

**Oregon Framework Implementation Team  
Bureau of Land Management - Salem  
June 10, 2003**

**Present:** Cy Smith DAS/GEO, Ed Arabas DAS/GEO; Dennis Scofield, ODOT; Joe Bernert, OED; Emmor Nile, ODF; Paul Staub, DOGAMI; Mark Koski, BLM; Bob Harmon, OWRD; Doug Terra, OWEB; Diana Walker, ODA; Marc Thomas, BLM; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Gillien Duvall, DAS/GEO; Scott Jackson, DOR Doug Holtd, DOR; Randall Sounhein, DSL; Corey Plank, BLM; Ken Bays, BLM.

**Scribe:** Debra Davis

**Handouts:** Phase 1 Work Plan

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
<b>Framework Theme Status</b>	Cy reported that the prioritization list needs to be updated and possibly add another theme (fire). This will be with the consensus of the group. It was suggested to add all natural disasters rather than just fire to keep the parameters consistent. This could be a historical layer. Cy will be pulling all elements together and will be discussed further at the next meeting.		YES	Cy
<b>Framework – Discussion of Themes (Accomplishments/ Opportunities)</b>  <b>Geodetic Control – Ken Bays</b>	“Fire” theme proposed at last meeting. Concern that applications should be separated from framework data development/maintenance. Fuels, fire perimeters are examples of missing elements in Framework. There is a need to identify additional elements that are needed by ‘Fire’ thematic group.  There is an opportunity to ‘turn on’ the differential GPS broadcast by end of 2003 (north of Seneca, OR). To do this Oregon would receive federal funding of \$140,000 but the State must sponsor (with a possible 20% match). This will help with		NO	

<p><b>Hydro – Bob Harmon</b></p>	<p>the densification of PLSS control (via ORMAP).</p> <p>OWRD is still working on the Clearinghouse. The acceptance testing is going well. At this time the water rights are being mapped. Wetlands should be led by DSL.</p>			
<p><b>Bioscience – Doug Terra</b></p>	<p>Bio-Sci adding themes to list related to OPSW. Proposals will be updated. The difference between 'fish presence' and 'fish habitat' needs to be clearly defined.</p>			
<p><b>Elevation – Emmor Nile</b></p>	<p>Most of the state's TVC's are available but they are in Denver at this time. Use of TVC/DLG for Hypsography mentioned. There is an opportunity for Bathymetry db design in the near future.</p>			
<p><b>Trans – Ed Arabas</b></p>	<p>The trans theme has funding and the address range data element is being worked on. There will be a meeting discussing minimal data structure and draft standard next week. He is also working on the data model and strategy for maintenance of road centerline theme.</p>			
<p><b>Ortho – Randy Sounhein</b></p>	<p>LANDSAT2001 imagery has been purchased for the state and DSL has received 1/3. BLM acquisitions in Malheur County with USGS cost share. The most recent data is of spring/winter 2002. DSL will continue to purchase at a total cost of \$1500. DSL will also process data. Ed Arabas added that the ortho image process has some holes and that ½ of the West is ready to be compressed. They will deliver this in 3 projections. For future there is a possibility of a Space Imaging contract for Color IR or panchromatic 1m.</p>			
<p><b>Cultural – Joe Bernert</b></p>	<p>Address points contract for pilot in Klamath county, but work has</p>			

<p><b>Admin – Diana Walker</b></p> <p><b>Geoscience – Paul Staub</b></p> <p><b>Cadastral – Scott Jackson</b></p> <p><b>Public Ownership – Emmor Nile</b></p>	<p>not been done yet. OIT contract is extended. BLM/SHPO are scanning historic sites/archaeological sites.</p> <p>Admin worked to prioritize over 10-15 different items to update element list. When a top 10 list is created they will send it out. Lost intern on UGB improvement pilot – no work is being done. Identified stewardship as issue.</p> <p>GNIS and DRG not considered part of their theme. ‘Hazards’ theme is lower priority. Geology, soils, Geomorphology are the top 3 priorities. Geology 1:500,000 should be removed from the OGDC web page and replaced with current information. 1:100K geology is priority. Twelve 100K quads in NE part of state are done. Data model is being talked about at national level.</p> <p>They will be presenting a data standard at the Standards Forum on the 12<sup>th</sup>. They have completed the spring funding distribution cycle, and \$250K has been collected for the ORMAP fund from real estate transaction fees.</p> <p>Needs to fill gap of need for information related to non-private land ownership in Oregon.</p>	<p>YES</p>		
<p><b>Framework Prioritization of Themes</b></p>	<p>The team then voted on the prioritization of themes, they are as follows: (The ranking does not reflect the \$ commitment for the next biennium.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Geodetic</li> <li>2. Geoscience</li> <li>3. Ortho</li> <li>4. Cadastral</li> <li>5. Cultural</li> <li>6. Admin</li> <li>7. Bioscience</li> <li>8. Utilities</li> <li>9. Trans</li> <li>10. Climate</li> </ol>		<p>No</p>	

	<p>11. Land Use/Land Cover  12. Elevation  13. Hydro</p> <p>The prioritization criteria included</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Level of completeness</li> <li>• Broad need for theme</li> <li>• Funding Leverage</li> <li>• Existing funds</li> <li>• Identified steward</li> <li>• Low hanging fruit (opportunities)</li> <li>• Alignment with important issues such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Public safety</li> <li>○ Economic devel.</li> <li>○ Child welfare.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<b>Next Standards Forum</b>	<p>Three Framework themes were identified for presenters at the next Standards Forum. They are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geodetic Control</li> <li>• Elevation</li> <li>• Climate</li> </ul> <p>The next Standards Forum will be in December in Roseburg.</p>			
<b>Next Meeting</b>	<p>Next FIT meeting on September 9<sup>th</sup> at BLM off South Commercial – Salem; 1717 Febry Rd SE</p>			