having back and forth about monthly site visits and asking you guys for feedback on the form for doing site visits on vending locations. So, we kind of went down the rabbit hole a little bit into the whole idea of what, you know, why we doing them at all. Should we be doing something else? And so, I put these clips from the, the rules in there, just talking about responsibilities, that talks about what our responsibilities are for this type of thing. So, that's why I wanted it on the agenda and just wanted to hear from the Elected Committee about the visitation form. If there was something we should be adding to it or subtracting to it. I know, Randy, you were talking about [inaudible] negotiations around, around this topic, so I'd like to hear your thoughts on it.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Well, so, yeah, go ahead, Art. Go ahead.

A Stevenson: Oh, okay, number one, I'll say I don't like it at all, and neither does the Elected Committee because we all voted to reject, I repeat, reject the rules. And of course, we all know the story. OCB ramrodded these rules through RSA, through the Commissioners, without giving a darn about the law, they didn't care one little bit. Oregon law says, that the agency can only, only do rules that ensure the proper and satisfactory operation of the vending facility and for the benefit of the blind licensed managers. We tried to get language that said if there was a problem, the agency had the right to call the manager and do a site visit. This is good policy, that was good policy that we wanted to put into the rules, but it abided by the law, as the law, and did it appropriately. This monthly business, this monthly meeting, I can say takes away from my time doing my job, and I already know how to do my job. I've been in this program since 1986. I know how to take care of my sites, clean them when I go in and visit my different machines, etc., etc. This is a cumbersome, a [sic] inappropriate rule and I wanted it to be a good rule. But now it's a sucky rule. And quite frankly, Mr. Chair, I haven't got time to have somebody come in and go around with them to check all of my stuff, to go through my, my, you know, just to look at everything. This is a ridiculous rule. It needs to be revisited and done right and comply with the law which means it benefits the licensed manager, because current rules do not do that. Oh, God. Thanks, Mr. Chair.

Hauth: Thank you. Thank you, Art. So, you know, on, on the heels of that conversation, I, you know, want to, so, I want to ask Eric a couple of things. Like you know, how, I guess thinking about it, my thought is, does the agency really believe this is good use of staff time, even if, even if they have the right to do it. And even if, you know, whatever motivates that whole thing, is it really a good use of staff time versus going out, and you know, creating new opportunities and facilities for blind vendors? And you know when there's six staff members to 14 vendors, maybe there's a, you know, maybe they're scratching their head trying to figure out what to do. And so they come up with these type of things. But I don't know. I just don't see a real benefit to it. So, I'm trying to understand what the benefit would be from you, Eric, to this, how you believe your staff, or you, could assist the managers who have been in the program for, you know, decades. How you could all help vendors be more profitable or run their businesses better. I certainly, personally, I don't, I certainly don't think it's bad to have maybe an annual review where the manager sits down with the BEP consultant or the director, whatever it, and talk about, you know, talk about their business and talk about their needs, and you know, whatever it would be. But trying to do just a standard template site visit that lists all those things that you put together, I think again, is a waste of time. But how could, how can you help, or how can your staff help the managers through this?

Morris: Thanks, Randy. I think the thing that, that, and maybe a lot of the Elected Committee doesn't understand, is that we, we've been doing this for quite a while now in live operations; cafeteria, snack bar, espresso stands, those kind of locations. Where the, some of the real benefit you get from these visits is the, if you travel between locations and it's, I, it's kind of hard, I think, for sometimes for people to understand. If you're not, you know, you, each, each of our managers is running their own business. They're running their own operation, and they're focused on that. The advantage of going from location to location as one of my staff people or myself, is you see the things that are really working well at, like, Art's location. Art's doing something, it's just, you know, a, a good example, is he's selling, I'm trying to think of something, Snicker bars, which is like the number one candy bar seller. And you go to another location and that next manager isn't selling that, by saying, hey, this is really working well for somebody else, they can leverage that kind of, you know, shared group think, and what's actually working in some places, and what isn't working in some places, to really give that kind of coaching and, and information that sometimes isn't shared across different enterprises between different companies. And of course, they're also going to find things that aren't going well, that people miss, and I think everybody that's been doing whatever they've been doing for a month or for years or decades, there's always an opportunity to be reminded of something, to do something a little more efficiently. Those are the kind of things that we've been doing for a long time. And of course, when people have something that's not going right, and they, they get caught on it, and “caught’s” the, that, that's how they feel about it. But when we point things out that aren't going well, if, you know, for example, one of the tough things for all of us is looking at code dating and stuff like that, helping coming up with different mechanisms to, to find that information, auditing processes within your own, and you know, your own company itself, setting up those standards and practices. Those are all the kind of things we're looking to do, I think for, like, vending routes, of course, it's going to be tough to come in and take a, take, to take the time and sit down and do a whole organization. So, my thought was, you'd come in and do a sampling each month of a person's vending machines, and say, you have 50 vending machines. You go out and take a look at anywhere between five and 10, and I haven't done the statistics on the analysis of what would be an effective sampling and take a look. Are the machines clean? Are they well stocked? Are they, you know, are they in a good spot still, you know, is the, is the area clean? Is there still people around the machines type of deal? So, that's the kind of thing we're looking to provide feedback to the managers on. It's not a gotcha thing, and I've, I've been in organizations that totally use this kind of a process as a gotcha a lot, and that's not what the intent is behind it.

