

Oregon Administration Boundary FIT
November 17, 2003
Oregon State Office Building in Portland
10:00 AM to 12:00 PM

Attendees:

Randy Dana, Department of Land Conservation and Development
Cy Smith, Oregon Geospatial Data Clearinghouse
Marc Thomas, Bureau of Land Management
Jennifer Dudley, Oregon Department of Revenue
Darlene Gowen, Oregon Department of Transportation
Nancy Tubbs, USGS
Rich Campbell, Census Bureau
Arthur Miller, Bureau of Land Management
Steve Barnett, Oregon Geospatial Data Clearinghouse

Standards Forum

The meeting began with a discussion on the Admin Fits role in the Standards Forum December 4th in Roseburg. After discussing a few different presentation ideas the committee agreed to include the following items in the presentation.

- Report on UGB Pilot – Joe Bernert or representative from Employment
- Discussion of Revenue Pilot – Cy Smith, Diana Walker
- Priorities - brief overview of current process including mentioning FGDC Admin Boundary data standard and passing out copies of Admin FIT prioritization Matrix (spread sheet)
- Implementation Plan (including maintenance of themes once they are created) – Cy Smith

Cy's Report

Cy Smith informed the committee that the Department of Revenue has set an October 2004 deadline for reaching ORMAP's second goal. Cy also passed on to the committee the results of the 2003-2005 Framework layer prioritization procedure.

1. Transportation
2. Land Ownership (Cadastral)
3. Geodetic Control
3. Hydrography
5. Geosciences
6. Administrative Boundaries
7. Elevation
7. Bioscience
9. Utilities
10. Aerial Imagery
11. Climate
12. Cultural
13. Land Cover/Use

Pilot Project

The committee discussed a possible pilot project that would create administrative boundaries for 17 different taxing districts. The project would use information obtained from the Department of Revenue. The Oregon Department of Revenue administers all taxable district boundaries that receive property tax money. This information gets sorted through a single point inside the Department of Revenue. After talking about the pros and cons of doing this type of pilot the committee began to discuss the parameters that should be included in this type of pilot. These parameters include:

- Using Goal 2 and Goal 4 ORMAP data as a base
- At least one county with complete Goal 4 coverage

- All 17 layers included in the pilot.
- Incorporate getting some of the data from local sources

After some discussion the group decided to support the pilot with the understanding that the money, data steward and the pilot area would need to be determined after wider conversations with possible data stewards.

Next Meeting

Monday January 12th Salem BLM Office

Agenda Items: Funding Stream discussion (how much money are groups spending on Admin Boundaries and from what sources), Which identified layers are taxlot based and which are not.