



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND  
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW  
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM  
Preliminary Evaluation**

January 6, 2010

**STATE ELECTION NUMBER:** E124382

**CLAIMANT:** Patricia Royer  
PO Box 992  
Newberg, OR 97132

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY  
IDENTIFICATION:** Township 2S, Range 2W, Section 31  
Tax lot 3200<sup>1</sup>  
Yamhill County

**I. ELECTION**

The claimant, Patricia Royer, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on March 15, 2006, for property located at 19105 NE Jacquith Road, near Newberg, in Yamhill County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of her Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

**II. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY EVALUATION**

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimant is not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because she would not have been lawfully permitted to establish two home sites on the claimants' date of acquisition.

**III. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF HOME SITE APPROVALS FOR WHICH THE  
CLAIMANT MAY QUALIFY**

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The

---

<sup>1</sup>The Measure 37 claim property consisted of tax lot 3200. Tax lot 3200 has since been partitioned into tax lots 3200 and 3202.

claimant has requested two home site approvals in the election material. The Measure 37 waiver issued for this claim describes two home sites. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of two home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

#### **IV. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF QUALIFICATION FOR HOME SITE APPROVAL**

##### **1. Preliminary Analysis**

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

The claimant, Patricia Royer, filed a Measure 37 claim, M124382, with the state on March 15, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-02-06, with Yamhill County on March 15, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

It appears that the claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Yamhill County.

In addition to filing a claim with both the state and the county in which the property is located, to qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimant must establish each of the following:

##### **(a) The Claimant is an Owner of the Property**

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

According to the land sale contract submitted by the claimant, Patricia Royer is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Yamhill County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

##### **(b) All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim**

It appears that the claimant is the sole owner of the property. Therefore, no additional consent is required.

**(c) The Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City**

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Yamhill County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Newberg.

**(d) One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling**

As stated in Section III above, the claimant may qualify for up to two home site approvals.

The property is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EF-40) by Yamhill County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in an EFU zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels. Under ORS 215.780(2)(a), counties may adopt minimum lot sizes smaller than 80 acres, subject to approval by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission). The Commission has approved Yamhill County’s EF-40 zone, which requires a minimum lot size of 40 acres.

The claimant’s property consists of 22.60 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the two home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

**(e) The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)**

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the two home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property would be prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

**(f) On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49**

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

Yamhill County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on April 1, 1976.

The claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property after adoption of the statewide planning goals, but before the Commission acknowledged Yamhill County's comprehensive plan and land use regulations to be in compliance with those goals pursuant to ORS 197.250 and 197.251. At that time, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Yamhill County's Agriculture/Forestry (AF-20) zone. However, the Commission had not acknowledged that zone for compliance with the goals when the claimant acquired the property on April 1, 1976. Accordingly, the statewide planning goals, and in particular Goals 3 and 4 applied directly to the Measure 37 claim property when the claimant acquired it.

On June 12, 1980, the Commission acknowledged the application of Yamhill County's Agriculture/Forestry (AF-20) zone to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission's acknowledgement of Yamhill County's AF-20 zone confirmed that zone's compliance with Goals 3 and 4 and ORS chapter 215. As it did when the claimant acquired the property in 1976, Yamhill County's acknowledged AF-20 zone required 20 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. The claimant's property consists of 22.60 acres. Therefore, on the claimant's acquisition date, she could not have established two home sites in the zone that was ultimately acknowledged to comply with the statewide planning goals and implementing regulations.<sup>2</sup>

**2. Preliminary Conclusion**

Based on the preliminary analysis, the claimant, Patricia Royer, does not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimant was not lawfully permitted to establish two lots, parcels or dwellings on the claimants' date of acquisition.

---

<sup>2</sup> The department recognizes that claimant's election requests approval of a parcel and non-farm dwelling established pursuant to Yamhill County decision NFD-02-07. However, ORS 215.284 and OAR 660-033-0130 provide the criteria for the establishment of a non-farm-related dwelling in an EFU zone. Non-farm dwellings are subject to criteria that have not substantively changed since the claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property. The question of whether the claimant could have qualified for or could currently qualify for a non-farm dwelling is independent of the issue relevant to the Measure 49 inquiry, which is statutorily limited to whether a claimant was lawfully permitted to establish one or more home sites on the claimant's acquisition date and, due to regulations established subsequent to that acquisition, is currently prohibited from establishing that use.

## V. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent, a county and any third party may submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation. The comments, evidence and information must be filed with the department no more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days after the date this evaluation is mailed to the claimant and the claimant's agent and notice of this evaluation is mailed to third parties.

The department will mail a copy of all materials timely filed by a county or a third party with the department to the claimant and the claimant's agent. A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent may then file written comments, evidence or information in response to the materials filed by the third party or county. That response must be filed no more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date the department mails the materials to the claimant and the claimant's authorized agent.

All comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation and all responses to materials filed by a third party or a county shall be delivered to Supplemental Measure 49 Claim Review, 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540 and will be deemed timely filed either (1) if actually delivered to the department before the close of business on the final eligible calendar day, or (2) if mailed on or before the final eligible calendar day.

**Note: Please reference the claim number and claimant name and clearly mark your comments as "Preliminary Evaluation Comments." Comments must be submitted in original written form only. Comments submitted electronically or by facsimile will not be accepted.**