Hauth: Well, and thank you, thank you, Eric, for that. I will say that thinking back a year or so ago, when the agency did a site visit on Steve Jackson, I believe he can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe he was written up for having bad dated chips, and I believe he was even issued some kind of discipline, if I remember right. And then I know when several other site visits were conducted on Steve, he raised the concern of, like, you know, why, and you know, I think he even identified to me one time that you had come through and made some recommendations and suggestions. He was wondering why he was the one being put upon, and I know Art in an advocacy effort brought this up. And so now I'm seeing the agency, gosh, maybe we should do more of them, but it's just, you know, I don't know why the agency doesn't do this on their subcontractors, who they're supposed to, you know, their approved subcontractors, and I know when I asked you, you said, no, we really don't. We're here to help the blind vendors or something along those lines. But I think again, it's kind of, you know, self-serving to just focus on the blind vendors and not the other duties and responsibilities that the agency has including the subcontractors.

Jackson: Can I?

Hauth: Why aren't you doing that? So.

Jackson: Yeah. Can I make a comment, Randy?

Haseman: Linda Haseman [inaudible].

Hauth: Go ahead, Linda.

Haseman: Yeah. Also, I heard that Mr. Morris say about stocking and that kind of stuff. Nowadays, vending machines are ran off telemetry and off of the usage of what is happening in each building, I can tell you, for a fact, sugary products are not selling. And so, if you were to stock each row of sugary products, and we happen to have most of Randy's down at the bottom, they don't sell, and so when we first started out, we were putting eight products, because that's what it is allowed per row, and we were losing products. So, if Mr. Morris or one of his staff members goes out and looks at some of the machines, he might say, or they might say, hey, those look a little low, let's tell him about that. Well, we already know that because it's telemetry, and there's a purpose and a reason for why those are low, because they don't sell, and we don't want to lose product. So, I, I need to understand this, for not only Randy's route and Randy, and being a person that's helped him set that all up. But for all licensed blind managers of what these individuals are going to actually come in and think that they're going to tell different than what the telemetry’s already telling us. Just it, it does feel like a gotcha. I think it goes back to lack of trust. There's a good reason for lack of trust. Steve Gordon situation recently. The Lin Jaynes situation. The fact that, let's see, Steve Jackson today ended up having a microwave that was brought to him that didn't work. I'm not so sure I have a lot of trust in staff members understanding what's going on in these units, even about equipment and bringing working equipment. So, just expressing my concerns, and not sure what, what. Oh, and then, what, is it going to go in the file, and then the manager doesn't know it's in the file, and can't rebut what's going in the file? I, I, I just, I'm not sure what's happening here, but it sure doesn't feel program friendly.

Hauth: Thank you, Linda. Anybody else?

Jackson: Can you hear me, Randy? Steve Jackson.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: So, yeah, Steve, Steve. Let me hear from Steve, Art, and then I'll hear from you. Go ahead, Steve.

Jackson: Okay. I just wanted to kind of confirm what you said. And yeah, I did get a visit from Mr. Ben Lane. He showed up when he said he wasn't going to show up without me, but then he did anyways. And then he went back and told Eric, and then he wrote an email to me saying that I'm in trouble because he found products that were outdated. Well, that happens sometimes, you know? It’s not something that needs…

Hauth: Did it, did it feel like a got ya moment?

Jackson: It did. And nowadays, nowadays he wants to be friendly and, and check on stuff, he keeps asking me, Ben kept asking me, what can we do to help my business? And I keep telling him, you need to tell me how many people are in the building, and I told him that for six months now, and then I find one of my curtain, that one of my doors keeps getting left open. Somebody comes in that has the code, but then they leave a different door open, and he disregards that and acts like it's no big deal, and I got security issues, and he blows two circuits when he tries to turn on a microwave that's made in 1974 or something. It's like, I don't think he knows what to do to stay busy, because he's not, no offense to anybody, but he's not helping my business. If that's the purpose of it, it's not happening.

Hauth: Yeah, thank you, Steve, and I don't want to get Ben in trouble. I like Ben, and my interactions with Ben have been really good, but that doesn't mean that in every instance he, you know, has performed well, but I just want to say, say that. So, he'll probably be in trouble now, anyway. Go ahead, Art.

Bird: Randy, Randy?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth? Chair Hauth?

Bird: Oh.

Hauth: Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Okay.

Hauth: Yes.

A Stevenson: Been in the, been in the program since 1980, ‘86, everybody. Ran a successful vending route for years now. I know how to run my business. I know how to find out about new products. I know what my people want, I know what they need. I know what sells and what doesn't sell, and these monthly site visits are ridiculous, ridiculous!

Bird: Randy?

A Stevenson: I talk to Lewanda on a regular basis, regular basis, and she tells me what's working good for her. If I'm not using it, I look at all new products that come in from Vistar, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

Hauth: Okay.

A Stevenson: The only reason that, that OCB should be messing with us is if they get a complaint from the people we're serving, the only reason. Otherwise, we should be left to do what we already know how to do, and not… Anyways, thanks, Randy.

Hauth: Yeah. Yeah, thank you, Art. I did hear, I did hear Jerry wanted to say something. I wanted to say real quickly, most blind vendors take a lot of pride in their businesses, and they already do those things. They look at other, they, they look at their associates, they participate in national trainings and local trainings. So, you know, they know that if they're selling products that are selling, they're making money, right? This is a profit-driven business. The profits we make and the losses we make are ours to own. So, everything I know, most blind vendors do everything they can to make a, make a dime, you know, or make a dollar. So, again I just, I believe it's the wrong focus and the wrong use of time, you know. But that's my, that's my initial thoughts on it. But, Jerry, go ahead.

Bird: Yeah, can you hear me?

Hauth: Yes.

