

**Oregon Framework Implementation Team
Bureau of Land Management - Salem
September 9, 2003**

Present: Corey Plank, BLM; Arthur miller, BLM; Mark Koski, BLM; Marc Thomas, BLM; Cy Smith, DAS GEO; Randy Sounhein, DSL; Debra Kroeger, USDA-Forest Service; Diana Walker, ODA; Joe Bernert, OED; George Taylor, OSU; Gillien Duvall, DAS GEO; Dennis Scofield, ODOT; Nancy Tubbs, USGS; Ed Arabas, DAS GEO; Paul Staub, DOGAMI; Scott Jackson, DOR; Rick Campbell, Census

Scribe: Lynda Raybould

Handouts:

Topic	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/ No	Resp. Party
Introductions	Self		NO	
Framework Theme Status	<p><u>Transportation:</u> Pending Draft presented in June – second draft on website. FIT subcommittee for implementation meeting at OEM building.</p> <p><u>Admin:</u> Working on prioritization and definitions. Some work completed with DLCD on the UGB. Difficult to coordinate local efforts with State. Stewardship issues are prominent and education to local gov. is needed. A database to access historical information is also needed.</p> <p><u>Cultural:</u> Implementation plan is influenced by others themes. Address point pilot, building footprints and critical facilities are tied together – completion of pilot near.</p> <p><u>Climate:</u> Initial meeting held to work on standard. Currently using Spatial Climate Analysis Service mapping groups standards for field agencies.</p> <p><u>Orthoimagery:</u> Obtained 2001/2003 LANDSAT. 1/3 are</p>	<p>Standard Forum will address how to proceed with theme via pilot projects.</p> <p>Need definitions of UGB to be the same at state and local levels. Addressing issues that rely on local information not consistent. Recommend an MOU between DLCD & ODOT. Workshop at December Forum on std and plan.</p> <p>Will release standard for review by community soon</p>		

	<p>projected to OR Lambert. USGS and BLM are going to split the cost of rest of DOQs.</p> <p><u>Cadastral</u>: ORMAP funding strong. 11 counties have requested project funding so far this grant cycle. Goal 2 polygon map – statewide are due Oct. 2004. Counties are prone to focus on goal 4 instead of goal 2. Presented draft standard at last Standards Forum. Standard currently relies on shape files as data format. This is lowest common denominator. Cadastral sub group working on data model that could be part of standard as the highest common denominator.</p> <p><u>Geoscience</u>: October meeting planned – requesting workshop at December Forum. USGS state map funding process for geologic mapping. State divided into six work areas one for each of the next six years. NE corner will be first year project per State Geologic Mapping Advisory Council with SE area next. Soils: the Geoscience committee will decide whether to recommend adoption of the federal Soils Data Standard.</p>	<p>Standard will be revised and resubmitted to community for review sometime in 2004.</p> <p>Workshop will be held at December Forum on Geologic data standard and implementation plan.</p>		
<p>Framework themes prioritization</p>	<p>Discussion for each theme progressed with outline of priorities. Prioritization criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Level of incompleteness b. Broad need for theme c. Existing funds d. Identified steward e. Easy opportunities f. Alignment with external priorities 			

Framework for Wildfire Data	Discussion tabled.			
December Standards Forum	Discussion showed interest in an early December date. With a location somewhere in Roseburg.	Will present the Climate & Elevation Standards, possibly Geodetic Control. Geoscience workshop requested – room for one or two more.		
Next Meeting	FIT - December 9, 2003	Salem BLM Office		