



**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT**

**ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (MEASURE 49) SUPPLEMENTAL REVIEW
OF MEASURE 37 CLAIM
Preliminary Evaluation**

January 14, 2009

STATE ELECTION NUMBER:

E134472¹

CLAIMANTS:

Alan L. and Ruby M. Cederwall
19355 Williams Highway
Williams, OR 97544

**MEASURE 37 PROPERTY
IDENTIFICATION:**

Township 38S, Range 5W, Section 26
Tax lot 701
Josephine County

I. ELECTION

The claimants, Alan and Ruby Cederwall, filed a claim under ORS 197.352 (2005) (Measure 37) on November 13, 2007, for property located at 19355 Williams Highway, near Williams, in Josephine County. ORS 195.300 to ORS 195.336 (Measure 49) entitles claimants who filed Measure 37 claims to elect supplemental review of their claims. The claimants have elected supplemental review of their Measure 37 claim under Section 6 of Measure 49, which allows the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) to authorize up to three home site approvals to qualified claimants.

II. SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

Based on the department's preliminary analysis, it appears that the claimants are not eligible for any relief under Measure 49 because at the time of filing, the claimants' Measure 37 claim did not comply with the requirements of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

¹ On July 8, 2008, the department sent the claimants a letter stating that no further action would be taken regarding this claim. That letter was sent in error. This preliminary evaluation supersedes the letter dated July 8, 2008, and this claim will continue to be processed under Measure 49.

III. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF QUALIFICATION FOR HOME SITE APPROVAL

1. Preliminary Analysis

To qualify for a home site approval under Section 6 of Measure 49, a claimant must have filed a Measure 37 claim for the property with either the state or the county in which the property is located on or before June 28, 2007, and must have filed a Measure 37 claim with both the state and the county before Measure 49 became effective on December 6, 2007. If the state Measure 37 claim was filed after December 4, 2006, the claim must also have been filed in compliance with the provisions of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

The claimants, Alan and Ruby Cederwall, filed a Measure 37 claim, M134472, with the state on November 13, 2007.

OAR 660-041-0020 required, in relevant part that Measure 37 claims based on existing DLCD regulations and filed after December 4, 2006:

(b) Include one of the following:

(A) A copy of the final written decision by a city, a county, or Metro on a Land Use Application that includes the Property and that requests authorization for the specific use that the Claim is based on, in which the city, county, or Metro determined that one or more Existing DLCD Regulations or city, county or Metro Land Use Regulations that implement Existing DLCD Regulations were approval criteria for the decision; or

(B) A copy of the final written action by an Agency on a complete application to the Agency, in which the Agency determined that one or more Existing DLCD Regulations were approval criteria for the application.

It appears that at the time of filing their Measure 37 claim, the claimants did not include a final written decision indicating that an existing regulation of DLCD, another agency or a county was an approval criterion for a completed application that the claimants have submitted to a city, county, Metro or an agency.

Because this requirement has not been met, the claimants are not entitled to any relief under Measure 49, and, therefore, the remaining approval criteria will not be evaluated.

2. Preliminary Conclusion

Based on the preliminary analysis, the claimants, Alan and Ruby Cederwall, do not qualify for any Measure 49 home site approvals. At the time of filing, the claimants' Measure 37 claim did not comply with the requirements of OAR 660-041-0020 then in effect.

IV. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT

A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent, a county and any third party may submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation. The comments, evidence and information must be filed with the department no more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days after the date this evaluation is mailed to the claimants and the claimants' agent and notice of this evaluation is mailed to third parties.

The department will mail a copy of all materials timely filed by a county or a third party with the department to the claimants and the claimants' agent. A claimant or a claimant's authorized agent may then file written comments, evidence or information in response to the materials filed by the third party or county. That response must be filed no more than twenty-one (21) calendar days after the date the department mails the materials to the claimants and the claimants' authorized agent.

All comments, evidence and information in response to the preliminary evaluation and all responses to materials filed by a third party or a county shall be delivered to Supplemental Measure 49 Claim Review, 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540 and will be deemed timely filed either (1) if actually delivered to the department before the close of business on the final eligible calendar day, or (2) if mailed on or before the final eligible calendar day.

Note: Please reference the claim number and claimant name and clearly mark your comments as "Preliminary Evaluation Comments." Comments must be submitted in original written form only. Comments submitted electronically or by facsimile will not be accepted.