Bird: Okay. Yeah, I'd like to make a comment about that. I'm a little confused because we all went through training to get licensed. Now mine was like a six-month training. I mean, and then I had to go into different units and, and help them run it to get some knowledge and experience before I could, before I got licensed. And my point being is, we only, we have a full-time trainer, gets paid a full wage. And to me, it's a, if they think they need to go out and babysit us and see if we're selling the Snickers or not, which maybe none of our people want one. I don't want to be treated like a kid. I mean, I was trained, and they're not doing a very good training. They, they have you look at some stuff, and I mean, it isn't. it's a very small, I don't know what the one trainer does, or have your, go to a Halley [sic] and, and read a few things, and you know, and then they want to, think we can't run our place. Well, that's on them. They need to start training their managers that know how to buy product, know how, what product to buy. I mean, it'd be an In-Service thing that we should talk about In-Service. They want to just keep napping at us and take our rights as, as a blind self-service. I mean a blind employment. I mean I have a, I'm self-employed, supposed to be. That's why I got in the program, because I wanted to be the boss. I didn't want to be told what to do like I had most of my life. And so, that's what I done, and I run it successful. I was the first one that run a vending route and I filled all my machines for 10, 15 years because I wanted to and I could make money. The things have changed because of buying power and all kinds of stuff, healthy products, cold food products that we cannot provide the quality. So, my point being, this agency keeps wanting to take little things away from us and be like our stepdad, or you know, the evil stepdad, I think I would call it, and, and, and think that we're not smart enough as blind people to run stuff ourselves. Well, that's why we're in that, and that, that really bothers me that you'd put blind people down like that after you’re supposed to train them. Thank you.

Hauth: Thank you, Jerry. Anybody else before we move on?

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: We do have more on the agenda. I do want to, I do want to hear from Eric before we move on.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Yeah, Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: I make a motion, I make a motion that the monthly site visits end and we redo the rules like we wanted to in the first place. But again, Eric forced this garbage down our throat. Okay. So, I make the motion that the monthly site visits end today and we rewrite the rules to reflect an appropriate, an appropriate way to handle these situations.

Hauth: Okay, so that's your motion. Do we have a second on that? Any second on that? Okay.

Jackson: I second.

A Stevenson: Second me, Randy.

Jackson: I'll second it.

A Stevenson: There we go.

Hauth: Oh well, I’ll go, I'll go ahead and second it, being you brought it forward. I'll go ahead and second it.

Jackson: Third it.

Hauth: Discussion around that? Okay.

Jackson: What if we asked Eric right now, is he gonna call it not appropriate for participation? You know what I mean?

A Stevenson: Hey, Steve?

Jackson: We need to, we need to, we need to make sure that this is, this, yeah, this is, if this isn't go through and you keep making all these motions, it's a waste of time, but we need to somehow communicate better with Eric, because this is, we're going in circles, chasing our tail here. We need to maybe say [sic] more laser focused because you've got a lot of motions going on. I'm all for them. But like, if he has to do these surveys, we're gonna maybe have to compromise on this. But anyways.

A Stevenson: Steve?

Hauth: Yeah.

A Stevenson: Steve! Randy?

Hauth: Yes.

Jackson: Yep, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Steve?

Jackson: Go ahead, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Steve, believe, believe me, believe me, these issues are going to be addressed once and for all. You've seen that I'm filing a complaint.

Jackson: Okay.

A Stevenson: A complaint against the agency for all this garbage and they're going to be held accountable, Steve, for all this garbage they're doing.

Jackson: Art, one second though, my point, my point I'm trying to say to you, Art, is instead of you getting mad, ask Eric, is this a decision that you're going to say doesn't need to take participation? And so, instead of making a motion and waiting for him to deny you, maybe we can ask him, he's on the phone right now. Like, can we discuss not doing that?

Hauth: Well, I'm, you guys, I'm gonna take it back just for a second. You know, you both have valid points, obviously.

Jackson: Yeah, it’s discussion [inaudible].

Hauth: And you know, if I were, if I were a betting man, I would bet that Director Morris won't accept the motion. However, it will be a documentation, and Art did bring the motion, so I at least believe it needs to be heard and voted on. So, you know, I don't disagree with you, Steve, but you know.

Jackson: Okay. I agree, I just want to make progress.

Hauth: We are, we are here with this motion, and so we're going to vote on it. So,

yeah. So, yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea. Yea!

Hauth: Lewanda? Lewanda?

Miranda: [vote not heard]

Hauth: Carole? Carole? Steve?

Jackson: Yes, I vote yes.

Hauth: Okay. And I'll vote yes, as well. Motion passes. What do we have next?

Oh, Carole's not on.

Webber: Randy, can you hear me? No, I'm... Are you there?

Hauth: Yes, I can.

Jackson: Yeah, there you are.

Webber: Okay, sorry, I got a call from my doctor's office. Had to take it.

Hauth: That's alright.

Webber: Okay, good, okay. You got new business, RSA feedback, and you're looking as it pertains to federal and set-aside money being spent on complaint matters against VFMS.

Hauth: Sure, now I know I, I know I spoke to this in a public comment. But so, for, for years I had heard through Rehabilitation Services Administration that federal and set-aside money could not be used to litigate or to, you know, use against blind vendors in complaint matters or litigation. I'd heard that, and heard that, and heard that, and you all know that RSA has been soft on different issues, but most recently, they have come in with more stronger guidance and directives. There was a training done by David Steele, Chief Financial Officer of Budget and Finance, I believe, with RSA who identified that agencies cannot use the money. I don't think it's, I’m not an expert in this area, but it's not the intended purpose of the Rehab Act, it's not the intended purpose of those monies. And so, you know, we talked about this earlier, but the agency in their wisdom believes that they have the right to do that. So, I do want to make a motion. The motion is that the Commission for the Blind immediately stop spending federal and state money on complaint matters and litigation matters, and that the Oregon Commission for the Blind create a corrective action plan as requested by Rehabilitation Services Administration and that 10 years’ worth of monies that are documented and have been used and spent on complaint matters, the AG litigation matters, be made known to the Elected Committee. What purposes those were used for, what areas those were used for, and the amount of what was used. That is my motion. Do I have a second on that?

