

Priority Recommendations from LOC regarding DLCD/LCDC 2009-2011 Work Agenda

1. LOAC s/be weighing in on this discussion
 - a. 197.165 Local Officials Advisory Committee. "For the purpose of promoting mutual understanding and cooperation between the Land Conservation and Development Commission and local government in the implementation of ORS chapters 195, 196 and 197 and the goals, the commission shall appoint a Local Officials Advisory Committee. The committee shall be comprised of persons serving as city or county elected officials and its membership shall reflect the city, county and geographic diversity of the state. **The committee shall advise and assist the commission on its policies and programs affecting local governments.**" [1977 c.664 §7; 1981 c.748 §25a]
2. Periodic Reviews- old/new
3. PAPA review/ technical assistance to local governments
4. Measure 49 claims – HB 3225
5. Session bills – HB 2001, Jobs and Transportation Act
6. Session bills – HB 2229, "Big Look"
 - a. Resource land rulemaking
 - b. RPS rulemaking
 - c. Report back to Legislature on governmental partner responsibilities
 - d. Audit/review project of program
7. Session bills – HB 2230, State Agency Coordination
8. Session bills – SB 763/HB 2228, TDC/TDR Framework and Pilots
9. Session bills – HB 2227/HB 3298, Destination Resort process/ACC – further management plan work
10. Current projects
 - a. SB 1011, Urban/Rural reserves
 - b. Bear Creek RPS
 - c. Eugene/Springfield- HB 3337
 - d. Newberg URA
 - e. RTPs- Metro? Eugene/Springfield
 - f. More current projects, such as
11. ****Public facility planning/finance tools infrastructure** workgroup/project & Population forecasting strategy
 - a. Infrastructure- continued research is needed to:
 - a. Provide local government long-term, effective strategies for comprehensive infrastructure investments, coordinated with state investments and with state-managed infrastructure systems; and
 - b. Provide local government equitable and stable tools/resources for infrastructure investments to serve both redeveloping and newly urbanizing areas.
 - b. Population forecasting- a strategy to provide or renew timely forecasts:
 - a. Resources – funding, third-party?
 - b. Responsibility?
12. ****TPR rulemaking-** "significant effect " on plan amendments/zone changes; "reasonably likely" to be funded determination; need for resources- lack of resources or flexibility drives concurrency outcome

Strongly recommend that DLCD/LCDC **NOT** entertain:

1. Goal 10 rulemaking- public facilities/infrastructure effort needs to come first; this would be a significant rulemaking- cities do not have the resources to absorb new requirements/mandates. Preference to obtain additional new funding in budget prior to engaging in significant rulemaking requiring local government resources
2. Segmented review for cities under 25K- smaller cities do not have resources to change process; needs statutory change
3. Land use appeal fees- resource issue for local governments
4. Local plans/LU requirements triggered by PR- some guidance under ORS 197.646
5. Goal 9 rulemaking- resource issue for local governments; not enough policy gain for effort