



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Preliminary Evaluation**

October 21, 2009

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E131307

CLAIMANT:

Harold D. Mast¹
806 Doyle Street
Reedsport, OR 97467

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

Township 21S, Range 11W, Section 9
Tax lot 100
Douglas County

I. ELECTION

The claimant, Harold Mast, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 27, 2006, for property located at 7634 Lower Smith River Road, near Reedsport, in Douglas County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimant has elected supplemental review of his Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

II. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimant is not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because the claimant would not have been lawfully permitted to establish any additional home sites when he acquired the property.

**III. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF HOME SITE APPROVALS FOR WHICH THE
CLAIMANT MAY QUALIFY**

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver

¹ Susan Mast is listed as a claimant on the election form, but she was not a claimant under Measure 37 and, therefore, is not eligible for relief under Measure 49.

was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimant has requested two home site approvals in the election material. The Measure 37 waiver issued for this claim describes two home sites. Therefore, the claimant may qualify for a maximum of two home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

IV. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF QUALIFICATION FOR HOME SITE APPROVAL

1. Preliminary Analysis

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

The claimant, Harold Mast, filed a Measure 37 claim, M131307, with the state on November 27, 2006. The claimant filed a Measure 37 claim, M37-153, with Douglas County prior to March 16, 2007. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

It appears that the claimant timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Douglas County.

In addition to filing a claim with both the state and the county in which the property is located, to qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimant must establish each of the following:

(a) The Claimant is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

According to the deed submitted by the claimant, Harold Mast is the owner of fee title to the property as shown in the Douglas County deed records and, therefore, is an owner of the property under Measure 49.

(b) All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

The deed by which the claimant acquired the property indicates that there is one non-claimant owner. The claimant has submitted a consent form signed by the non-claimant owner.

(c) The Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Douglas County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Reedsport.

(d) One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

As stated in Section III above, the claimant may qualify for up to two home site approvals.

The property is currently zoned Farm/Forest (FF) by Douglas County, in accordance with Goals 3 and 4, as implemented by OAR 660-006-0050. State land use regulations, including applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, divisions 6 and 33, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a mixed farm/forest zone and regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels.

The claimant's property consists of 20 acres. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimant from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the two home sites the claimant may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

(e) The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimant, it does not appear that the establishment of the two home sites for which the claimant may qualify on the property would be prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

(f) On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

Douglas County deed records indicate that the claimant acquired the property on June 21, 1978.

The claimant acquired the Measure 37 claim property after adoption of the statewide planning goals, but before the Commission acknowledged Douglas County's comprehensive plan and land use regulations to be in compliance with those goals pursuant to ORS 197.250 and 197.251. At that time, the Measure 37 claim property was zoned Forest Recreation (FR) by Douglas County. However, the Commission had not acknowledged that zone for compliance with the goals when the claimant acquired the property on June 21, 1978. Accordingly, the statewide planning goals, and in particular Goals 3 and 4, and ORS chapter 215 applied directly to the Measure 37 claim property when the claimant acquired it.

To determine whether a use of property that was not subject to an acknowledged zone at the time the claimant acquired it would have complied with Goals 3 and 4, and ORS 215, OAR 660-041-0110 provides that DLCDC will apply the first acknowledged local land use regulations, unless the evidence in the record, including but not limited to, county Measure 37 waivers or local land use determinations issued at the time the property was acquired, establishes that a greater number of lots, parcels or dwellings would have been lawfully permitted.

In 1978, Goal 3 was "to preserve and maintain agricultural lands. It required the adoption of exclusive farm use zones pursuant to ORS chapter 215, and required that "[s]uch minimum lot sizes as are utilized for any farm use zones shall be appropriate for the continuation of the existing commercial agricultural enterprise within the area." Goal 4 was "to conserve forest lands for forest uses" and required that forest land be "retained for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses." Depending on the nature of the individual property, OAR 660, division 6 required compliance with either Goal 3 or Goal 4.

On January 18, 1983, the Commission acknowledged the application of Douglas County's Farm/Forest (FF) zone to the Measure 37 claim property. The Commission's acknowledgement of Douglas County's FF zone confirmed that zone's compliance with Goals 3 and 4 and ORS chapter 215. Douglas County's acknowledged FF zone required 200 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. The claimant's property consists of 20 acres and is developed with a dwelling. Division of the property would thus necessarily result in a new parcel of no more than 10 acres.

There is no evidence in the record that establishes that the creation of a new parcel of 10 acres for the development of a dwelling would have satisfied Goal 3, Goal 4, or ORS 215 prior to acknowledgement. Specifically, there is no evidence in the record to establish that a 10-acre parcel with a dwelling would serve to "preserve and maintain agricultural lands" or that such small lot sizes would "be appropriate for the continuation of the existing commercial agricultural enterprise within the area." Nor is there evidence that the parcels would "conserve forest lands for forest uses" or retain forest lands "for the production of wood fiber and other forest uses." Unless the claimant is able to show that a division of the claimant's property into 10-acre parcels for residential development would have satisfied the requirements of Goal 3, Goal 4 and ORS 215, it appears that the claimant does not qualify for the requested home sites.

2. Preliminary Conclusion

Based on the preliminary analysis, the claimant, Harold Mast, does not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimant was not lawfully permitted to establish the lots, parcels or dwellings on the claimant's date of acquisition.

V. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent, a county and any third party may submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation. The comments, evidence and information must be filed with the department no more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days after the date this evaluation is mailed to the claimant and the claimant's agent and notice of this evaluation is mailed to third parties.

The department will mail a copy of all materials timely filed by a county or a third party with the department to the claimant and the claimant's agent. A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent may then file written comments, evidence or information in response to the materials filed by the third party or county. That response must be filed no more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date the department mails the materials to the claimant and the claimant's authorized agent.

All comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation and all responses to materials filed by a third party or a county shall be delivered to Supplemental Measure 49 Claim Review, 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540 and will be deemed timely filed either (1) if actually delivered to the department before the close of business on the final eligible calendar day, or (2) if mailed on or before the final eligible calendar day.

Note: Please reference the claim number and claimant name and clearly mark your comments as "Preliminary Evaluation Comments." Comments must be submitted in original written form only. Comments submitted electronically or by facsimile will not be accepted.