

Benchmark # and Title	BUSINESS VITALITY								ECONOMIC CAPACITY			COST OF DOING BUSINESS (CODB)					
	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6 ¹	7a	7b	8 ²	9	9a	9b	9c	10a	10b
	Employment in Rural OR	Trade Outside of OR	New Employers	Net Job Growth (Overall)	Net Job Growth (Urban)	Net Job Growth (Rural)	Professional Services	Econ. Diversification	R&D Industry	R&D Academia	VC Investments	CODB Overall	CODB Labor	CODB Energy	CODB Taxes & Charges	On-time permits Air Cont	On-time permits Wastewtr
Expression	% rural	nat. rank	nat. rank	1000s	1000s	1000s	% of US	nat. rank	% of GSP		nat. rank	national rank			% issued on time		
1995		36th															
1996		33rd	9th														
1997		32nd	9th				82%	16th	1.10%	0.29%		26th	34th	4th	35th		
1998	14.2%	31st	9th	28.09	24.44	3.65	79%	14th	1.45%	0.29%		23rd	31st	4th	36th	58%	16%
1999	14.3%	30th	11th	27.52	22.53	4.99	78%	15th	1.40%	0.29%		24th	36th	4th	36th	61%	28%
2000	14.0%	33rd	8th	30.25	27.39	2.86	77%	11th	1.39%	0.29%		25th	31st	5th	36th	68%	47%
2001	13.8%	28th	7th	-10.97	-6.65	-4.32	75%	15th				27th	44th	10th	35th	90%	48%
2002	13.9%	30th	10th	-23.86	-22.70	-1.16	75%	15th	2.01%	0.34%		28th	33rd	29th	36th	90%	47%
2003	14.1%	33rd	8th	-10.76	-11.67	0.91	73%	15th	2.48%	0.36%		31st	37th	20th	36th	88%	51%
2004	14.1%	33rd	9th	32.15	27.08	5.07	72%	8th				30th	22nd	13th	36th	85%	60%
2005	14.0%		8th	57.76	49.19	8.57	72%	12th	2.36%			27th	21st	13th	36th	84%	42%
2006	14.0%	new data not available		48.36	43.19	5.17	71%	9th		0.37%		24th	26th	12th	39th	70%	44%
2007	13.9%			27.43	25.93	1.50		10th			7th					75%	37%
2010 Target	none	20th-25th	5th-10th	23.00	18.86	4.14	85%	to be reset	1.40%	0.50%	to be reset	14th	none	none	none	95%	49%
Compared to WA ⁵	Better	Worse	Worse	Better				Worse	Worse	Better	Worse	Better	Better	Similar	Similar		
Progress?	No	Yes	Yes, but	Yes, but	Yes, but	No	No	No	Yes	Yes, but	Yes, but	No, but	No, but	No, but	No, but	No, but	No
Last updated	9/12/08	4/13/07	12/26/08	4/19/08	9/19/08	9/19/08	11/6/08	12/26/08	11/6/08	11/6/08	12/26/08	11/6/08	11/7/08	11/8/08	11/9/08	12/6/08	11/22/08

Benchmark # and Title	INCOME										INTERNATIONAL		
	11	11a	11b	12	12a	12b	13a ³	13b ³	14	15a	15b	16	17 ⁴
	Per Cap Income Overall	Per Cap Income Metro	Per Cap Income NonMetro	Pay per Worker Overall	Pay per Worker Urban	Pay per Worker Rural	Income Disparity	Income Disparity	Workers above 150% Pov	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Rate	Export Stability	Foreign Language Skills
Expression	% of U.S. average			in 1000s, 2007 dollars			ratio	nat. rank	%	rate	% U.S.	% exports	%
1996												to non-prime	
1997	96.6%	97.4%	102.4%									partners	
1998	95.0%	95.8%	101.3%	\$36.20	\$37.65	\$28.37			34%	5.70%	127%		
1999	94.8%	95.6%	101.2%	\$37.20	\$38.68	\$28.87			35%	5.50%	131%		
2000	94.1%	95.1%	100.4%	\$38.49	\$40.22	\$28.98			36%	5.10%	130%		
2001	93.3%	94.0%	99.7%	\$38.24	\$39.81	\$29.23			36%	6.40%	136%		
2002	93.9%	94.2%	102.5%	\$38.25	\$39.76	\$29.88			36%	7.60%	131%		
2003	93.8%	94.2%	101.8%	\$38.39	\$39.91	\$30.01			36%	8.10%	135%		
2004	92.4%	92.9%	99.9%	\$38.70	\$40.25	\$30.19			35%	7.30%	133%		20%
2005	90.9%	91.5%	98.0%	\$38.66	\$40.21	\$29.92			35%	6.10%	120%		
2006	90.7%	91.1%	98.5%	\$39.04	\$40.55	\$30.05	12.68	20th		5.40%	117%		22%
2007				\$39.57	\$41.10	\$30.27	12.63	19th	35%	5.20%	113%		
2008													
2010 Target	100%	100%	104%	\$39.90	\$41.46	\$31.12	to be reset	to be reset	47%	4.70%	100%	60%	20%
Compared to WA ⁵	Worse	Worse	Similar	Worse	Worse	Worse			Worse	Worse	Worse	Better	
Progress?	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, but			No	Yes, but	Yes, but	Yes	Yes
Last updated	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	10/3/08	12/26/08	12/27/08	11/22/08	9/26/08	9/27/08	11/6/08	4/13/07