A Stevenson Second! Second! Second!

Hauth: Okay, I’ve got a second. And any communication around that? Just to clarify the motion, I meant to say federal and set-aside money so hopefully that is what I included in the motion. But that is the motion. There's a second. Do we have any discussion around it before a vote. Okay. Hearing no more discussion, yea, or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: I'll vote yes, as well. Motion passes. What do we have next, Carole?

A Stevenson: Chair! Chair Hauth, Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes, Art? Yes, Art?

A Stevenson: Love your motion, man. I love your motion.

Hauth: Thanks, Art.

A Stevenson: Okay, I want to make, I want to make a motion.

Hauth: Okay.

A Stevenson: Okay, that OCB cease, OCB ceases immediately in using this money inappropriately and no more money is used to pay AG expenses.

Hauth: So, that is your motion? Okay, do I have a second on that?

A Stevenson: Second it, Randy.

Hauth: Do we have a second on that?

Jackson: I'll second it.

Hauth: I'll second it for a vote, any discussion around it?

Jackson: I seconded it.

Hauth: So, second's been made, any discussion around it?

Jackson: Isn't this real similar to what you're saying, right, Randy? Maybe you didn't say when to do it.

Miranda: That's what I'm wondering, is this the same as yours?

A Stevenson: No, because Randy said he, Randy said he wanted an accounting of it. Okay.

Miranda: Oh, okay.

A Stevenson: Okay and he did not say…

Jackson: And he didn't say when either.

Miranda: Got it, got it.

A Stevenson: …that he wanted, that, that he didn't want them spending our money anymore. And I don't [inaudible]...

Hauth: No, I did. I did, Art. I did. I did it, hey, Art at the beginning of the motion, I did say that they cease immediately spending federal and state money.

A Stevenson: I did not hear that, Randy, I, I, I did not hear that, Randy, so...

Hauth: Federal and set-aside money.

A Stevenson: Yeah, so, let's go ahead and pass this and make sure they understand it completely.

Hauth: Yeah, sure, that's fine. Okay. So, anyway, motion's been made, and second’s been made, discussion. Yea, or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: Well, and I'll vote yes, as well. I guess it's a double whammy, so it covers all the bases in case that, that was not provided earlier. The intent of this is that the agency needs to work more collaboratively with the Elected Committee in growing the program, working together on the program, and not just throwing money at the AG to, you know, litigate against blind managers and their advocacy efforts. So, thank you. What's next on the agenda?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth? Chair Hauth?

Hauth: And that's my, and that's my, my personal opinion. Go ahead. Yes.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth? Chair Hauth? I want, I want to also say, that the agency did not follow appropriate protocol in this matter, and they sent that letter to RSA without getting the blessing of the Commissioners. The Commissioners should have voted on this in a public meeting. Absolutely, positively, and that's one of the reasons, that's one of the reasons Michael Babcock is on this meeting, is because OCB doesn't even follow the rules of, you know, the Commissioners. They just do whatever the heck they want to whenever they want to. And there was not a public vote, okay, to send that letter to RSA. It's despicable. I’m done, Randy.

Hauth: Yeah, I, Art, thank you. I would, Art, I would agree with you, and you know, further, it probably should have come back to the dispute resolution group for some kind of, or the committee or whatever, you know. But obviously, it should have went to the Commissioners before any kind of letter was sent out. But I'm, you know, I'm not taking away any of the blame from Director Morris, but I'm sure he was under direction from Dacia Johnson, so, the blame should be directed that way, in my opinion. So, anyway, what's next on the agenda?

A Stevenson: Agreed, agreed.

Hauth: What, what's next on the agenda, Carole?

Webber: Okay. I kinda lost our place here, but I think the next one is the OAH hearing, BECC advocacy.

Hauth: Okay. So, there is an OAH hearing coming up next week. It deals with my long-standing issues and matters relative to discipline that was issued that I believe was improper and deals with ADA matters relative to secondary disabilities and also not allowing reasonable accommodation and timelines for me to process through the health system. That's the summary of the complaint. I know you're mostly all aware of it because it's been a topic of conversation for a while, and I just want to say for the record, not that I wish the self-service initiative on anybody who doesn't want to self-service their machines, in some instances, it makes sense, right? But not in every instance, and not in all instances. But what I can tell you is, I am the only vending facility manager that has been forced into self-service in over a year. Right? So, why is that? Well, that's the question I asked. However, I am, not [inaudible] want to go through the hearing process, and not I want, that I want to go through the complaint process, but that is my pathway. And so, that is where I am, and I would like the Elected Committee's support on my complaint, just to basically motion of support for my OAH case matter coming up. It's, it’s why I put it on the agenda, so.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth, I make a motion.

Hauth: Yes, yes.

A Stevenson: We fully support, I make a motion we fully support the inappropriate actions taken by the agency against you and support you totally in your efforts and in your complaint.

Hauth: Thank you, Art. Okay, do we have a second on that?

Miranda: I'll second.

Webber: I'll second it.

Hauth: Okay second. We'll give Carole the second on this one, cause Lewanda, you got the second before, so… I don't want you to do seconds all the time, right?

Miranda: Right.

Hauth: No, that was a little bit of levity there. Anyway, so, a second, a second was made. Any discussion around it? I do know that I'm going to vote in, in favor, but with a conflict of interest, even though it has no financial, you know, connectivity to that. But anyway, so yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Absolutely with an apology that we've let it get so bad, Randy, and allowed this agency to bully blind people instead of working with blind people. Yea.

