

**Oregon GIS Program Leaders (GPL) Meeting
March 11, 2008**

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board - Salem

Present: Brady Callahan, OPRD; Laer Haider, DHS; Joe Bernert, OED; Ashley Seim, OWEB; Bob Harmon, OWRD; Terri Noble, OMD; Rod Therriault, DOR; Phil McClellan, DOR; Milt Hill, DAS/GEO; Jon Bowers, ODFW; David Mather DAS/GEO; Randy Dana, DLCD; Diana Walker, ODA; Chris Bradberry, ODF; Josh Levy, ODF; Rob McDougald, ESRI; John Sharrard, ESRI.

Scribe: Joe Bernert, OED

Handouts: OWEB handouts

Agenda Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Introductions	Self Introductions	Informational	No	
October Minutes	Approval of February 2007 Minutes	Approved	No	
Announcements	General Announcement - Position for LIDAR Coordinator at DOGAMI		No	Brady
Fish Habitat Standard – John Bowers	<p>Discussion of development of standard from 2001 to 2003 and how ODF&W refined the process with input from a workgroup including ODOT, ODF, USFS, BLM and others. The standard does not include historic habitat or marine habitat. Minimum graphical elements include line or polygons. Minimum attributes include date, species name, (housekeeping attributes), etc. Life history and uses (Spawning, rearing, etc) and basis (method of identifying).</p> <p>General Discussion and Questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linkages • Dynaseg (LLID/NHD) • Others... 	Approved by GPL and forwarded to OGIC	Presented at upcoming OGIC meeting for review and approval	OGIC
FIT Proposal Review Process - Laer Haider with additions from Bob Harmon	The FIT review process is being reviewed by a team of representatives from PAC, FIT, GPL on the policy, framework and technical components. The goal is to create an effective and quick review process. This will be incorporated into a guidance document.	Provide input to Laer to include in process		All – Laer

Agenda for OGIC Meeting 3/27/08	<p>Specific issues that GPL wants to bring to attention of OGIC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GIS as a service (ArcGIS Server) • Enterprise Licensing Agreement (ELA) <p>These two topic impacts members of GPL and the state GIS community. Centralized servers, the State Data Center (SDC), uses of Spatial Data Engine (SDE), consolidation of servers, and other topics are related to these above issues.</p>	<p>GPL is on OGIC agenda at all future meeting (unless no topics)</p>		<p>GEO and GPL Chair. Brady will present for GPL at OGIC</p>
Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board	<p>Presentation of OWEB goal and mission and its use of GIS</p>			<p>Ashley</p>
ESRI User Group	<p>Rob McDougald and John Sharrard from ESRI discussed forming a specific user group for the state agencies. This will include developing workshops and presentations routinely in Salem.</p> <p>The group discussed potential topics for the first workshop/presentation.</p> <p><i>"Whats New in 9.3"</i></p>	<p>A meeting is going to be scheduled and announcement forthcoming</p>		<p>John Sharrard</p>
Agency Reports	<p>Provided by Email</p>			
Water Resources – Bob Harmon	<p>Conducted ArcGIS training for staff in mid-January. Also, held water right attribute and map editing training for field staff during the second week in March. Up until this training water right database work was done entirely by staff in Salem.</p>			
Employment – Joe Bernert	<p>Working on draft ArcGIS Server Application of public tool which presents maps and summaries of business employment and industry types in Oregon</p>			
Revenue- Phil McLellan	<p><u>ORMAP</u></p> <p>The ORMAP Technical Group had its first spring</p>			

	<p>2008 meeting. At the meeting we discussed the spring grant applications. It was reported that fund deposits have been down over the past two quarters, by about 15% each quarter. This is creating a funding shortfall of about \$180K, from what is projected to be in the fund and what was requested this cycle. About a year ago the Tech Group, Advisory Committee, and DOR developed a “funding criteria” for this possibility. Phil, the ORMAP Coordinator, will be working with the counties to rework some of the grant application to meet this short coming. The second Tech Group meeting is scheduled for March 27, 2008 at the Department’s Salem Field Office. They will then discuss options for funding.</p> <p>Also, at the end of the tech group meeting the Cadastral FIT group met to discuss the framework money that the Cadastral FIT was awarded by DAS GEO. The discussion centered on the deliverables and data sharing agreements. One of the concerns from the counties was the sharing of the “ownership” data. It was suggested that the group make this information “optional” until a general</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>agreement could come on the protection of this data. Members felt that the statement that the data would be for “state agencies only” would help alleviate the privacy concerns. But other members felt that if it was the ownership information was required their county commissioner would not participate.</p> <p>A subcommittee was put together to discuss and draft a possible licensing agreement and statement of deliverables. This group and well as the Cadastral FIT will meet again after the ORMAP Tech Group meeting on March 27th.</p> <p><u>CISU</u></p> <p>In November 2007, DOR conducted a training session on editing parcel within the ORMAP-ESRI Data Model. This was attended by over 16 cartographers from nine different counties. DOR staff, as well as staff from Lane County helped with the training and moving counties into the Data Model; where they are able to perform maintenance on their datasets. The Cadastral Unit at DOR will be holding ESRI lead training on “Creating and Editing Parcels with</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

