

**Oregon Framework Implementation Team  
Bureau of Land Management - Salem  
March 12, 2003**

**Present:** Cy Smith DAS/GEO, Ed Arabas DAS/IRMD/OGDC; Dennis Scofield, ODOT; Joe Bernert, OED; Emmor Nile, ODF; Paul Staub, DOGAMI; Mark Koski, BLM; Diana Walker, ODA; Marc Thomas, BLM; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Gillien Duvall, DAS/GEO; Scott Jackson, DOR; Randall Sounhein, DSL; Arthur Miller, BLM.

**Scribe:** Lynda Raybould

**Handouts:** Oregon Plan Information System Phase 1 Work Plan

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
<b>Framework Theme Status</b>	<p><u>Transportation:</u> Ed reviewed the existing pilot project agreements; there is one county that hasn't signed. Discussion followed concerning the process for analysis of project information at finish of the projects. Additional areas should be included in the analysis to make a complete model.</p> <p><u>GeoScience:</u> Paul reported that a guest speaker from Idaho, Loudon Stanford, presented to his group. The Framework Standard should be wrapped up by the end of 2003. For soil standards, it looks like the best way to go is to adopt the NRCS standards.</p> <p><u>Admin:</u> Joe reported that their intern is going on to a new job therefore with the loss of position this project is in flux. Pilot is continuing for Urban growth boundaries comparison to DLCD data comparisons with city limits. Decision for Standards development is to quit correcting to city limits. New data structure has historical corrections – 50% done.</p>	<p>Cy reminded everyone that the pilot projects are due to finish by June 30, 2003.</p> <p>It was determined that the Transportation committee will analyze the projects.</p> <p>Paul will distribute the presentation to FIT</p> <p>Ed has a lead that might help. He will get the information to Joe.</p>	<p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Trans Committee</p> <p>Paul</p>

	<p><u>Cultural</u>: Joe recommends this theme be merged into Admin. He also reported that \$30,000 to OIT was spent for the address points and public building outlines with another \$20,000 to be re-allocated to DOQ's.</p> <p><u>Orthoimagery</u>: Randy reported there is a newer source to secure the Landsat7 imagery needed to finish the State. For a total of \$7500 the project could be completed. It will be necessary to pick the dates for scenes. The images may be previewed by the FIT group.</p> <p>Further discussion revolved around the differences between year-to-year DOQ imagery and issues surrounding the PIXXURES contract.</p> <p><u>Cadastral</u>: Scott reported that the ORMAP funds remain steady. The Spring funding has received 29 proposals, and could have money carrying over. Doug Holdt from Hawaii has filled the Map Coordinator's position. The Midwest/Southwest meeting included ORMAP data workshop and tax map data mapping. There were 12 counties represented and 15 people from State agencies.</p> <p><u>Public Land Ownership</u>: Emmor reported that the same problems with identifying small parcels of land under private ownership exist when defining public lands' ownership. Ownership changes and the lack of documentation remains a problem. Emmor also raised the question regarding stewardship of the information once it is obtained and who will be responsible for making changes to information.</p>	<p>Discussion indicated that a merge was not favored.</p> <p>Cy recommended that a sub group meet to complete the review. The group can give the input to Randy and the appropriate stakeholders to determine what to buy. Timeline for delivery within a few weeks. An email meeting was recommended.</p> <p>Cy asked Emmor to head the stewardship resolution team and suggested that ORMAP funding may be able to help with expense.</p> <p>Question to be answered: Is resulting information from ORMAP funded projects in public domain? Some Counties are charging for the return of information that results from their pilot projects.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

	<p><u>Elevation</u>: ODF finished processing contours; that information takes up 4 CDs. There is an issue with contour layer relationship differences. 60 quads have gaps. The lake category shows some of these discrepancies. Project issues may be resolved by using the prison project to resolve the edge vs. gap issues.</p> <p><u>Other Layers</u>: Cy reported on the following –</p> <p>Bio Science has not met as a group; they are pulling together a list of participants.</p> <p>Land Conservation/Use may have a new chair – Jeff Weber.</p> <p>Utilities not doing anything at this time; they will be dealing with Homeland Security issues.</p> <p>Climate will be starting up soon, to be chaired by George Taylor. Cy and Doug Terra met with him to discuss. Much of the climate Framework data already in place.</p> <p>Hydrography – Bob unable to attend; we don't know when Clearinghouse will be up and available.</p> <p>Geodetic Control – GPS user group met. Cy met with the group to encourage them to take over the geodetic control Framework theme and they agreed.</p> <p>Gillien reported that the website links to working groups for the posing of their minutes or presentations if available. There is also a calendar that may be utilized to post meeting notices.</p>	<p>Emmor is available to offer continued stewardship of the information.</p> <p>Group is encouraged to use the tools and contact Gillien if they have questions.</p>		
<p><b>Framework Funding / Projects</b></p>	<p>Cy reported that the next biennium funding looks good at \$500,000. Especially since we can leverage more using</p>	<p>Cy recommends a brief presentation be made at the next OGIC meeting to include a procedural</p>		

	<p>matching fund programs. There is a need to give OGIC guidance in how to spend the money.</p> <p>Emmor recommended a list of objectives be presented; list could include things like:</p> <p>Money match (seek where possible)</p> <p>Prioritization of themes and how to spread the money around to as many as possible.</p> <p>Indication of whether requests define a process or produce products.</p> <p>Build in process</p> <p>Show % completed</p> <p>Show importance in relation to others</p>	<p>process to address the need for more structure.</p> <p>Group feels the money should produce projects and products, not just studies</p>		
<b>Framework themes / Elements List Updates</b>	Discussion included the possibility of combining some elements and adding one specifically for Fire. General relocation or combining of themes needs to be looked at.	Chairs will provide a priority list of data elements and changes to Cy for posting to the Web for review.		
<b>The Plan</b>	Cy is working on it.	Chairs should be, too, for their themes.		
<b>Other</b>	Standards Forum is in The Dalles June 12		Yes	Cy will email confirmation of place
<b>Next Meeting</b>	June 10, 2003 at BLM off South Commercial – Salem 1717 Fabry Rd SE			