Hauth: Thank you. Thank you. Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yea, with a conflict of interest. Thank you. The last comment I'm going to make is, the agency, in my opinion, because of the wrong actions, has spent close to $30,000 on this matter, and they are going to spend a lot more on the matter with Mr. Bird, and the list goes on and on. So, it's, it's rather, rather concerning that the oversight authorities are allowing this kind of misuse of funds, and misadministration to continue, so... That's my opinion. So, and my point. So, what do we have next?

Webber: The next one is improper interference with unit 2455, and it's the vending route, Lebanon.

Hauth: Okay. right. Thank you very much. Eric, do you have an update on that? I know when we reached out few days ago or last week, you said that you couldn't talk about it because it was confidential. Is there anything that you can share that you don't believe is confidential?

Morris: Yeah, Randy, I don't have an update.

Hauth: Okay, is there any, I, I can tell you that people I talk to can't even believe that the agency would have done something like this, how insensitive and uncaring. But that's what I've heard from people, and I don't disagree with them. So, is there anybody that has any, you know, you have to remember that Steve's has, you know, health issues, and I don't know how much we want to talk about that at all, if at all. But you know, relative to the facility, I believe the committee has their duty...

A Stevenson: Chair, Chair Hauth?

Hauth: …and role, and an obligation to know what's going on with that facility. Yes? Yes, Art?

A Stevenson: Okay. Okay, I make a motion that OCB immediately give Steve Gordon his money in accordance with the law, that's my motion.

Hauth: So, a motion's been made. Do I have a second on that?

Miranda: I'll second that.

Hauth: Okay. Second, any discussion around that?

A Stevenson: Okay, hey, Randy, Randy?

Hauth: Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: Okay, similar circumstances happened to another blind manager many, many years ago. He received his vending facility money up until the day he died. And that's what should happen to Steve unless he resigns.

Hauth: Yeah, thank you, Art. Okay, so, just my discussion on this topic is, I haven't talked to, haven’t talked to Steve. We talked to Steve's daughter and, who understands that Steve did not resign and do understand that Steve appreciates advocacy efforts to support him. And I don't know that any money has been taken from Steve. I did see the email that came from the agency directing his subcontractors that he was out of the program, which is improper and wrong. I think it was not an accurate statement to have been making. But I don't know if he's been damaged by money or not, because that came out on like the ninth, and so maybe Steve had already received that. But more so, Steve needs to be protected by his facility and in his facility and his property rights to his facility. So. But I mean, that's what, that's what I'm understanding. So, anyway. So, motion's been made, with it, and there's been a second and discussion. Yea, or Nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yea.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yes, as well. Thank you. Motion passes. What else do we have?

Webber: Okay, the next one is ADA compliance, Art Stevenson.

Hauth: Okay, Art.

A Stevenson: Oh, here we go again. Bear with me, my friends. Okay?

Hauth: Yep.

A Stevenson: Couple, couple meetings ago, Eric Morris informed us that there was a new policy concerning reasonable accommodations, without active participation of the Elected Committee, which is required by law, required by law, and he went out, and I don't know if we're paying this guy money or anything, but I want to know. And I asked in that meeting and got no answer, and I want to know, know, I want our active participation and our rec--, our recommendations be heard on how this agency follows the ADA for our blind licensed managers’ benefit. That's what the ADA was created for, and Eric made a major administrative decision without active participation again, which he does on a regular basis. And it has to stop! My brother, my brother needs reasonable accommodations because of his medical condition.

Unidentifiable: [inaudible] what [inaudible] you [inaudible].

A Stevenson: And, and, and so does Randy. So does Steve, and we're not getting it, and it has to stop! So, I make a motion that we get active participation, active participation, the law and concerning reasonable accommodations and ADA compliance. We revisit it and an appropriate, an appropriate protocol is established. This apply to Oregon law, which is to me, it benefits all of the managers, and they receive what they're entitled to under the law, and that's reasonable accommodations.

Hauth: Yeah.

A Stevenson: I'm done Randy.

Hauth: Thanks. Okay, thank you, Art. Was that your motion? Or was that conversation around this?

A Stevenson: Yes.

Hauth: Okay, okay. Do we have a second on that?

A Stevenson: No, I moved that we, yeah.

Hauth: Go ahead, Art.

A Stevenson: No, that's fine, is somebody going to second it?

Jackson: I'll second it. I totally agree.

Hauth: Okay, second's been made, discussion? Just for discussion's sake, I, I'll, I'll bring forward that Title II of the ADA deals with special programs. The Randolph-Sheppard is a special program, and I can tell you early on when this was brought to Executive Director Johnson, she had no idea, didn't believe that it was applicable to Title II of the ADA under the Randolph Sheppard vending program here in Oregon, I believe not until Disability Rights Oregon got involved and educated her in a better way. But then, you know, what I saw the agency doing, instead of being forthcoming and saying, hey, guys, look it, here's something that you need to be trained on, here's something that you need to be educated on. I mean, they didn't even have a reasonable accommodation form until not too long ago, right? So, if they didn't have a form which is required, then obviously they weren't compliant, they didn't know, and in my opinion, they're trying to find every way around allowing people accommodations, and it's not it, it just didn't feel good, you know. People who have secondary disabilities and need assistance, and need guidance, and need to be treated in a fair way. Shouldn't be, you know, victimized by the hiding of forms and the hiding of information. We requested training on this several times, and it still not been provided and training by an expert. There's certain requirements that need to be complied with through the ADA and Title II and reasonable accommodation. There is a method to the process. So, you know, people who are suffering like Steve and Derrick and others shouldn't have to guess. They shouldn't have to guess and have the agency play hide the pea. Right? So, I do want to, I, I do want to following this, I do want to entertain a motion that because Director Morris didn't have any update on Steve, which would lead me to believe that Steve still, you know, being taken out of the program, because that's what the document said. I do want to entertain a motion too, that, that the agency exercises stay on any removal of vending from Steve. But you have that motion right now in the table there is discussion. We'll go ahead and vote.

