

MHO CONTRACTS & RULES WORKGROUP
April 15, 2009

MHOs Attending: Bruce Abel (LaneCare), Dave Bast (JBH) Seth Bernstein (ABHA), Kim Burgess (Washington Co), Kevin Campbell (GOBHI), Susan Fillmore (FCI), Deborah Friedman (CMHO), Len Lomash (Verity), Jim Russell (BCN)

AMH/DHS Attending: Dave Fischer, Tammy Hurst, Tracey Robichaud, Kellie Skenandore, Ralph Summers

Guest Appearance: Jon Collins

Item	Discussion	Action
<p>1. ISA Progress Review Report database update – Jon Collins</p>	<p>Jon reported that the database was completed at the end of March and has been sent to the plans to start inputting data. Some plans have been collecting data since January 1 using the elements outlined in Schedule 7 of the contract. Others have not been collecting data and are developing their data collection tools based on the data elements as set up in the database. There will be some amount of variation in what is reported as a result. The official requirement is that data be collected starting in the second quarter of 2009 and reported as contractually required.</p> <p>More detail has been added to some data elements in the database for clarification but the additional detail is within the scope of the language in Schedule 7.</p> <p>AMH will develop a user’s manual for the database following the next meeting of the database workgroup in early May. Data submission requirements have been provided to the plans. The next step will be to look at incorporating Level of Need Determination reporting in the database.</p> <p>AMH has obtained a Spanish translation of the BERS and is checking to see if it can be distributed to the plans or if each plan will need to purchase it separately.</p>	<p>AMH will complete and distribute a database user’s manual following the May workgroup meeting</p> <p>Plans are required to report data collected beginning with the second quarter of 2009</p>
<p>2. Governmental entity disclosure form</p>	<p>The form has been finalized but has not yet been sanctioned and assigned a form number by DMAP.</p>	<p>AMH continues to coordinate with DMAP and will distribute the form to the plans as soon as it has</p>

		been sanctioned
3. July 1 amendment	<p>The American Recovery & Reinvestment Act requires that Medicaid MCOs allow members to obtain services from Indian Health Care Providers and reimburse them as Participating or Non-Participating Providers.</p> <p>The plans noted that the reference to “primary care providers” rather than “mental health providers” is not acceptable. AMH had anticipated that concern and discussed it with DOJ, who felt that modifying the language to refer to mental health providers was not necessary. The plans are not willing and in at least some cases not able to sign an amendment with that language in it.</p>	AMH will continue to review with DOJ and present this issue to CMS
4. Exhibit O – DHS responsibilities	<p>After consultation with PH Tech, Seth proposes the following language be added to Exhibit O to define DHS’ responsibility when a plan reports a discrepancy:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">4. When OHP clients are reported on the Enrollment Reconciliation Certification Form 2 to be missing from a monthly 834 transaction file, DHS will send a corrected 834 and 820 file to CONTRACTOR.</p>	Add to matrix of language revisions for 2010 contract for further discussion
5. Integration section	<p>The integration concepts identified in the previous discussion will be used to develop draft language. It was recommended that any language developed for the MHO contract be mirrored in the FCHP and DCO contracts. The current FCHP contract requires “cooperation” with MHOs and DCOs, but does not include references to integration even to the extent in the current MHO contract.</p>	Deborah will develop draft language for review
6. 2010 contract revisions	<p>Tammy is working on alignment of MHO and FCHP/DCO contract language where requirements are the same. The Exhibits B of the contracts are not an area of focus as the Scopes of Work are quite different for each plan type.</p> <p>Kellie presented an initial Contract Review matrix. This year we are transitioning to the matrix format used for the FCHP/DCO</p>	

	contract review process. The matrix has the same structure as the contract document and tracks each step in the review process. Because it is an extensive document, it will be provided electronically only in the future. The matrix is used to track the progress of contract revisions. Actual language to be reviewed will be presented in background documents.	
7. 2009 executed contract	The plans requested a Word copy of the final, executed 2009 contract to make templates for required submissions.	Kellie will send the 2009 executed contract to all plans

Next meeting: May 20, 1:30 – 3:00 – NOTE CHANGE IN MEETING TIME

Agenda: Continued discussion of Integration section
Review of 2010 contract revisions