

**Oregon Framework Implementation Team Meeting
September 18, 2007
DSL, Mill Creek Rm - Salem**

Present: Emmor Nile, ODF (Elevation & Utilities); Phil McClellan, DOR (Cadastral); Sheri Schneider, USGS (NSDI); Gail Ewart, DAS-GEO (Framework Coordinator); Mark Darienzo, DLCDC (Hazards); Ian Madin, DOGAMI (Geosciences & Elevation/LiDAR); Randy Sounhein, DSL (Orthoimagery); Rod Therriault, DOR (Cadastral); Bob Harmon, OWRD (Hydrography); Diana Walker, ODA (Administrative Boundaries); Cy Smith, DAS-GEO (Statewide GIS Coordinator); Orrin Frederick, BLM (Cadastral & Admin Bnds); Ken Bays, ODOT (Geodetic Control); Cress Bates, Lane County (Cadastral)

Scribe: Orrin Frederick, supplemented by Gail Ewart

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Introductions		Self introductions	No	
Next Meeting	December 11, 2007		Yes	Ewart
Framework Theme Status Reports	Status reports have been collected from several teams and will be posted with the minutes.	Post on FIT Web page.	Yes	Ewart

Discussion Summaries: (agenda items were discussed out of order)

A. **Old Business** – GEO working on Wiki idea to keep Framework knowledge current (Gail)

B. **Strategic Plan Refresh** (Smith)

The GIS Strategic Plan is overdue for a refresh. We'll use this process as an opportunity to generate more support from local governments. Five sessions are planned at various locations around the state during the first two weeks of November. Cy is negotiating with LCOG to coordinate the meetings to assure participation of other COGs and key local decision makers. There will probably be a sixth meeting in the Salem area. The goal is to have an updated GIS Strategic Plan by January 2008.

C. **navigatOR** (Smith)

GEO, with EISPD, is gearing up for another POP. Part of this process entails refreshing the business case. The Data Sharing Partnership is on OGIC's agenda tomorrow. Local governments want legislative involvement. Cy will focus his comments on the existing plan and seek direction for the refresh approach. "We have accomplished this so far, so where do we go and what are our measurable goals for the future?"

Key Data Sharing Issues:

- Less redundancy equals less cost for all
- Better and more integrated data (vertical integration is critical)
- Content, standards, appropriate access, and a collaborative approach.

D. Framework Forum Planning (All)

Discussion resulted in deferring the December Forum until the spring and working on FIT planning and priorities between now and December 11 (the next FIT meeting).

Date: tbd March/April 2008

Place: Bend, possibly Corvallis

Potential Topics:

Accuracy workshop and documentation (USGS)

Stewardship 3 (stewardship workgroup)

Census 2010 (PSU)

Measure 37/49 (OSU)

LiDAR standards presentation (DOGAMI)

Communications Plan implementation

Progress on priority tasks generated from the FIT Retreat

Action: FIT will plan details of spring Forum at the December meeting

E. Orthoimagery Portal (Soundhein, Smith)

A press release about the portal will be issued from OSU soon. The contractor (ER Mapper) built the imagery with a non-standard projection. It is now being rebuilt in Oregon Lambert, but it will take a while to replace the current projection.

ESRI had to develop a patch to re-project into Oregon Lambert. That patch is associated with Service Pack 3, which is causing problems for some installations.

Future Imagery Acquisition

Planning is underway for '08, '09, and '10. NAIP's five-year cycle for aerial photography returns in 2010. Without Oregon partners, the Farm Service Agency acquires agricultural lands only. We learned many lessons from the last cycle, and all agreed we need a new way of doing things.

Cy has been in discussion with USGS and with the minority leadership in Congress about including orthoimagery in the Farm Bill through NSGIC. This imagery would replace the NAIP imagery. For more information, check out www.nsgic.org.

F. Stewardship Workgroup Report (Ewart)

Draft guidance, thus far, is posted on the FIT page: Program document, model Charter, and expert key. The key identifies the minimum level of stewardship appropriate for each Framework element (Class A, B or C). The group is now working on the Stewardship Plan. Examples of Standard Operating Procedures and Business Rules will also be part of the guidance. Gail is providing OGIC with an overview of the stewardship concept and implementation tomorrow.

G. FIT Retreat Report (Ewart, Smith)

Cy just completed the FIT Retreat Results handout (http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/EISPD/GEO/fit/fit_meetings.shtml). Cy summarized the discussion under each topic and emphasized that the Framework data must provide solutions to the public. Dollars follow solutions. This focus will help inform the discussion (below) to implement the ideas.

H. Framework Discussion: Issues and Strategies

1. Retreat Results – Next Steps

Proof of Concept – Sheri S., Bob H., and LCOG will help GEO design the proof.

Action: Have preliminary design by November 1 for local meetings.

Education & Outreach (implementing Communications Plan)

Action: Phil M & possibly Mark D will bring Plan to life with GEO's help.

Focus – After discussing some ideas for generating data priorities, Cress B proposed three top priorities for Framework

New data development

Stewardship

Communication

Need to complete Framework prioritization (see prior meeting notes)

Action: Gail E will post email to all listservs except GIS INFO to collect feedback on the proposed areas of focus. She will report back to FIT.

2. Meeting frequency and content check

Gail suggests having four meetings per year: 2 on same day as GPL, and 2 following Framework Forums. December Forum postponed until spring.

Action: Gail will arrange next FIT meeting on December 11.

3. Annual Framework report

We plan to begin reporting on navigatOR progress each year. Since a large part of navigatOR is Framework, Framework activities will provide a substantial part of the content. The audience for the report is OGIC, sponsors, partners, elected officials, other decision-makers, and the GIS community. This will be a good opportunity to share progress, successes, and lessons learned, as well as identify areas needing more attention.

Cy points out that we need to be careful because how do we make a good case for more money if we are making good progress? We need to develop a balanced approach.

Key Issue: What is the definition of “done”? How do you define completion? Use testing? When a dataset moves into maintenance? Need to settle on definition.

Action: More discussion and then test proposed definitions.