A Stevenson: Randy? Randy? Randy?

Hauth: Yes? Yes?

A Stevenson: Okay, number one, number one, we have had training. Okay, it's been a topic of our In-Service training. You might have forgot, but it was. We talked about ADA reasonable accommodations. We had a session on it, and it, the reason we did is we knew that, you know, the agency was not doing what they were supposed to be doing, and we tried to get more, to get the agency to do their damn job. Okay, so, anyway, this needs to pass. And, you know, OCB needs to comply, because reasonable accommodations that don't cost a penny, not a penny, should absolutely be followed. Managers shouldn't be losing money that they're making right now, and that's exactly what happened with this 50-machine mandate.

Hauth: Okay.

A Stevenson: Call the question.

Hauth: Let's go ahead, and yeah, let's go ahead and move on. So, a motion, a second, and we'll go ahead and vote. Art?

A Stevenson: Yes.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yes, as well. Motion passes. I would like to entertain a motion, and I know during any course of bishion [sic], business that motions can be introduced, and this is certainly a relevant motion. I would like to motion that a stay be granted to Mr. Steven Gordon and his facility relative to any vending commissions and rights that he has to that facility at this point in time.

A Stevenson: I second!

Jackson: I second.

A Stevenson: You have a second, Randy!

Hauth: Okay. Sorry, my phone was stuck on mute, guys. Sorry! You there? Okay. So, a second's been made, motion, second. Any discussion around it? Any discussion around it? Okay, so hearing no discussion.

A Stevenson: Call the question.

Hauth: We'll go ahead and vote. Yea, or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yes, as well. Thank you. Motion passes. Okay. What do we have next on the agenda?

Webber: Well, Mr. Chair, it looks like I let two or three items get away from me a while ago, when I had to take that call, and I'm sorry about that. But B, C and D we have missed, so, of new business. So, if.

Hauth: Okay.

Webber: If I could go back up to that and okay.

Hauth: That's not, that's not, that’s not the most egregious thing that I've ever dealt with, and I believe it's proper, you know, unfortunate but proper. So, go ahead.

Webber: Okay. It's the BECC's allowance to use set-aside and federal money to engage with a consultant and or assistant.

Hauth: Okay. So, during the conversations that NABM has hosted and fostered with David Steele through Rehabilitation Services Administration, I believe one of the questions was, can the Elected Committee also have access to federal funds, not for litigation, however, for consulting and assistance with the committee, and the answer was, yes, so, you know, I mean, I certainly believe that we need to entertain a motion that appropriates and directs, you know, $500 a month to the Elected Committee, so that we can do our business in a more better fashion. Right? We're all volunteers here. Not only are we working on our facilities, but we're also trying to advocate and do the business and do best by the program. And you know, conversely, you have a agency that is, you know, staffed with a full contingency of staff, and they have all the support and they have the technology and to have all the things that they need. So, it kind of puts us at a disadvantage to work in an equal footing collaboratively with the BEP. So, you know, we could use secretarial assistant. We could use consulting assistant. We could use assistance during these, during these meetings, you know. Parliamentarians, whatever it would be to make our committee function more better and properly in that direction of growth. So, if somebody wants to make a motion, or they want me to make a motion, I'd be glad to do that. So.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: I make a motion that $500 be sent to our chairman every month that he establish an appropriate checking account to keep record of the expenditures of that account and that no money be spent unless approval of the Elected Committees is given.

Hauth: And you are talking about federal money sent from the Commission for the Blind? Is that correct?

A Stevenson: Yes. [inaudible] federal.

Hauth: Okay, so, a motion's been made.

A Stevenson: Okay.

Hauth: Okay, motion's been made. Do I have a second?

Miranda: Second.

Hauth: Okay, second's been made, yea, or nay, Art?

Unidentifiable: 245 73.

A Stevenson: Yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve? Steve? Hey, Steve? Okay, I may have a, I may have a conflict of interest. I'm not sure. But I'll vote yes, as well. So, the motion will pass. So, what do we have next? What other one did you miss, Carole?

Webber: The Unassigned Vending Debacle needs to end, is what it says.

Hauth: Okay? Okay, yeah. Yeah. No kidding, huh? 150, like, I made light of earlier, but it's not a funny thing. 100 and over 150 machines, I believe, are close to 150 unassigned vending machines and the agency again has an enormously large vending route that's against the intent of the purpose of the program. So, you know, that needs to change. I know the agency has said, well, you guys haven't come up with the policy that directs us on what to do, right, but also on the other hand, they've included those monies within other funds on the proposed budget, and so I don't know how openly or honestly they are addressing that. I did understand from Lewanda in her conversations as the Facility Development person that Mr. Pileggi was supposed to be developing a route a couple of weeks ago, and I don't know if that ever occurred or not. But bottom line, something needs to happen with those funds, those unassigned vending, recipient of the program, the blind vendors need to be the beneficiaries of those. So, how do we make that happen? You know? So, Eric, I know I sent an email to you.

A Stevenson: Randy?

Hauth: I haven't checked my, I haven't checked my email today, hold on just a second Art, and I'll be right with you.

A Stevenson: Okay.

Hauth: Did you ever respond to the email about the development of the route by Tom?

Morris: Yeah, Randy, I didn't respond back because I knew you were coming to this meeting to talk about it. Tom was working on that.

