

PERFORMANCE MEASURE DATA SUMMARY

Please read the instructions for this form before completing. Instructions can be found in Appendix C of the 2005-07 Budget Instructions and online at www.oregon.gov/DAS/OPB.

Agency Name: Land Conservation and Development, Department of	Last Updated: July 1, 04
Contact Person: Teddy Leland	Phone: 503-373-0050 Ext 237
Alternate Contact: Bob Rindy	Phone: 503-373-0050 Ext 229

Agency Name: Land Conservation and Development, Department of

Agency No.: 660

Budget Form # 107BF04d

Col-1	Col-2	Col-3	Col-4	Col-5	Col-6	Col-7	Col-8	Col-9	Col-10	Col-11	Col-12
PM No.	Key Performance Measure		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
1	Percent of urban areas with a population over 2,500 that have a sufficient supply of commercial and industrial land to implement their local economic development strategy.	Target					72	79	86	91	96
		Data	7	14	38	44	48				
2	Percent of urban areas that have a sufficient supply of buildable residential lands to meet housing needs.	Target					72	79	86	91	96
		Data	17	14	37	44	73				
3	Percent of urban areas that have updated the local plan to include reasonable cost estimates and funding plans for sewer and water.	Target					21	29	33	38	43
		Data	4	7	12	17	26				
4	Percentage of local jurisdictions that have a sufficient supply of shovel-ready commercially zoned land to implement their local development strategy. (proposed deletion, data unavailable)	Target									
		Data									
5	Number of new industrial sites certified as "project-ready" added each fiscal year. (proposed modification)	Target						30	20	20	20
		Data									
6	Percentage of local jurisdictions that have a sufficient supply of shovel-ready residential zoned land to implement their local development strategy. (proposed deletion, data unavailable)	Target									
		Data									
7	Percent of urban areas with a population greater than 25,000 that have adopted transit-supportive land use regulations.	Target					65	68	72	73	76
		Data	54	58	64	67	70				
8	Percent of urban areas that have updated the local plan to include reasonable cost estimates and funding plans for transportation facilities.	Target					38	43	48	53	58
		Data	18	22	26	33	42				

Performance Measure Data Summary, continued

9	Percent of estuarine areas designated as “development management units” in 2000 that retain that designation.	Target				100	100	100	100	100
		Data			100	100	100			
10	Percent of urban areas that have updated buildable land inventories to account for natural resource and hazard areas.	Target				3	6	9	12	15
		Data				3				
11	Percent of farm land outside urban growth boundaries zoned for exclusive farm use in 1987 that retains that zoning.	Target				99.95	99.94	99.94	99.93	99.93
		Data				99.98	99.98			
12	Percent of forest land outside urban growth boundaries zoned in 1987 for forest or mixed farm/forest use that remains zoned for those uses.	Target				99.96	99.96	99.96	99.95	99.95
		Data				99.97	99.95			
13	Percent of land added to urban growth boundaries that is not farm or forest land.	Target				55	55	55	55	55
		Data			48	61	70			
14	Percent of periodic review work tasks that are returned to local jurisdictions for further action. (proposed modification)	Target							15	15
		Data				13.6				
15	Percent of DLCD concerns or recommendations regarding local plan amendments that are provided to local governments within the statutory deadlines for such comments. (proposed modify)	Target					100	100	100	100
		Data				100				
16	Percent of staff reports that include discussion of legal requirements and policy choices, clear and unambiguous findings, discussion of alternatives, and are written in “plain English” (propose delete).	Target					100	100		
		Data				100				
17	Percent of decisions to appeal a local land use decision or to file an agency brief in land use cases that are reviewed and approved by the LCDC prior to filing.	Target					100	100	100	100
		Data				100				
18	Percentage of non-mandatory periodic review tasks under Senate Bill 920 retained on a work program by the Commission without agreement of the local jurisdiction. (proposed deletion)	Target				10	10	10		
		Data				0				
19	Percent of local grants awarded to local governments within two months after receiving application. (proposed modification)	Target				90	90	90	90	90
		Data				14				
20	Percentage of agency appeals of local land use decisions that were upheld by LUBA and the Courts. (proposed modification)	Target				100	100	100	100	100
		Data			100	100	No appeals			

Performance Measure Data Summary, continued

21	Customer satisfaction measure placeholder pending DAS guidance.	Target									
		Data									
22	Number of local new periodic review work programs approved between July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2005. (proposed deletion)	Target					0	0	0		
		Data					0				
23	Percent of periodic review work tasks under review at DLCD for no longer than four months. (proposed modification).	Target						100	100	100	100
		Data					100				
23a	Percentage of periodic review work tasks submitted between July 1, 2003 and December 31, 2003 that are reviewed by the Department in 120 days or less. (proposed deletion)	Target						N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Data					100				