

in the process of converting from tape cartridge to Network Data Mover (NDM). If successful, this will dramatically speed up the process for both test and production.

Agenda Item 837 Institutional 28 line roll up / split update
– William Johnson

William reported that a systems request has been initiated and given a priority for review by two analysts to determine the current status for completion and the level and number of staff it would take to complete the remaining work, including the number of hours. Their analysis should be complete by the end of August for a September update.

Other Discussion Items Vicki Tuttle - Northwest Dental
Vickie asked for an update on the 834 and any possible issues. There was no update available. William Johnson agreed to be the point person for all concerns regarding Transactions for communications back to the Plans. His email address was given to the group.

Some Plans asked whether or not OMAP has completed the programming requested for the 834 HD04 segment. Not all Plans were aware of the requested change. William explained that the 49th and 50th characters would be used to show future Medicaid eligibility end dates for Medicare dual eligibles. **Note:** The change will not take affect until November 2005.

270/271 Response time question - Pat Van Dyke

Pat asked what the response time for the 270/271 Real-Time Inquiry would be. Natalie Rodgers responded that the response would be within 60 seconds or less as outlined in the IG. William Johnson explained most inquiries have received responses within 10-15 seconds. Natalie Rodgers urged interested members to confirm they have indicated these transactions on their TPA and begin testing as soon as possible.

Janet Meyer expressed concerns with the B2B testing process. The group was under the impression that a B2B test file notification was to be responded to within 24 hours. This notification allowed them to be able to know when they should receive a response back on their file that is being tested. Janet also stated that her files were sometimes taking more than a week to get responses back from the testing team. William explained that Encounter Data results take longer than a Fee for Service claim results. William agreed to address this issue offline and report back to the group.

Update: DHS' commitment to response time for B2B testing (FFS or encounter) is and has always been 2-5 business days. Review of B2B test files received from FamilyCare and responses back were within DHS published timeframes.

Group has some concerns about the 10% error rate and no pends rule. William agreed to follow-up on this issue and get back to the group with clarification on

to get this information updated. We went around the group to see if they had an idea of what their target production dates are. Some Plans had updates and the others will get back to William either by phone or email. The group noted a couple of changes on the Survey document as follows:

***MVIPA shouldn't be on this form and MVBCN to be added to MHO section of the survey.

This information will be shared at the OHP Contractors Meeting and it is advised that the information be updated as soon as possible. William Johnson agreed to be the point person for the collection of this information.

Tasks from meeting

*Follow-Up on 834 issues to group by Friday August 19th and provide updates there after if no resolution is given - William Johnson

*Give Group clarification on the Business-to-Business testing rule of 10% or less errors with no pend or suspend errors by August 19th - William Johnson

*Give the group and update on the 837 Institutional 28 line roll up – if this issue will be fixed or not - William Johnson

*Feed back on test file notifications in the first 24 hours. (William Johnson)

* Communicate with Oregon DHS EDI testing team replacement test file process. Follow-up on testing delay issue – William Johnson

End of Meeting