



OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT

ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Preliminary Evaluation

November 24, 2009

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E133689¹

CLAIMANTS:

Roland A. Hendricks
13384 Picard Place SE
Turner, OR 97392

C. Diane Kent
15108 SE 24th Street
Vancouver, WA 98683

Jerry R. and Judith K. Kinney
PO Box 38
Siletz, OR 97380

Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran
PO Box 290
Siletz, OR 97380

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

Township 11S, Range 10W, Section 7A
Tax lot 1300
Lincoln County

AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Dennis L. Bartoldus
PO Box 1510
Newport, OR 97365

I. ELECTION

The claimants, Ronald Hendricks, Diane Kent, Jerry and Judith Kinney, and Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran, filed a claim with the state under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on December 4, 2006, for property located at the intersection of Highways 20 and 229, near Toledo, in Lincoln County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37

¹ Claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney also have submitted a claim for property not contiguous to and not in the same ownership as the subject property which is identified as E122832.

claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

II. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney are not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because the claimants would not have been lawfully permitted to establish any additional home sites when they acquired the property.

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimants Roland Hendricks and Diane Kent are not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because the claimants no longer own the Measure 37 claim property.

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimants Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran are not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because the claimants have not established ownership of the Measure 37 claim property.

III. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF HOME SITE APPROVALS FOR WHICH THE CLAIMANTS MAY QUALIFY

Under Section 6 of Measure 49, the number of home site approvals authorized by the department cannot exceed the lesser of the following: three; the number stated by the claimant in the election material; or the number described in a Measure 37 waiver issued by the state, or if no waiver was issued, the number of home sites described in the Measure 37 claim filed with the state. The claimants have requested supplemental review under Section 6. No waiver was issued for this claim. The Measure 37 claim filed with the state describes one home site. Therefore, the claimants may qualify for a maximum of one home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49.

IV. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF QUALIFICATION FOR HOME SITE APPROVAL

1. Preliminary Analysis

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

The claimants, Ronald Hendricks, Diane Kent, Jerry and Judith Kinney, and Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran, filed a Measure 37 claim, M133689, with the state on December 4, 2006. The claimants filed a Measure 37 claim, 144-LURCC-06-1372 with Lincoln County on December 4, 2006. The state claim was filed on December 4, 2006.

It appears that the claimants timely filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and Lincoln County.

In addition to filing a claim with both the state and the county in which the property is located, to qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49 the claimants must establish each of the following:

(a) The Claimant is an Owner of the Property

Measure 49 defines "Owner" as: "(a) The owner of fee title to the property as shown in the deed records of the county where the property is located; (b) The purchaser under a land sale contract, if there is a recorded land sale contract in force for the property; or (c) If the property is owned by the trustee of a revocable trust, the settlor of a revocable trust, except that when the trust becomes irrevocable only the trustee is the owner."

According to the land sale contract submitted by the claimants, Jerry and Judith Kinney are the owners of fee title to the property as shown in the Lincoln County deed and, therefore, are owners of the property under Measure 49.

According to the information submitted by the claimants, Roland Hendricks and Diane Kent have not established their ownership of the property for the purposes of Measure 49. As evidenced by a recorded land sale contract submitted by the claimants, Roland Hendricks and Diane Kent conveyed their interest in the Measure 37 property to claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney on June 11, 1998.

According to the information submitted by the claimants, Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran have not established their ownership of the property for the purposes of Measure 49. The claimants have not submitted any documentation that supports Kurt and Kimberlee Cochrans' established ownership of the Measure 37 property.

Because this requirement has not been met, claimants Roland Hendricks, Diane Kent, and Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran are not entitled to any relief under Measure 49, and, therefore, the remaining approval criteria will not be evaluated for those claimants.

(b) All Owners of the Property Have Consented in Writing to the Claim

It appears that the claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney are the sole owners of the property. Therefore, no additional consent is required.

