

Date: January 21, 2005

Meeting Title: Evidence Based Practices (EBP) Outcomes & Cost Benefits Workgroup

Attendees: *Jon Collins, OMHAS, facilitator*; Becky Anderson, OMHAS; Luke Bergman, OHSU; Kathleen Burns, OMHAS; Paula Casner, WelSpring; Jill Dale, CCMH; Marion David, OMHAS; Ken Dudley, GOBHI; Byron Fujita, Clackamas County; Kari Greene, Trillium F.S.; Andrew Grover, Christie; Clifford Hartman, Linn County; Lalori Lager, ReConnections Counseling; Seth Lyon, Consumer; Bonnie Malek, Marion County, Pauline Martel, Adapt; Rolan Migchielson, Douglas County; Tamara Perkins, Multnomah County; Traci Rieckmann, OHSU; Negussie Sado, WelSpring; Lynda Sloan, OHMAS; Chris Steele, Shelter Care; Janet Walker, PSU/RRI

Topic	Key Discussion Points	Action/Task/ Decision Log	Responsible Persons	Due Date
Introductions & handouts	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Jon Collins distributed minutes for previous meetings and agenda for present meeting and reviewed the purpose and goals of the workgroup.• Purpose of EBP Outcomes & Cost Benefits workgroup is to verify outcomes and cost benefits of identified EBPs, and to determine how to collect information on EBPs adopted.• Jon Collins reviewed the process used by the Selection & Verification Workgroup to identify an initial group of EBPs. Details are on the DHS website: www.dhs.state.or.us/addiction/.			

<p>Discussion of data collection tools and processes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current data collection tools include the Client Process Monitoring System (CPMS), OPRCS, and Medicaid Information System. • A replacement for CPMS is being researched and there is a need for an interim bridge program to collect missing data. • Certain assumptions regarding use of EBPs must be made. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will be assumed that if a program reports use of EBP that they are doing it. 2. Funding may not be sufficient to allow a practice to be fully implemented. 3. Data collection is expensive. Agencies may have to be innovative and collaborative to find ways to make data collection affordable. Another group is looking at technical assistance to providers for tracking. 4. It is important to be aware that MHOs might set up their own tracking systems. It remains to be seen whether only one set of rules will be established. 			
<p>Application process</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programs will provide base 	<p>Provide agencies with a draft</p>	<p>Jon Collins</p>	<p>1/28/05</p>

	<p>information that includes percent of funding spent on EBP, number of people served, fidelity information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A policy will be developed to define costs. • A survey to collect valid data will be sent to programs to help prepare the OMHAS presentation to Ways & Means in March. The survey will clarify some information reported on the last survey. • The survey design will include EBPs a select group of EPBs and assess the level of fidelity for the EPBs. • Survey answers will not affect funding, but agencies are encouraged to make conservative estimates to establish an accurate baseline accounting. 	document for comment.		
Next meeting	The next meeting will be end of February, date to be announced.	Schedule meeting date and location.	Jon Collins	1/31/05