

Higher Education Coordinating Commission
Credit for Prior Learning Advisory Committee

November 18, 2014
9:00-12:00pm

Chemeketa Community College
4000 Lancaster Drive NE, Salem, OR 97309
Building 3, Room 254

MEETING NOTES

Members Present/Participating By Phone: Craig Kolins, Marilyn Davis and Chris Brantley

Additional Attendees: Cyndi Andrews, Maureen Sevigny, John Osborne, Krista McDowell and Paul Mooredock

Staff Present: Donna Lewelling, Committee Administrator

Public Testimony

No public testimony was given.

Approval of Minutes

There were no changes to the October minutes as presented and the Committee approved the minutes unanimously.

Funding and Cost Analysis Worksheet

Donna provided an overview to the group regarding the Funding and Cost Analysis Worksheet. Donna met with the Community College (CC) Business Officers last month. The meeting went well and they provided candid feedback including the caution that methodologies will likely vary greatly from college to college. The CC Business Officers did indicate that they appreciated the opportunity to review the worksheet and provide feedback. During the meeting a few names were provided for consideration in joining the CPL Funding and Cost Analysis Workgroup. The Advisory Committee encouraged Donna to follow-up and invite interested CC Business Officers to join.

Update on CPL Pilot Project

Donna is meeting with the community college institutional researchers the first week of December to discuss the data collection concerns. She shared that the next implementation reports will be coming in January.

The group discussed how the inability to collect CPL data has been a barrier to getting an accurate picture of CPL in Oregon. Cyndi shared with the group that at the national level we are “behind the ball” in terms of TAACCCT grants and this may have hurt us during the application phases for grants and our lack of ability to gather data at

the statewide data has negatively impacted TAACCCT 1 -4 grants. The conversation at the national level is where is Oregon's data? Three years later we are still in the same place with things such as CPL and other items. The group discussed the need for the private sector to be able to report also in the system should also be available. At the heart of the matter is developing a data system that allows us to act like a unified system without being a "system".

CPL Legislative Report:

Donna shared that since the October Advisory Committee meeting, a couple of technical edits were provided by Craig and Marilyn. Craig provided an overview of the SSIC presentation last week. Because the SSIC may not meet in December, the SSIC will send their edits to Donna by December 1st to be incorporated. There was discussion about calling out barriers such as data, etc. Krista shared that it is important for us to identify the challenges and barriers that we identify along the way. The group made some final edits that tightened up language in the report and decided that if any additional significant edits come in from either the Advisory Committee or the SSIC that the Advisory Committee will meet by phone the week of December 1st to discuss the recommended changes. If significant changes are not suggested, Donna will work with Craig and Marilyn to make them and prepare the final report for the HECC's consideration on December 11th.

Should the Advisory Committee meet by phone the week of December 1st, then the December 16th meeting will be cancelled. Donna will communicate with the Advisory Committee via email regarding this issue.

2015 Advisory Committee Roster

The roster was reviewed and the Committee unanimously approved forwarding the roster to the full Commission for consideration and approval.

2015 Action Plan & Next Steps for Advisory Committee

The group briefly reviewed the Action/Work Plan Template. The group agreed to use this template and will spend the majority of the next meeting completing the template which will guide the 2015 work of the Advisory Committee.

Student Success Conference Proposal

The group agreed that the focus of proposal should be the pilot project. They would like it to provide the history and background of the Advisory Committee (a very high level summary) and requested that Donna check-in with Pilot Project institutions who might be interested in participating. Maybe have a couple of institutions who are on varying ends of the spectrum to discuss what CPL looks like when it's really working and an institution who is just beginning to implement CPL. Paul suggested that we try to get a student or two on the panel, maybe we can request the participating pilot schools to bring a student with them. The group discussed the following flow for the presentation:

- A Break Down of HB 4059 and what we are doing a very high-level overview
- History on the process and where we are to date (high level)
- CPL Standards - an overview of how they were developed.
- CPL Pilot Project overview

- A panel of CPL institutions – their experience and what impact participation has made for them (need a guide of questions – give them to the institutions ahead of time).
- What's next and how can they get involved?

Chris volunteered to provide the history overview and Craig is only available on the 5th and Marilyn can do the 6th. Donna will write up the proposal for submission with Craig, Marilyn and Chris reviewing it prior to submission.

DRAFT