

**Oregon Framework Implementation Team Meeting
March 14, 2006
DAS West, BAM Conference Rm - Salem**

Present: Emmor Nile, ODF; Diana Walker, ODA; Bob Harmon, OWRD; Chuck Taylor, OMD; Ken Hill, DOR; Jimmy Kagan, OSU; Phil McClellan, DOR; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Gail Ewart, GEO

Scribe: Jimmy Kagan, supplemented by Gail Ewart

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Introductions	n/a	Self introductions	No	
Next Meeting	June meeting will be skipped due to 7 th Standards Forum	September 12, 2006, ODF, SunPass Room, Salem	Yes	Ewart
Framework Theme Status Reports	Status reports have been collected from several teams and will be posted with the minutes. FIT Theme Status Reports	Post on Web page.	Yes	Ewart

Discussion Summaries:

GIS Utility Status

Gail explains that Cy is not at this meeting due to preparing for the OGIC tomorrow. He's presenting the POPs, alternative 1 and alternative 2 for the 2007-2009 biennium. Alternative 1 is focused on the data stewardship and technical issues, including 4 new positions, all focused on the GIS utility. Alternative 2 included additional funding for major data development. The group discussed the futility of 1 without 2, since data development funding was so important. Emmer and Harmon expressed concern about the need for continued data development.

Data Development Budget Update

OGIC approved the '05-'07 budget. Interagency Agreements are being drafted. Bioscience and Land Use projects need interagency agreements in place within 30 days in order to be ready for the Standards Forum in June.

Action: GEO to generate paperwork to distribute money.

Portal Development

Jimmy Kagan reports that the orthoimagery portal is close to being contracted. In this scenario, OSU will manage the site w/required software & technology, but imagery data will be physically stored at SDC. This is a new architecture we're piloting. OSU is evaluating the portal software options that have been identified. ESRI imagery server may not be ready to go when we are. The orthoimagery portal is a sub-portal of the overall portal for Framework data. It's not sufficient to rely on NRCS to serve the 2005 imagery, because we need to serve it in a variety of coordinate systems and Oregon has lots of other historic and contemporary data that needs to be served along with it. Also, Dept of Interior has a history of instability regarding its Web presence.

Framework Database Application

Tim Fiez is working on the application. There will be an online way to update the database using a login. By March 21st, the database functionality will be available. Once available, the FIT lead will be able to update information about each element in their theme, such as percent complete or description of work needed. If there are updates to elements in a theme other than the one which an authorized user leads, they should be

made will the knowledge of the lead for that theme. Perhaps updating should be allowed by anyone on the team, but we need to keep it simple for now. Test, provide feedback, etc.

Action: Ask about the inclusion of the contacts database in the application portal, to allow local folks to update their information.

7th Standards Forum Planning

Andre LeDuc secured three rooms at the University of Oregon for June 28, 2006.

The forum will be composed of the following standards and workshops:

Administrative boundary standard – Umbrella content standard is being drafted and will be ready for Forum.

Bioscience - wetlands standard will be ready provided money received from DAS promptly

Land Use standard (classification schema) – APA standard (tentative). Jimmy Kagan reports that a draft standard for LU/LC is nearly ready. This is being driven by the need for updating Oregon's land use map. No progress has been made in zoning because DLCD is unable to focus resources on this issue. Kagan needs OGIC dollars promptly to be ready for Forum.

Workshops (up to 3)

LiDAR workshop – USGS is looking to host an all day LiDAR workshop in the fall. Emmor won't be at the forum, so perhaps there isn't sufficient ability to have the meeting then. The USGS all day workshop was: a) LiDAR primer, b) science applications and c) partnerships and interest at the local, state and federal level for a potential acquisition in 2007 and 2008. Nancy Tubbs is the lead.

Action: Nancy will discuss potential topics with Ian Madin at DOGAMI and keep Emmor in the loop.

Cadastral workshop – Two possible issues: moving from Goal 2 to Goal 4 or integrating public land ownership with ORMAP. Oregon Revenue on the ORMAP site is trying to clean up Goal 2 data and make it look better. County-to-county integration is a problem. The BLM and the GLO has a LLI layer, which is national ownership. It is quite accurate for BLM lands, pretty good for USFS, less accurate for state lands and local county data. They have some industrial ownership, too (in their spare time). On the east side, data follows the GCDB for positional accuracy. When the state does land swaps, the transactions don't always get sent to the BLM. Emmor suggested increasing the ORMAP fee from \$1 to \$10 dollars to pay for this. At Emmor's suggestion, Dean Anderson will lead the discussion.

Action: Gail to contact Dean.

Preparedness workshop –Potential topics are address points and keeping data secure. Chuck will not be able to attend the Forum.

Action: Chuck Taylor will ask Gillien Duvall about leading this discussion.

Dennis Scofield will coordinate a poster session to highlight the accomplishments of the four Framework datasets that are nearly ready for distribution: OR-Trans road centerlines, Hydrography, Cadastral tax lots & Orthoimagery for 2005.

Action: Leads for each component will begin coordinating their portion and keep us informed.

Framework Discussion: Issues and Strategies

Prioritizing Elements: A second Framework Database report is posted in .rtf format showing overall priorities. This provides a starting point requested at the prior meeting. Gail requests FIT members to review and comment.

Action: Not discussed, but based on prior meeting, GEO to prepare opportunity for GIA participants to rank the top ten elements in April 2006.

Implementation Plans: Gail researched existing I-Plans but only found a few examples, such as Idaho and Utah, both of which are out of date. Each team is at a different stage of I-Plan development.

Action: Nothing specific.

Stewardship: Best practices exist in the GIS utility business case, which can be used as a guide. Stewardship is required to maintain investment in data development. Data stewards need to be identified, tools need to be developed. Resources also need to be identified. POPs for the Regional Resource Centers and Stewardship positions did not go forward. Suggestions are agencies that can afford to do this, should do it, while data without a clear steward and less-endowed agencies need to develop a strategy for maintenance and distribution. This may be a good topic for a workshop at December Standards Forum.

Coordination Opportunities – Enable info intake on data clearinghouse so we can provide input on data needed but not found. Capture search strings with standard web admin tools. People could use the email functionality already there to provide input. To avoid duplication, perhaps try the issue below: data development registry.

Data Development Registry – Gail thought a data development registry would help everyone avoid duplication of effort and find partners for projects. The site can let people know what they are proposing to do. How do you get people engaged? ODOT developed a site but after six months, no one has used it. Project Planning Portal - Can you create rewards for registering? Take this to the state CIO Council (Bob Harmon)? For example, Idaho has an IT project registry on its DAS website.

Action: Have Cy go to the CIO Council with a recommendation. Look into private parties for hosting.

Next meeting is September 12, from 9-12, because the Forum will be in June. Emmor will check on availability of SunPass Room at ODF.