Is Oregon making progress?

Business Vitality	No, but
Economic Capacity	Yes, but
Business Costs	No, but
Income	No, but
International	Yes
Economy	No, but

- Notes
- #6 - new data source and data series
 - #8 - new data source and data series
 - #13a & b - new data source and data series
 - #17 - 2008 data point not available
 - When available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.

For rankings, "1st" is always best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.

Benchmark # and Title	K -12							POSTSECONDARY					
	18 ¹ Ready to Learn	19a ² Third Grade Reading	19b ² Third Grade Math	20a Eighth Grade Reading	20b ² Eighth Grade Math	21 CIM (last report)	22 H.S. Dropout Rate	23 ³ H.S. Completion	24 ³ Some College Education	25 Post-secondary Cred.'s	26a ³ College - Bachelor's Degree	26b ³ College - Advanced Degree	
Expression	% of kindergartners	% of students s achieving skill level					% diplomas w/ CIM	% leaving grades 9-12	% of the adult (25 and over) population				
1995								all postsecondary benchmarks have new data source					
1996													
1997	58%												
1998							6.9%			27.6%			
1999		81%	70%	56%	52%		6.6%						
2000	67%	82%	75%	64%	56%		6.3%	85.1%	49.8%	26.6%	25.1%	8.7%	
2001		84%	75%	62%	55%	26%	5.2%						
2002	76%	80%	74%	61%	54%	31%	4.9%	86.7%	50.1%	28.1%	25.9%	9.5%	
2003		82%	78%	61%	59%	32%	4.4%	87.7%	52.0%		26.4%	9.2%	
2004	80%	82%	81%	59%	59%	33%	4.6%	87.2%	52.7%	26.6%	27.7%	10.0%	
2005		86%	86%	63%	63%	37%	4.2%	87.5%	53.0%		27.7%	10.0%	
2006	80%	80%	76%	66%	68%	31%	4.1%	87.6%	52.7%	25.5%	27.5%	10.0%	
2007		81%	69%	68%	70%	29%	4.2%	88.0%	53.1%		28.3%	10.3%	
2008		84%	77%	65%	69%					26.5%			
2010 Target	87%	97%	90%	80%	80%	no targets	4.0%	90.0%	to be reset	31.9%	30.3%	11.1%	
Compared to WA ⁵	Better ⁵	Worse ⁵	Worse ⁶	Similar	Similar		Better	Worse			Worse	Similar	
Progress?	No, but			No			Yes	Yes		No, but	Yes	Yes, but	
Last updated	7/18/07	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	9/26/08	9/26/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	12/30/08	12/13/08	12/13/08	

Benchmark # and Title	SKILL DEVELOPMENT			
	27 ⁴ Adult Literacy	28a Computer Usage	28b Internet Usage	29 Labor Force Training
Expression	% with	% adults	% hholds	% receiving
1996	intermediate or higher literacy skills	58%	24%	30%
1997				
1998		60%	35%	37%
1999				
2000		61%	63%	31%
2001	1990=76%			
2002		59%	70%	38%
2003				
2004		58%	89%	37%
2005				
2006	81%	57%	90%	33%
2007				
2008		61%	94%	33%
2010 Target	tbd	70%	80%	75%
Compared to WA ⁵		Worse ⁵	Similar	
Progress?		No, but	Yes	No
Last updated	4/13/07	12/30/08	12/30/08	12/30/08

Is Oregon making progress?