(c) The Measure 37 Claim Property Is Located Entirely Outside Any Urban Growth Boundary and Entirely Outside the Boundaries of Any City

The Measure 37 claim property is located in Lincoln County, outside the urban growth boundary and outside the city limits of the nearest city, Toledo.

(d) One or More Land Use Regulations Prohibit Establishing the Dwelling

As stated in Section III above, the claimant may qualify for up to one home site approval.

The property is currently zoned Agricultural Conservation (A-C) by Lincoln County, in accordance with ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, because the property is “agricultural land” as defined by Goal 3. Goal 3 requires agricultural land to be zoned exclusive farm use (EFU). Applicable provisions of ORS chapter 215 and OAR 660, division 33, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 3, provide standards for the establishment of a dwelling in an EFU zone. In general and subject to some exceptions, those standards require that the property be a minimum of 80 acres in size in an EFU zone and generate a minimum annual income from the sale of farm products.

The combined effect of the standards for the establishment of a dwelling in an EFU zone is to prohibit the claimants from establishing a dwelling on the Measure 37 claim property.

(e) The Establishment of the Dwelling Is Not Prohibited by a Land Use Regulation Described in ORS 195.305(3)

ORS 195.305(3) exempts from claims under Measure 49 land use regulations:

- (a) Restricting or prohibiting activities commonly and historically recognized as public nuisances under common law;
- (b) Restricting or prohibiting activities for the protection of public health and safety;
- (c) To the extent the land use regulation is required to comply with federal law; or
- (d) Restricting or prohibiting the use of a property for the purpose of selling pornography or performing nude dancing.

Based on the documentation submitted by the claimants, it does not appear that the establishment of the one home site for which the claimants may qualify on the property would be prohibited by land use regulations described in ORS 195.305(3).

(f) On the Claimant’s Acquisition Date, the Claimant Lawfully Was Permitted to Establish at Least the Number of Dwellings on the Property That Are Authorized Under Section 6 of Measure 49

A claimant’s acquisition date is “the date the claimant became the owner of the property as shown in the deed records of the county in which the property is located. If there is more than one claimant for the same property under the same claim and the claimants have different acquisition dates, the acquisition date is the earliest of those dates.”

Lincoln County deed records indicate that claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney acquired the property on June 11, 1998.

On June 11, 1998, the Measure 37 claim property was subject to Lincoln County's acknowledged Agricultural Conservation (A-C) zone. Lincoln County's A-C zone required 80 acres for the establishment of a dwelling on a vacant lot or parcel. The Measure 37 claim property consists of 3.20 acres. Therefore, the claimants lawfully could not have established a home site on the Measure 37 claim property on their date of acquisition.

2. Preliminary Conclusion

Based on the preliminary analysis, claimants Jerry and Judith Kinney not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because the claimants were not lawfully permitted to establish the dwellings on the claimants' date of acquisition.

Based on the preliminary analysis, claimants Roland Hendricks and Diane Kent do not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because they are no longer owners of the Measure 37 property.

Based on the preliminary analysis, claimants, Kurt and Kimberlee Cochran not qualify for Measure 49 home site approvals because they have not established their ownership of the Measure 37 property.

V. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent, a county and any third party may submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation. The comments, evidence and information must be filed with the department no more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days after the date this evaluation is mailed to the claimants and the claimants' agent and notice of this evaluation is mailed to third parties.

The department will mail a copy of all materials timely filed by a county or a third party with the department to the claimants and the claimants' agent. A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent may then file written comments, evidence or information in response to the materials filed by the third party or county. That response must be filed no more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date the department mails the materials to the claimants and the claimants' authorized agent.

All comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation and all responses to materials filed by a third party or a county shall be delivered to Supplemental Measure 49 Claim Review, 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540 and will be deemed timely filed either (1) if actually delivered to the department before the close of business on the final eligible calendar day, or (2) if mailed on or before the final eligible calendar day.

Note: Please reference the claim number and claimant name and clearly mark your comments as "Preliminary Evaluation Comments." Comments must be submitted in original written form only. Comments submitted electronically or by facsimile will not be accepted.