



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Preliminary Evaluation**

December 21, 2009

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E133353

CLAIMANTS:

Alan D. and Bruce Montgomery¹
83615 Clear Lake Road
Florence, OR 97439

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

Township 19S, Range 12W, Section 25
Tax lots 200, 299, 300, 700 and 1400
Lane County

I. ELECTION

The claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 1, 2006, for property located at 83253 Erhart Road, near Florence, in Lane County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

II. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimants are not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because the claimants do not own tax lot 700 of the Measure 37 claim property.

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimants are not eligible for any relief on tax lots 200, 299, 300 and 1400 under Measure 49 because the zoning and lawfully permitted uses of the claimants' property have not changed since they acquired it.

¹ Laura Montgomery is listed as a claimant on the election form, but she was not a claimant under Measure 37 and, therefore, is not eligible for relief under Measure 49.

III. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF HOME SITE APPROVALS FOR WHICH THE CLAIMANTS MAY QUALIFY

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election materials; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimants have requested three home site approvals in the election material. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes non-residential use. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of three home site approvals under Section 6 of Measure 49.

IV. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF QUALIFICATION FOR HOME SITE APPROVAL

1. Preliminary Analysis

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

The claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery, filed a Measure 37 claim, M133353, with the state on December 1, 2006. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, PA06-7333, with Lane County on December 4, 2006. The state claim was filed prior to December 4, 2006.

It appears that the claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Lane County.

In addition to filing a claim with both the state and the county in which the property is located, to qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimants must establish each of the following:

(a) The Claimant is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

According to the deeds submitted by the claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery are the owners of fee title to tax lots 200, 299, 300 and 1400 of the property as shown in the Lane County deed records and, therefore, are owners of the property under Measure 49.

According to the information submitted by the claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery have not established their ownership of tax lot 700 of the property for the purposes of Measure 49. Because this requirement has not been met, the claimants are not entitled to any relief on tax lot 700 under Measure 49, and, therefore, the remaining approval criteria will not be evaluated for tax lot 700 of the Measure 37 claim property.

(b) All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

A title report included with the Measure 37 claim indicates that there is one non-claimant owner who has not consented to the claim: AMP-I, Limited Partnership.

(c) The Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Lane County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Florence.

(d) One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling

As stated in Section III above, the claimants may qualify for up to three home site approvals.

The property is currently zoned Impacted Forest (F-2) by Lane County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because the property is "forest land" under Goal 4. Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, generally prohibit the establishment of a lot or parcel less than 80 acres in size in a forest zone. Those provisions also regulate the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and include restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract.

The claimants' property consists of 292.34 acres that make up a single tract. Therefore, state land use regulations prohibit the claimants from establishing on the Measure 37 claim property the three home sites the claimants may qualify for under Section 6 of Measure 49.

(e) The Establishment of the Lot, Parcel or Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the three home sites for which the claimants may qualify on the property would be prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

(f) On the Claimant's Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Lots, Parcels or Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant's acquisition date is "the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates."

Lane County deed records indicate that the claimants acquired tax lots 200, 299, 300 and 1400 of the property on December 23, 1999.

On December 23, 1999, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Lane County's acknowledged Impacted Forest (F-2) zone. As it does today, Lane County's F-2 zone required 80 acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel on which a dwelling could be established. Also, applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660 division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, regulated the establishment of dwellings on new or existing lots or parcels and included restrictions on establishing more than one dwelling on a single tract. Therefore, the claimants lawfully could not have established any home sites on their date of acquisition.

2. Preliminary Conclusion

Based on the preliminary analysis, the claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery, do not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals on tax lot 700 because the claimants are not owners of that portion of the Measure 37 claim property.

Based on the preliminary analysis, the claimants, Alan and Bruce Montgomery, do not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals on tax lots 200, 299, 300 and 1400 because the zoning and lawfully permitted uses of the claimants' property have not changed since they acquired it. The claimants would not have been lawfully permitted to establish the requested three home sites when they acquired the property.

V. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent, a county and any third party may submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation. The comments, evidence and information must be filed with the department no more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days after the date this evaluation is mailed to the claimants and the claimants' agent and notice of this evaluation is mailed to third parties.

The department will mail a copy of all materials timely filed by a county or a third party with the department to the claimants and the claimants' agent. A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent may then file written comments, evidence or information in response to the materials filed by the third party or county. That response must be filed no more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date the department mails the materials to the claimants and the claimants' authorized agent.

All comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation and all responses to materials filed by a third party or a county shall be delivered to Supplemental Measure 49 Claim Review, 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540 and will be deemed timely filed either (1) if actually delivered to the department before the close of business on the final eligible calendar day, or (2) if mailed on or before the final eligible calendar day.

Note: Please reference the claim number and claimant name and clearly mark your comments as "Preliminary Evaluation Comments." Comments must be submitted in original written form only. Comments submitted electronically or by facsimile will not be accepted.