K-12 Education	No, but
Postsecondary	Yes, but
Skill Development	No, but
Education	No, but

Notes

- 1: #18 - Data across years are not strictly comparable due to changes in survey design.
- 2: #19a, 19b & 20b - Cut score (test standard) changed in 2006. Earlier data no longer comparable.
- 3: #23, 24, and 26: 2000 data are from the decennial census. All other data are from the American Community Survey
- 4: #27 - A new phone survey methodology was executed in 2006.
- 5: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Comparators differ from benchmarks as follows
 - #18 - based on % of eligible children enrolled in Head Start
 - #19a & b - based on 4th grade reading and math scores
 - #28a - based on number of households with computers

Benchmark # and Title	PARTICIPATION				TAXES				PUBLIC SECTOR PERFORMANCE		CULTURE		
	30	31a	31b	32	33 ¹	34 ²	34a ²	34b ²	35 ³	36	37 ⁴	38	
	Volunteering	Voting Percent Turnout	Voting National Rank	Feeling of Community	Understand the Tax System	Taxes & Charges % Income	Taxes % Personal Income	Charges % Personal Income	Public Mgmt Quality	S&P Bond Rating	Arts Participation	Public Library Service	
Expression	% of pop	% turnout	nat. rank	% with	% who	national rank, 1st = least burden		grade		% partici-	% served		
1995	16+ who volunteered in last 12 months					39th	25th	42nd			pating at		
1996		59.9%	10th	41%		41st	14th	47th			least once		
1997						42nd	18th	46th			per year		
1998					36%		34th	10th	46th	B-	AA		80%
1999							37th	6th	40th		AA		84%
2000		64.7%	10th	37%			37th	12th	45th	C+	AA		84%
2001											AA		87%
2002		31.7%			51%	17%	16th	5th	41st		AA		87%
2003		33.2%									AA-		85%
2004		33.7%	71.2%	6th	49%	15%	24th	9th	42nd	B ²	AA-		83%
2005		34.0%									AA-		80%
2006		32.3%			51%	15%	27th	10th	42nd		AA-	56.9%	79%
2007		33.2%								B-	AA		80%
2008		66.0%	12th	50%	12%						54%		
2010 Target	35.0%	tdb	5th	60%	50%				A-	AAA	tdb	88%	
Compared to WA ⁵	Similar		Similar			Similar	Better	Similar	Worse	Same	Worse ⁵		
Progress?	Yes, but		No, but	No	No					No, but		No	
Last updated	9/26/2008	12/19/2008	12/19/2008	12/27/2008	12/27/2008	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	9/19/2008	10/12/2008	12/27/2008	4/21/2008	

Is Oregon making progress?	
Participation	Yes, but
Taxes	No
Public Sector Performance	No, but
Culture	No
Civic Engagement	No, but

Notes

- 1: #33 - New data source in 2000. Prior data not strictly comparable.
- 2: #34, 34a and 34b - 2004 and subsequent grades not comparable to previous grades. A ranking of first means least tax burden. The Progress Board chooses not to set targets or grades for this benchmark.
- 3: #35 - Due to significant concerns over methodology, the Progress Board opted to not grade this benchmark at this time.
- 4: #37 - 2008 data point is preliminary as of 12/27/08
- 5: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.
#37 - Compares Oregon to the Pacific Region, not to Washington State.