Hauth: Okay.

Morris: He was working on that and got it sketched out. I was really waiting to hear back from the Elected Committee, because I think, that was two or three meetings ago, we talked about that, coming up with a framework, for not only, I mean the development of a, a route, but also the assignment of those individual sites scattered around.

Hauth: Art. Go ahead.

A Stevenson: Okay. I'm on the vending Facility Development Committee and I know with discussing with Lewanda that we felt that a viable route could not be established. Didn't we do that, Lewanda?

Miranda: Well, in looking at the, looking at the list, we didn't believe that a viable route could be established, but we were going to let [inaudible] give it a shot and then forward for consideration, and then we had all, you know, back and forth with, we assign it to the lower earners of the program. However, we're not privy to that information to know who is really lower earners and who isn't. So, maybe we should just divide it amongst the managers equally, so that it’s [inaudible] fair and equitable for all, so, that's where I'm at.

A Stevenson: Okay, thank you, Lewanda. Yeah, yeah, I, I knew you were, I knew where you were at.

Hauth: Well, let me.

A Stevenson: If we had the...

Hauth: Hey, Art, let me just say something real quick.

A Stevenson: [inaudible] Randy? Okay.

Hauth: I mean, just to flavor up the conversation. So, you know, I'm on this, I'm one of the self-service managers, right? So, I've lost two vending machines recently because those buildings have closed down. So, I'm doing 48 machines, and also I found that in one of my locations the agency was receiving the money for the snack machine. I understand that Harold does, like, 38 machines. Is that what he does, Lewanda? Something like that? Do you know? I think I got that information.

Miranda: Yeah, I.

Hauth: Somewhere around there, but he does, doing less than 50, and I know, Art, I believe you're doing under 50, and so, you know, this 50 machine mandate is kind of, you know, got, got off the rails, but what I wanted to say is, knowing that I was losing a couple of machines, and knowing that the agency was actually competing against me in my own location by a snack machine, I reached out to Director Morris, and he said, well, put a proposal together. So, I put a proposal together that would replace the machines that I was losing. I looked through the unassigned vending, and I said, hey, there's a couple of here that I probably should be doing, anyway, because I am the assigned TriMet vending manager, and so, the agency established a Cleveland Station TriMet, and they didn't even contact me. They went to Canteen, and there is also another aquatic park. So, I went to the agency and said, hey, being I'm losing these two and I'm losing some other vending machines that are subcontracted on my operating agreement. How about you provide these to me so that I can hold up to that 50. And they said, oh, no, I don't think so. You're going to have to take them and cannibalize your own route. You're going to have to go from your other remaining machines and take those over to comply with the 50, which is kind of ridiculous to me, but I don't know, I think it's kind of disingenuous that the agency continues to say, well, gosh, you guys haven't really come forward with anything, because when is the last time they ever listened to us about anything, you know. And so, anyway, that's that. You know, it's a concern. It was, I don't think it was properly handled, and I'm still trying to process that. But how, how will it, how willing is the agency to develop a route? In my opinion, I think a route needs to be developed. But I do honor what you guys are saying, that there's not enough there to develop a route. So, I get that, because I haven't looked at that in that, you know, venue or in that vein, but for the agency to deny that to help even keep me up to the 50. I don't know how serious they are about doing anything with that unassigned vending, so, just, you know, to, to...

Haseman: Yeah, I have a question. I'm not sure.

Hauth: Oh, go ahead. Go ahead, Linda.

Haseman: I’m not sure [inaudible].

Miranda: We can't hear Linda.

Hauth: Can't hear you.

A Stevenson: We can't hear her.

Haseman: Yeah, hi, this is Linda Haseman. Can you hear me now?

Miranda: Yes.

A Stevenson: Yes.

Haseman: Can you hear me now? Okay, hey.

A Stevenson: Yes! Yeah!

Haseman: I just wanted to let you know that I, I, I have no idea personally, and I've been involved with Randy for quite some time now, who Tom Pileggi is. I remember he showed up once on a training, but then I thought he retired. The last I knew, I thought he moved over to Eastern Oregon, then the last I know he's being paid somehow by the agency. I don't know if it's full time, half time, or what. But if he's supposed to be doing something, then he needs to get moving on it. The other thing is that I, it's my understanding that a new gentleman has entered into the program. If there's no route being developed and there's Lin Jaynes's route wasn't viable per Director Morris's own words after she had to leave the program, because she felt she was being bullied out. Where's this, the new gentleman going to go? And I also understood that there's a lady in the program, too, that's wondering why routes and stuff aren't opening up. And again, if I go back to what the intent of that House Bill, after 10 different versions was, was flexibility, program, growth, etc., and the only growth I'm really seeing right now in the program is the agency dragging in the unassigned vending and growing their subcontractors that they're not going to oversee because they need to come out and oversee you guys. Something's just wrong. I'm going to state it because I can. And I'm an interested party. Something is significantly wrong.

A Stevenson: Chair Hauth, Art!

Hauth: Yes, go ahead, Art, go ahead.

A Stevenson: It's lack of active participation. That's what's wrong. The agency goes around doing whatever they want, whenever they want without true active participation. They hired, they brought back Thomas Pileggi, okay. They're paying his wages again. No active participation and it's coming out of our money, I'll bet. No active participation and the law says there will be active participation. Randy, Linda, this stuff has to stop.

Hauth: So, you guys, you guys, listen.

A Stevenson: Stop, and they need to comply.

Hauth: We, we have, we have three minutes, we have three minutes. Art, I believe, I, I hear you, man, and you're right. So, we have three minutes, I don't know if you guys want to entertain a motion on the unassigned vending.

