



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Final Order of Denial**

STATE ELECTION NUMBER: E129741

CLAIMANT: Nadine W. Harmon
1204 NE 9th Street
Bend, Oregon 97701

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:** Township 17S, Range 14E, Section 23
Tax lot 1300
Deschutes County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION: James Floyd
501 NE Greenwood Avenue, Suite 700
Bend, Oregon 97701

The claimant, Nadine Harmon, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on July 26, 2006, for property located on Johnson Ranch Road, near Bend, in Deschutes County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant elected supplemental review of her Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants. This Final Order of Denial is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

A. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimant must meet each of the following requirements:

1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim

must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimant, Nadine Harmon, filed a Measure 37 claim, M129741, with the state on July 25, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-05-68, with Deschutes County on October 5, 2005. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006. The claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Deschutes County.

2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines “Owner” as: “(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

According to the deed submitted by the claimant, Nadine Harmon is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Deschutes County deed records

3. All Owners Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The deed by which the claimant acquired the property indicates that there is one non-claimant owner. The claimant has submitted a consent form signed by the non-claimant owner.

4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Deschutes County, outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the city limits of the nearest city, Bend.

5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The property is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU-AL) by Deschutes County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use (EFU). Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a dwelling on a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in an EFU zone. Under ORS 215.780(2)(a), counties may adopt minimum lot sizes smaller than 80 acres, subject to approval by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission). The Commission has approved Deschutes County’s EFU-AL zone, which requires a minimum lot size of thirty-six irrigated acres.

The claimant’s property consists of 19.2 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property is not prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

7. On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Findings of Fact and Conclusions

Deschutes County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on December 28, 1979¹

On December 28, 1979, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to state statutes, the statewide planning goals and implementing regulations. On the claimant's date of acquisition the property was zoned EFU-40 by Deschutes County. However, because the Commission had not acknowledged the county's comprehensive plan and land use regulations as being in compliance with the statewide planning goals, the statewide planning goals and Goal 3 in particular, and ORS ch. 215 applied directly to the claimant's property.

On May 5, 1981, the Commission acknowledged the application of Deschutes County's EFU-40 zone to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission's acknowledgement of Deschutes County's EFU-40 zone confirmed that zone's compliance with Goal 3, and ORS ch. 215. Deschutes County's acknowledged EFU-40 zone required 40 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. The claimant's property consists of 19.2 acres. Therefore, on the claimant's acquisition date, she could not have established any home sites in the zone that was ultimately acknowledged to comply with the statewide planning goals and implementing regulations. It appears that the claimant does not qualify for any home sites unless the claimant can show that a direct application of the Goals and ORS ch. 215 would have allowed the claimant to establish additional home sites.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on January 21, 2009. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order of Denial.

III. CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis above, the claimant, Nadine Harmon, does not qualify for any Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimant was not lawfully permitted to establish the lots, parcels or dwellings on the claimant's date of acquisition.

¹ The claimant included an unrecorded land sale contract with an earlier date with her claim. However, unrecorded documents do not establish ownership for purposes of Measure 49.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order of Denial is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION:

_____/S/_____
Cora R. Parker, Deputy Director
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development
Dated this ____ day of _____, 2009.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that it the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.