Benchmark # and Title	HEALTH											PROTECTION									
	39	40	41	42	43a	43b	44	45	46	47	48 ¹	49a	49b	50a ²	50b ²	50c ²	51a	51b	52 ³	53	
Expression	Teen Pregnancy per 1000	Prenatal Care % of moms receiving	Infant Mortality per 1000	Immunizations % of 2 yr-olds	HIV New Infections count	HIV Rate per 100,000 rate	Adult Non-smokers %	Preventable Death per 1,000	Perceived Health Status % report'g	Affordable Child Care % of lower income families with	Available Child Care slots per 100 kids	Positive Youth Dv 8th Grde % reporting	Positive Youth Dv 11th Grde % reporting	8th Grade Alcohol % reporting	8th Grde Illicit Drugs use in last 30 days	8th Grade Cigs %	Child Abuse Subst'd per 1000	Child Abuse Threat per 1000	Elder Abuse per 1000	Tobacco While Pregnant % not using	
1996	age 15-17	receiving		yr-olds				59.6	good or excellent	income families with	100 kids										
1997	44.2							56.4									7.4	4.7			
1998	42.1	80.2%	5.4	75%	298	11.1	78%	56.7	57%								6.8	5.5	5.9	84.8%	
1999	39.3	80.9%	5.8	72%	284	10.5	79%	52.7	57%								6.9	6.6	6.8	85.5%	
2000	35.2	81.3%	5.6	79%	271	9.6	79%	53.5	53%	36.60%							6.5	5.6	7.8	86.7%	
2001	31.7	81.5%	5.4	73%	290	10.1	79%	51.8	55%		18						5.7	3.9	8.4	87.2%	
2002	27.6	81.6%	5.8	75%	324	11.2	78%	54.1	55%	35.20%	18		24.40%	18.30%	10.70%	5.4	4.4	8.0	87.4%		
2003	26.4	81.1%	5.6	79%	325	11.1	79%	54.7	55%		17		24.30%	18.50%	10.50%	5.6	5.2	6.7	88.0%		
2004	23.8	80.3%	5.5	81%	322	10.9	80%	54.1	53%	44.10%	17		28.50%	15.90%	8.10%	6.3	5.7	5.1	87.4%		
2005	24.2	81.0%	5.9	75%	303	10.1	81%	50.9	54%		17		31.10%	15.30%	9.80%	6.9	6.1	4.5	87.6%		
2006	27.2	79.2%	5.41	79%	284	9.3	82%		57%	49.20%	17	65%	69%	31.90%	15.70%	8.70%	7.6	6.2	4.8	87.7%	
2007		78.4%	5.5	74%	260	8.3	83%		57%			64%	70%	30.90%	15.90%	9.00%	6.9	5.4	4.8	88.3%	
2008										Bobbie?		64%	69%	28.90%	14.60%	8.60%					
2010 Target	20	90.0%	4.5	90%	264	9.4	85%	49.3	72%	45%?	25	tbd	tbd	17.00%	12.00%	13.00%	5.6	5.3	27.0	98%	
Comparator ⁵	37th ('00)	33rd ('04)	13th	50th			7th	18th ('03)	13th					US=15.9%	US=7.4%	US=7.1%					US=90%
Progress?	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	No, but		No			No, but	No, but	Yes	No, but	Yes, but	No	No, but	
Last updated	8/26/2008	11/22/2008	9/12/2008	12/13/2008	12/1/2008	12/1/2008	10/3/2008	8/30/2007	9/26/2008	ops	8/30/2007	12/1/2008	12/1/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	12/27/2008	12/27/2008	12/1/2008	12/6/2008	

Benchmark # and Title	POVERTY								INDEPENDENT LIVING								Is Oregon making progress?		
	54 ⁴	54a ⁴	54b ⁴	54c ⁴	55	56 ⁵	57	58a	58b	59	60	61a	61a-i	61a-ii	61b	61b-i		61b-ii	
Expression	Poverty Overall % below 100% of federal poverty level	Poverty Age 0-17	Poverty Age 18-64	Poverty Age 65+	Health Insurance % w/out	Home-lessness ⁵ per 10,000	Child Support % paid	Food Insecure nat. rank based on	Food Insecure + Hunger	Independent Seniors %	Disabled Who Are Working %	In Poverty Physical Disability %21-64	In Poverty Physical Disability % 5-20	In Poverty Physical Disability %65+	In Poverty Cognitive Disability %21-64	In Poverty Cognitive Disability % 5-20	In Poverty Cognitive Disability %65+		
1996					11.0%			2005-07 3-yr average				New: #61 is now stratified by age and type of disability. Targets and grades will be set for the 21-64 age group only.						Health	No, but
1997	12.8%						61.9%	1st = hungriest										Protection	No, but
1998	12.8%				11.0%		62.9%			97.9%								Poverty	No
1999	11.6%	14.0%	11.0%	7.6%			58.9%			97.7%								Independent Living	Yes, but
2000	11.6%	16.0%	10.5%	7.1%	12.0%		59.6%			98.0%								Social Support	No, but
2001	10.8%	13.9%	10.6%	6.2%		21	59.6%	7th	2nd	98.1%								Notes	
2002	11.7%	16.3%	11.0%	6.4%	14.0%	17	60.4%	10th	8th	98.4%								1: #48 - New regulations in 2001; previous data not comparable	
2003	11.7%	16.5%	11.1%	5.8%		18	59.9%	19th	19th	98.3%								2: #50a,b,c - Data prior to 2002 not comparable	
2004	12.1%	17.7%	11.3%	5.5%	17.0%	19	59.3%	22nd	25th	98.7%	39.2%							3: #52 - Counterintuitive, but correct. Targets aim for more reporting.	
2005	11.9%	16.7%	11.3%	5.8%		23	60.1%	23rd	18th	98.4%	41.3%	27.8%	35.2%	10.6%	34.5%	28.0%	11.7%	4: #54's - 1999 data from 2000 Census; a-c: Board chose not to set targets	
2006	12.2%	16.5%	11.4%	8.3%	15.6%	26	60.4%	15th	3rd	98.4%	41.2%	28.0%	36.6%	12.8%	36.4%	24.3%	17.6%	5: #56 - New calculation and data series	
2007						30	62.0%			98.4%	40.3%	26.8%	33.6%	11.9%	32.3%	26.4%	14.2%	6: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally.	
2008					data n/a	33	62.0%												
2010 Target	10.00%				8.00%	to be reset	70.0%	41st	41st	98.50%	tbd	tbd			tbd				
Comparator ⁵	31st	28th	35th	19th	30th ('06)			15th	3rd		19th	US=25.5%	US=30.8%	US=13.9%	US=31.7%	US=27.2%	US=16.6%		
Progress?	No, but				No		No, but	No	No	Yes, but									
Last updated	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	9/26/2008	12/27/2008	12/20/2008	12/19/2008	12/6/2008	12/6/2008	11/22/2008	11/6/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	11/22/2008		

