

Date: 8/17/04

Meeting Title: EBP Selection & Verification/Validation Workgroup Meeting

Attendees: Bill Bouska-OMHAS, David Pollack-OMHAS, Jon Collins-OMHAS, Bruce Abel, Beckie Child, Katie Stocks-Benton County filling in for Marie Laper, Jay Harris, Gary Mconahay, Carrie Green, Janet Walker, Wendy Hausotter, Mike Hlebicheck, Kim Burgess, Susan Fillmore, Virginia Howard, Merja Kehl, Pauline Martell.

Topic	Key Discussion Points	Action/Task/ Decision Log	Responsible Persons	Due Date
Outline goal of group	The goal of the Selection & Verification Workgroup is to develop or recommend a process to evaluate processes and/or services, and place them on a continuum of Evidence-Based Practice (EBP). Also, to develop a process to qualify a particular group for EBP and verify that they are using a process of fidelity. Jon anticipates this will take three 3 meetings at the most. There is not a strict timeline.			
Review OMHAS progress on SB267	<p>OMHAS held a Stakeholder meeting on March 15. At this meeting we addressed the SB267 and what our responsibilities are in implementing it. Our goal is to take all health services and move them toward being 25 % evidence-based by July 2005. Because the bill was lacking specifics, OMHAS developed and introduced a draft definition. The definition has now been finalized. The next step is to make a report to the Interim Judicial Committee of what our efforts have been to implement the bill. Stakeholders and others who expressed interest were divided in to three workgroups. These workgroups and their tasks are as follows:</p> <p>Adoption & Implementation Workgroup Task: Administrative rules, contracts and technical assistance</p> <p>Selection & Verification/Validation Workgroup Task: Develop an ongoing process for evaluating programs/practices for EBP.</p> <p>Cost Benefits & Outcomes Workgroup Task: Focus on the information systems and cost benefits and outcomes.</p>			
Review Definition	The definition was created by looking at science-based literature from different types of practices as well as research done by other states. OMHAS has a continuum of 6 levels. Levels three, two, and one are EBP. This continuum will be the main tool used for evaluating practices. Jon read the definition and defined each of the six individual levels in his own words. Many issues will continue to be addressed. Our job is to develop a process.			
Review proposed selection and	Jon passed out a draft flow chart of the selection and verification process (see attachment). After explaining the processes, he opened the floor for questions/comments:			

verification process	<p>For the selection process the following suggestions and concerns were raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">◆ Have the selection committee be staffed by OMHAS with an advisory group that could oversee the work◆ Insure a feedback loop about services that don't meet level three◆ A line of communication related to selection and verification of EBP needs to be defined; & insure the process for both selection and verification are transparent and open to public scrutiny. <p>Key concerns included: fears related to workload and technical support to implement practices that qualify as EBP.</p> <p>Tasks related to selection process: Define line of communication for EBP application and come to next meeting with example application.</p> <p>For the verification process, again several suggestion and concerns were raised: Application to do a EBP should be based on fidelity scale or an outline of basic criteria associated with a practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">◆ Assure that there is a feedback process with links to technical assistance for those programs that cannot provide enough evidence to qualify for a practice◆ Keep data collection to a minimum; and define line of communication and who can apply for EBP verification (provider, CMHP, MHO?). <p>Key concerns related to workload both for OMHAS and community staff.</p>
Next Meeting	October 1, 2004 1:00 to 4:00. N. Salem SPDS 3420 Cherry Ave. Conference Room