

# Framework Oregon Meeting

March 14, 2000

BLM Oregon/Washington State office

Attendees: **Bob Harmon**, OWRD, **Mike Schuft**, ODF, Steve **Barnett**, DAS/IRMD, **Eric Hiebenthal**, BLM, **Henry Wolter**, BLM, and **Mary Harrison**, BLM.

**Status of State Library vacant positions:** Steve Barnett - Statewide Coordinator position was offered to Cy Smith, Kansas GIS Coordinator. Cy's reporting date is June 1st.

**Definition of "Framework"** and what should be included: All - A definition for "Framework" was established when the group was first chartered. A better question to ask might be what themes are being addressed by the current team. At present, those themes are limited to the ones that fall under the Task List. Previous meeting notes dated 10/26/99 and 12/07/99 clarify how the current Task List themes were derived from some of the original Framework97 themes. After the letter to the original cooperators is issued by IRICC, "full team" input will be needed to address this issue.

## Review Tasks:

### 1. Boundaries (BLM/STATE)

*Provide copies of the STATE and COB (county) ArcInfo coverages to Emmor in January; he will provide them to the counties to compare with what they are currently using to determine whether STATE and COB will serve the needs of all. **Done. Team in place to review and make recommendations.** Steve B. has a copy of the legislative changes ('87 and '99) to county lines and will send a copy to Marc. Edits to STATE and COB are progressing well and it is estimated that by May, BLM will be able to initially distribute the themes. **Question for Marc - does lat/long exist to 4 decimal places of seconds as an item in COB for corners?** A suggestion was made by Steve to post the two themes on the REO site as a vehicle for getting more agencies and users to accept them as the state standard.*

### 2. Hydrography - (BLM - due early January)

- a. Provide a cover of the completed Hydro Update tiles;*
- b. WODDB tiles where no Hydro Update is available, and no data exists in the State Forestry cover; and*
- c. DLG/CFF tiles where no Hydro Update nor WODDB data is available to fill in gaps in the Forestry cover; and*
- d. Hyd. Index.*

(STATE)

*e. State of OR will work together to provide and post merged, non-edgetied DLG/CFF data where there is no BLM Hydro Update data.*

Three counties worth of data in the southeast part of the state were delivered to Bob Harmon by BLM.

### 3. Misc. Transportation (STATE)

*State of OR will merge what was received and send BLM a copy, which will be compared with what is in the libraries. Any data found to be missing in the State's copy will be provided by BLM, if they have it.*

### 4. Railroads (STATE)

*State of OR will merge what was received and send BLM a copy, which will be compared with what is in the libraries. Any data found to be missing in the State's copy will be provided by BLM, if they have it.* There are no attributes at the 1:24K scale; the 1:100K scale has the name of the company. ODOT RR Division has been QC'ing the data. They have removed abandoned lines and placed them in a separate cover. A problem that has been discovered by them is the pseudo node created when the source of an arc segment changes. ODOT has asked if the pseudo nodes could be removed, and the source changed to "1:24K" instead of the current CFF or DLG. The pseudo nodes apparently cause problems when ODOT creates routes from the linework. The topic will be discussed in today's GPL (GIS Program Leaders) meeting. This team's recommendation is to keep the nodes in and retain the source attributes of DLG or CFF, since there is an implied reliability that goes with each source. ODOT is removing polygons that represent rail yards and adding lines in the yard as individual spurs. Edits are estimated to be completed by the end of this week. The theme can be put up on the State's website by Steve for a review by this team.

### 5. Roads and Trails (BLM)

*BLM will download GTRN (Ground Transportation) from their libraries, combine into one State coverage, and provide it along with metadata and attribute definitions to the State by March 15th.* An update by BLM indicated that the last Forest data set the GTRN group had planned on having integrated into the theme is not yet completed. It is estimated that the work will be done in about 2 weeks. The team decided to move the due date to the end of March.

### 6. DOQ(Q)'s (STATE)

*Reprojecting to common Oregon Lambert Projection and compressing with MrSID in rectangular, seamless quads.* Oregon State has received all of the Forest Service DOQ(Q)'s. The State will provide DLT tapes to BLM, and BLM will make 1:1 copies of the DLT's that were sent out to each BLM district for the State. There will be some duplicate files from tape to tape where a district boundary bisects a quad (the same

quad will appear on both district tapes). BLM is beginning the next phase of their DOQ(Q) distribution project, and will be cutting tapes with those files that have been received by the State Office, but not yet distributed to the field offices. At the end of this next phase, approximately 85 percent of the state DOQ(Q)'s will have been received and copies sent out.

#### 7. 10-m DEMs (STATE/BLM)

*State of OR will provide BLM with a list of those 10-m DEMs the State does not have. BLM will provide them to the Department of Forestry on an 8-mm tape, SUN TAR format.* The Level 2 Drainage Enforced DEM development process has become the standard for USGS, and products from all OR/WA sources (CLAMS, Averstar, etc.) match the USGS standard. BLM received 57 CD's from Dale Phillips (Forest Service) and will have copies made. Dale has requested that a hold be put on making copies until he can replace some of the CD's that have corrupt data. Dale will also provide copies of the TVC files, and BLM will copy and distribute these as well.

#### 8. Roles and Responsibilities (STATE/BLM)

*Emmor and Mary will research Baseline97 documents for references to the data update and maintenance responsibility. Nancy Tubbs will be meeting with WA DNR and will see if they have update responsibility strategies that can be adopted.* The update and maintenance responsibilities are considered to be phase two of the Framework Project. Mary will bring copies of the original Framework documents outlining tasks, roles, and responsibilities to the next meeting. A suggestion by this team was to maintain our group until the tasks are completed and data responsibilities are turned over to the stewards, who will be determined at some future time. At that time, this group could be reformulated with new roles and participants identified. One possible task for the new group would be to "watch dog" those data or themes that do not have a framework-type group sponsoring them. If they get put on a clearinghouse, such as REO, the team could make sure accountability gets addressed.

#### 9. Update memo to partners (BLM/IRICC)

*BLM will draft the memo and forward it to Dale Guenther, who will put it on the next IRICC meeting agenda for review prior to distribution. Done.*

#### **Other business:**

Suggestion - go to a quarterly meeting schedule instead of monthly.

#### **Agenda items for next meeting:**

Discussion of an interim statewide hydro coverage

Definition of "miscellaneous transportation"

**Next meeting:** May 11, 2000, in the BLM Salem District Office, Abiqua Conference Room from 9 a.m. to Noon.