Except for hunger (#58a and b), a ranking of "1st" is always best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.

Benchmark # and Title <i>Expression</i>	CRIME									EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS	
	62	62a	62b	62c	63a	63b	64 ¹	65	66	67a	67b
	Overall Crime	Person Crimes	Property Crimes	Behavioral Crimes	Juvenile Arrests Person	Juvenile Arrests Property	Students Carrying Weapons % grades 9-12 (self-report)	Adult Recidi-vism	Juvenile Recidi-vism	Emergency Preparedness Geo Hazards % of communities	Emergency Preparedness All Types % of counties
1995							9-12			prepared	with emergency plans
1996							(self-report)				meeting standards
1997	150.1	15.2	83.0	51.9	5.1	19.6			38.3%		
1998	138.5	14.5	74.4	49.6	4.8	17.0		32.1%	36.9%		
1999	131.7	13.7	68.2	49.8	4.5	15.1	14.0%	31.5%	36.6%	30%	
2000	127.8	12.9	66.9	48.1	4.5	14.1		29.6%	34.8%	40%	50%
2001	128.4	12.0	69.7	46.8	4.1	12.7	13.0%	30.0%	34.1%	45%	59%
2002	124.2	11.7	67.5	45.1	3.5	11.4		32.6%	32.2%	46%	81%
2003	127.7	11.6	69.5	46.6	4.0	12.6	19.9%	30.5%	32.1%	47%	86%
2004	125.4	11.5	66.5	47.4	4.2	12.2		31.2%	31.3%	50%	88%
2005	123.6	11.4	64.4	47.7	3.9	10.9	20.5%	30.5%	31.5%	50%	97%
2006	117.4	11.3	58.9	47.3	3.8	11.2		29.8%	31.0%	58%	97%
2007							28.9%	31.1%		72%	97%
2008										80%	
2010 Target	110	11.5	59.1	34.4	3.9	13.8	9.0%	27.0%	30.0%	60%	100%
Comparator ²	29th	13th	33rd	7th	20th	39th	WA=17%				
Compared to WA ⁵	better	better	better	better	better	similar	worse				
Progress?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes, but	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, but
Last updated	4/21/2008	4/21/2007	4/21/2008	4/21/2007	10/6/2008	10/6/2008	11/7/2008	12/1/2008	10/6/2008	12/13/2008	11/6/2008

Is Oregon making progress?	
Crime	Yes, but
Emergency Preparedness	Yes, but
Public Safety	Yes, but

1: 2001 and later data points not comparable to prior years due to changes in survey methodology

2: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Care should be taken when comparing crime rates across states.

