



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND  
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW  
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM  
Final Order of Denial**

**STATE ELECTION NUMBER:** E131573B<sup>1</sup>

**CLAIMANTS:** Gerald Hosler, Sr., Beverly Hosler and  
Gerald Hosler, Jr.  
15203 S Hattan Road  
Oregon City, OR 97045

**MEASURE 37 PROP  
IDENTIFICATION:** Township 2S, Range 3E, Section 19BB  
Tax lot 1200  
Clackamas County

**AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION:** Michael L. Rosenbaum  
Attorney At Law  
1826 NE Broadway  
Portland, OR 97232-1430

The claimants, Gerald Hosler, Sr., Beverly Hosler and Gerald Hosler, Jr., filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 29, 2006, for property located at 15219 S Hattan Road, near Damascus, in Clackamas County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

This Final Order of Denial is the conclusion of the supplemental review of this claim.

---

<sup>1</sup> The department originally split claim E131573 into two claims because the department determined that the claim included multiple tax lots or parcels that were not in the same ownership. E131573A refers to tax lot 900 and claimants Gerald R. Hosler, Sr. and Beverly Hosler. E131573B refers to tax lot 1200 and claimants Gerald R. Hosler, Sr., Beverly Hosler and Gerald Hosler, Jr. The department has since determined that tax lots 900 and 1200 are in fact under the same ownership and therefore contiguous properties. Nonetheless, because a final determination has been issued for claim E131573 and combination of the claims will not affect the outcome of this claim, the department will not recombine claims E131573A and E131573B.

## I. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

### A. Maximum Number of Home Sites for Which the Claimants May Qualify

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimants have requested three home site approvals in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes nine home sites. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

### B. Qualification Requirements

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, the claimants must meet each of the following requirements:

#### 1. Timeliness of Claim

A claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

#### Findings of Fact and Conclusions

The claimants, Gerald Hosler, Sr., Beverly Hosler and Gerald Hosler, Jr., filed a Measure 37 claim, M131573, with the state on November 29, 2006. The claimants filed Measure 37 claim, ZC653-06, with Clackamas County on November 29, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

The claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Clackamas County.

#### 2. The Claimant Is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

According to the recorded deeds submitted by the claimants and the documentation submitted by the claimants' agent during the comment period, Gerald Hosler, Sr. and Beverly Hosler are owners of fee title to the property and, therefore are owners under Measure 49. Clackamas County has confirmed that Gerald Hosler, Sr. and Beverly Hosler are current owners of the property.

According to the recorded deed submitted by the claimants, Gerald Hosler, Jr. conveyed his interest in the Measure 37 claim property to Gerald Hosler, Sr. and Beverly Hosler on June 15, 2006, and, therefore, is not an owner under Measure 49.

**3. All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim**

All owners of the property must consent to the claim in writing.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

All owners of the property have consented to the claim in writing.

**4. The Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City**

The Measure 37 claim property must be located entirely outside any urban growth boundary and entirely outside the boundaries of any city.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Clackamas County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Damascus.

**5. One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling**

One or more land use regulations must prohibit establishing the requested lot, parcel or dwelling.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions:**

The property is currently zoned Rural Residential/Farm Forest (RRFF-5) by Clackamas County, in accordance with Goal 14, which prohibits the urban use of rural land and requires local comprehensive plans to identify and separate urbanizable from rural land in order to provide for the orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban use. State laws, namely Goal 14 and OAR 660-004-0040, prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 20 acres for property within a mile of the UGB for the Portland metropolitan area. Because the claimants' property is within a mile of the Portland metropolitan area UGB all new lots or parcels must be at least 20 acres in size.

The claimants' property consists of five acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

**6. The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)**

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions**

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property is prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

**7. On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49**

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions**

Clackamas County deed records indicate that claimants Beverly Hosler and Gerald Hosler, Sr. acquired the property on June 15, 2006.<sup>2</sup>

On June 15, 2006, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Clackamas County's acknowledged Rural Residential/Farm Forest (RRFF-5) zone. Clackamas County's RRFF-5 zone required five acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be

---

<sup>2</sup> The deed records indicate claimants Beverly Hosler and Gerald Hosler, Sr. originally acquired tax lot 1200 in 1975, but conveyed the property to Gerald Hosler, Jr. under a land sale contract on March 13, 2006. They reacquired tax lot 1200 on June 15, 2006. Regarding reacquisition of claim property, Measure 49 section 21(3) provides: "If a claimant conveyed the property to another person and reacquired the property, whether by foreclosure or otherwise, the claimant's acquisition date is the date the claimant reacquired ownership of the property."

established. The claimants' property consists of five acres and is developed with a dwelling. Therefore, the claimants could not have established any additional home sites on their date of acquisition.

## **II. COMMENTS ON THE PRELIMINARY EVALUATION**

The department issued its Preliminary Evaluation for this claim on February 4, 2010. Pursuant to OAR 660-041-0090, the department provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. Comments received have been taken into account by the department in the issuance of this Final Order and Home Site Authorization.


## **III. CONCLUSION**

Based on the analysis above, claimant Gerald Hosler, Jr., does not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because he is not an owner of the Measure 37 property.

Based on the analysis above, claimants, Gerald Hosler, Sr. and Beverly Hosler do not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimants were not lawfully permitted to establish the lots, parcels or dwellings on the claimants' date of acquisition.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Final Order of Denial is entered by the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development as a final order of the department and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.300 to ORS 195.336 and OAR 660-041-0000 to 660-041-0160.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT AND THE LAND  
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT  
COMMISSION:



---

Judith Moore, Division Manager  
Dept. of Land Conservation and Development  
Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2010

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF**

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to judicial remedies including the following:

1. Judicial review is available to anyone who is an owner of the property as defined in Measure 49 that is the subject of this final determination, or a person who timely submitted written evidence or comments to the department concerning this final determination.
2. Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 must be filed in the Circuit Court in the county in which the affected property is located. Upon motion of any party to the proceedings, the proceedings may be transferred to any other county with jurisdiction under ORS 183.484 in the manner provided by law for change of venue.
3. Judicial review of this final determination is limited to the evidence in the record of the department at the time of its final determination. Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the department's office at 635 Capitol St. NE, Suite 150, Salem, OR 97301-2540. Judicial review is only available for issues that were raised before the department with sufficient specificity to afford the department an opportunity to respond.