Unidentifiable: Yes.

Hauth: Lewanda, like you were talking about. But I'd like.

A Stevenson: I do.

Hauth: I'd like Lewanda to, I'd like Lewanda to head this one up if she's interested in doing so, please.

A Stevenson: The committee.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yeah, I'll make a motion that we, I'll make a motion that we look at the unassigned vending and see what we can use and distribute it evenly between all the managers.

Hauth: Okay, so that motion has been made. Do I have a second?

A Stevenson: I second it! Second it! Second it!

Hauth: Okay, okay, discussion around it? Any discussion, no discussion. Okay. Yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Yea!

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: And Steve?

Jackson: Yes, please, and thank you.

Hauth: Okay, and I also...

A Stevenson: Randy? Randy?

Hauth: Yes?

A Stevenson: No, okay. It passes. No, it passes. Okay. We only got a couple minutes. I have a motion to make. Okay.

Hauth: I, I know we're almost, we're almost out of time.

A Stevenson: Randy? Randy?

Hauth: What else do we have on there, Carole? Did we miss anything?

Webber: It's, the chair, it's the chairman and director's report.

A Stevenson: Yes!

Hauth: Hold on, Art.

A Stevenson: Randy! Randy!

Hauth: Yes? Yes?

A Stevenson: I have to make this motion.

Hauth: Okay.

A Stevenson: I have to.

Hauth: Okay, go for it.

A Stevenson: Okay, I make a motion, I make a motion that the Elected Committee advocate for my complaints in an appropriate manner.

Hauth: Okay, that motion's been made. Do I have a second on it? I'll go ahead and second that, any discussion around it? Well, I would just, I would just share I know that I was contacted by Art relative to the complaint, and I know you were all copied on that seeking the advocacy of the Elected Committee, which he believes were improper actions by the agency. So, I don't know where that is in the process at this point other than that's been documented, and so, yea or nay, Art?

A Stevenson: Conflict of interest, but yea.

Hauth: Lewanda?

Miranda: Yes.

Hauth: Carole?

Webber: Yes.

Hauth: Steve?

Jackson: Yes.

Hauth: And I'll vote yes, as well. Okay, closing comments.

A Stevenson: Thanks Mr. Chair.

Hauth: Eric, I know. Let me, yes, Art?

A Stevenson: Thank you. I just said, thank you, Randy, because I had to do that...

Hauth: Okay, you're welcome.

A Stevenson: In order to conduct business.

Hauth: Yep, no worries. Thank you. You're welcome. Any, any closing comments from you, Eric? I know there's been a lot of talk and a lot of discussion and a lot of motions, and there's been a lot of frustration, and maybe you don't, you know, maybe you don't understand it, but you know, it's too bad that we're at this point in time, and we need to get back working for the managers and for the betterment of the program which I contend doesn't happen. If you agree with that or not, but anyway, that's my two cents worth. Do you have anything to share in closing?

Morris: Yeah, Randy, I, I, I can definitely hear the frustration. I, Art, I hope you feel better. I know that sucks to be sick. I'll put all my updates and stuff in tomorrow's, tomorrow's elected, weekly Elected Committee update. So.

Hauth: All right, well, let's go ahead, let's go ahead and adjourn the meeting, and thank you, everybody. Good night.

Miranda: Good night.

Jackson: Thank you, everybody.

A Stevenson: God bless, God bless all of you.

Jackson: God bless us, everyone.

**Motions Passed**

1. **“…that Art serve as vice-chair for the 4-20-23 meeting**

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Webber. Passed. Yes: Hauth, Jackson, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** Past meeting minutes be approved.

Proposed: A . Seconded: Webber. Passed. Yes: Hauth, Jackson, Webber, Miranda, A Stevenson

1. **“…that OCB comply with the law and provide VFMS itemized statements/1099s**

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Miranda. Passed. Yes: Hauth, Jackson, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** BECC is copied on discipline.

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Miranda. Passed Yes, Hauth, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson {Jackson did not vote}

1. **“…that** end illegal 50 machine mandate

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Miranda. Passed yes, Hauth, Jackson, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** end monthly site visits.

Proposed: A Stevenson . Seconded: Jackson. Passed Yes, Hauth, Jackson, A Stevenson {I did not hear Miranda or Webber vote}

1. **“…that** stop using funds for AG fees

Proposed: Hauth. Seconded: A Stevenson. Passed Yes, Hauth, Jackson, Webber, Miranda, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** OCB immediately stop using funds

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Hauth. Passed yes, Hauth, Jackson, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** fully support Randy Hauth’s efforts

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Webber. Passed yes, A Stevenson, Hauth, Jackson, Webber, Miranda

1. **“…that** OCB give Steve Gordon money

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Miranda. Passed Yes, Hauth, Jackson, A Stevenson, Webber, Miranda

1. **“…that** active participation concerning compliance

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Jackson. Passed Yes, Hauth, Jackson, A Stevenson, Webber, Miranda

1. **“…that** stay be granted to Steve Gordon

Proposed: Hauth. Seconded: A Stevenson. Passed Yes, Hauth, Jackson, A Stevenson, Webber, Miranda

1. **“…that** $500 to Randy for admin assistance

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Miranda. Passed Yes, Hauth, Webber, A Stevenson, Miranda [Jackson did not vote]

1. **“…that** look at unassigned vending and distribution to all

Proposed: Miranda. Seconded: A Stevenson. Passed yes, Hauth, Jackson, Miranda, Webber, A Stevenson

1. **“…that** BECC advocate for Art Stevenson Complaint

Proposed: A Stevenson. Seconded: Hauth. Passed Yes, Hauth, A Stevenson, Miranda, Webber Jackson