Benchmark # and Title	GROWTH MANAGEMENT				INFRASTRUCTURE					HOUSING		
	68a	68b	69a	69b	70 ¹	71	72a	72b-i	72b-ii	73 ²	74a	74b
	Traffic Congest'n Portland	Traffic Congest'n Other	Drinking Water Population	Drinking Water Systems	Alt. Commuting	Vehicle Miles Traveled	State Road Condition	State Bridge Condition	Local Bridge Condition	Home Owner-ship	Affordable Housing Renters	Affordable Housing Owners
Expression	hours of travel delay		% with water	%	% not driving alone	per capita, metro areas	% in fair or better condition			% owner	% below median income	
1995	18.4	3.5	meeting standards	meeting standards	alone					occupied	spending >30% of income on housing, including utilities	
1996	18.5	4.1			33.00%		78%					
1997	19.3	4.5					77%	97%	87%			
1998	19.7	4.9			29.00%	7090	77%	97%	85%			
1999	20.8	5.4	93%	83%		7130	78%	97%	86%			
2000	22.9	6.7	94%	80%	23.80%	7060		97%	87%	64.3%		
2001	19.1	6.1	93%	83%		7020	81%	94%	90%			
2002	19.4	6.7	92%	83%		7040		91%	89%	63.7%		
2003	20	6.4	94%	84%		7040	84%	88%	85%	63.2%		
2004	Scott	Scott	96%	85%	32.70%	6940	85%	87%	84%	63.0%	67.9%	52.3%
2005	Scott	Scott	94%	85%		6930	87%	87%	84%	63.8%	68.9%	53.9%
2006			96%	88%	31.50%	6930				64.8%	65.9%	53.8%
2007			90%	85%		6810				64.6%	64.6%	55.2%
2008			97%	86%	Scott							
2010 Target	28	9.1	91%	90%	31%	6977	80%	92%	89%	to be reset	60% proposed	50% proposed
Comparator ³	WA=26.7 ('02)	16th ('05)	11th	39th	5th ('05)	13th	5th	21st		44th	33rd	38th
Progress?	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	Yes, but	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		No, but ⁴	
Last updated	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	11/22/2008	11/22/2008	ops	12/13/2008	12/20/2008	12/19/2008	12/20/2008	8/26/2008	12/6/2008	12/7/2008

Is Oregon making progress?	
Growth Management	Yes, but
Infrastructure	Yes, but
Housing	No, but
Community Development	Yes, but

Notes

- 1: #70 - Data for 2006 are not directly comparable to earlier data due to changes in survey and calculation.
- 2: #73 - 2000 data from the Decennial Census, all other data from ACS
- 3: Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares nationally. Comparators differ from benchmarks as follows:
#72a: Percent of all arterials & national highways in fair or better condition
- 4: #73, 74a and 74b grade: data source changed to American Community Survey and targets have not yet been reset. However, for the purpose of this report, the Progress Board has assigned a provisional grade to the Housing category based on national rankings for these benchmarks.

Benchmark # and Title	AIR					WATER							LAND								
	75a	75b	76a ¹	76b ¹	77	78a	78b	79a	79b	79c	80a	80b	81 ²	81a ²	81b ²	82	83a ³	83b	84	85	
	Air Qual Sensitive Groups	Air Qual All Groups	Air Qual Cancer	Air Qual Respiratory	CO2 Emissions	Wetlands Fresh-water	Wetlands Estuarine	Strm Wtr Quality Inceasg	Strm Wtr Quality Decreasg	Strm Wtr Quality Good-Exc	Strm Wtr Quantity 9+ mo's	Strm Wtr Quantity 12 mo's	Agri-cultural Overall	Agri-cultural Cropland	Agri-cultural Other	Forest Land	Timber Harvest Public	Timber Harvest Private	Municip Solid Waste	Hazard's Substance Sites	
Expression	# of days that are unhealthy		% of people at risk		% of 1990	acres gained or lost		% of streams with			% of streams meeting		% of 1974 lands not converted								
1996	0		86%	95%	120%						minimum flow rights		'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	'74 - 100%	planned	projected	per capita	up	
1997	0	0			120%								'84 - 98.6%	'84 - 98.6%	'84 - 98.5%	'84 - 98.9%	harvest	harvest			
1998	10	1			119%			70%	1%	37%	94%	76%	'94 - 98%	'94 - 98.4%	'94 - 98.5%	'94 - 98.5%	68%	83%	1609	44.6%	
1999	41	2	98%	99%	126%			64%	1%	41%	94%	65%					73%	88%	1644	46.4%	
2000	54	2			126%			70%	1%	42%	82%	59%	97.8%	98.0%	97.7%	98.3%	67%	93%	1617	55.5%	
2001	43	6			122%	129	-2	51%	5%	46%	82%	24%					52%	85%	1531	62.5%	
2002	97	20			120%	91	1	37%	4%	46%	88%	35%					59%	97%	1568	65.7%	
2003	17	1			117%	35	-2	32%	6%	48%	65%	35%					68%	97%	1588	69.4%	
2004	15	1			121%	75	13	24%	10%	49%	94%	47%					83%	106%	1636	71.0%	
2005	30	1			126%	21	0	14%	14%	51%	82%	53%	97.7%	97.9%	97.5%	98.2%	94%	102%	1673	72.7%	
2006	83	2				21	10	8%	21%	40%	94%	41%					80%	105%	1765	75.2%	
2007	120	10				102	20	6%	24%	45%	76%	29%					80%	90%	1756	77.1%	
2008						183	6														
2010 Target	20	3	95%	90%	106%	0	250	75%	0	45%	65%	40%	tbd	tbd	tbd	97.4%	90%-110%	90%-110%	1533	79.9%	
Comparator ⁶						18th (all wetlands)		WA=40%	WA=2%												
Progress?	No	Yes, but			No	Yes	No	No, but	No	Yes	Yes, but	No				Yes	No	Yes, but	No	Yes	
Last updated	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	12/6/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/6/2008	12/6/2008	12/29/2008	12/29/2008	12/29/2008	3/31/2008	9/19/2008	9/19/2008	12/6/2008	11/7/2008	

Benchmark # and Title	LAND, cont.			PLANTS AND WILDLIFE ⁴											REC						
	85a	85b	85c	86a	86b	87a	87b	87c	88a	88c	89	89a	89b	89c	89d	90 ⁵	91				
	Haz Subst Non-tank Sites	Haz Subst Regulated Tanks	Haz Subst Heating Oil Tnks	FreshWtr Species Fish	FreshWtr Species Othr Fish	Marine Species Fish	Marine Species Shellfish	Marine Species Mammals	Terrest. Species Verteb.	Terrest. Species Plants	Natural Habitat Overall	Natural Habitat Forests	Natural Habitats Shrubland	Natural Habitats Grassland	Nat ural Habitats Wetlands	Invasive Species	State Park Acreage				
Expression			% of monitored species not at risk per Endangered Species Lists only											% of land that is a natural habitat							
1996				Experts agree that these benchmarks need to be changed.											See complete reports online for definition of "natural". This benchmark was added in 2007.						
1997																	29.0				
1998	19.6%	52.2%	39.8%						75%								28.0				
1999	22.3%	56.5%	40.4%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%								29.0				
2000	25.9%	61.9%	54.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	28.0				
2001	28.0%	68.0%	62.6%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%	98%						0	27.5				
2002	29.3%	73.2%	65.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							1	27.5				
2003	30.3%	76.5%	69.3%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%	98%						0	28.0				
2004	32.3%	78.3%	70.9%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.6				
2005	33.2%	80.0%	72.9%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.8				
2006	34.5%	82.0%	75.6%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	27.7				
2007	36.3%	81.9%	78.1%	50%	92%	100%	100%	75%	98%							0	26.4				
2008																0	26.4				
2010 Target	37.8%	86.4%	80.7%	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	tbd	0	35				
Comparator ⁶																					
Progress?	Yes	Yes	Yes													Yes	No				
Last updated	11/6/2008	11/5/2008	11/6/2008	12/20/2008	12/20/2008	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	4/13/2007	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	12/13/2008	11/22/2008	12/30/2008				

Is Oregon making progress?

Air	No, but
Water	No, but
Land	Yes, but
Plants and Wildlife	No finding
Outdore Recreation	No
Environment	No, but

Notes

- #76 - 2003 data point anticipated winter '09
- #81, 81a & b - New baseline year and data series require targets to be reset.
- #83a - USFS decreased planned harvest levels in 2005. Prior data not comparable.
- #86c & 88b: benchmarks not shown; data n/a
- #90 - New Zealand mud snail established '02; 2008 data point is preliminary.
- Where available, comparators reflect how Oregon compares. Comparator for #82 is of 1977 (not 1974) forest land.

For rankings, "1st" is always best.

For analysis, please see complete reports on individual benchmarks at <http://benchmarks.oregon.gov>.