



# Oregon

Theodore R. Kulongoski, Governor

## Department of Land Conservation and Development

635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150

Salem, Oregon 97301-2524

Phone: (503) 373-0050

First Floor/Coastal Fax: (503) 378-6033

Second Floor/Director's Office Fax: (503) 378-5518

Third Floor/Measure 37 Fax: (503) 378-5318

Web Address: <http://www.oregon.gov/LCD>

April 5, 2007

To: Interested Persons

From: Lane Shetterly, Director



*Re: Ballot Measure 37 (ORS 197.352) Claim Number M130293*

*Claimant: Lone Rock Timberland Co.*

---

Enclosed, in regard to the above-referenced claim for compensation under Ballot Measure 37 (ORS 197.352), is the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of the Department of Land Conservation and Development, and the Final Order.

This Final Staff Report and Recommendation and the Final Order constitute the final decision on this claim. No further action will be taken on this matter.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES,  
THE DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF  
THE STATE OF OREGON

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM FOR )      FINAL ORDER  
COMPENSATION UNDER ORS 197.352 )      CLAIM NO. M130293  
(BALLOT MEASURE 37) OF )  
Lone Rock Timberland Co. and )  
Richard Fohs Sohn, CLAIMANTS )

Claimants: Lone Rock Timberland Co. and Richard Fohs Sohn (the Claimants)

Property: Township 26S, Range 13W, Section 24C: tax lot 3402, Section 24D: tax lot 100, Coos County<sup>1</sup> (the property)

Claim: The demand for compensation and any supporting information received from the Claimants by the State of Oregon (the Claim).

Claimants submitted the Claim to the State of Oregon under ORS 197.352. Under OAR 125-145-0010 *et seq.*, the Department of Administrative Services (DAS) referred the Claim to the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) as the regulating entity. This order is based on the record herein, including the Findings and Conclusions set forth in the Final Staff Report and Recommendation of DLCD (the DLCD Report) attached to and by this reference incorporated into this order.

ORDER


The Claim is denied as to laws administered by DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) for the reasons set forth in the DLCD Report.

This Order is entered by the Director of the DLCD as a final order of DLCD and the Land Conservation and Development Commission under ORS 197.352, OAR 660-002-0010(8), and OAR chapter 125, division 145, and by the Administrator for the State Services Division of the DAS as a final order of DAS under ORS 197.352, OAR chapter 125, division 145, and ORS chapter 293.


---

<sup>1</sup> The subject property includes two contiguous tax lots. Tax lot 100 consists of 74.8 acres, and tax lot 3402 consists of 17.25 acres.

FOR DLCD AND THE LAND  
CONSERVATION AND  
DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION:

  
Lane Shetterly, Director  
DLCD  
Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2007.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES:

  
David Hartwig, Administrator  
DAS, State Services Division  
Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2007.

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL OR OTHER JUDICIAL RELIEF**

You are entitled, or may be entitled, to the following judicial remedies:

1. Judicial review under ORS 183.484: Judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the service of this order. A petition for judicial review under ORS 183.484 may be filed in the Circuit Court for Marion County or the Circuit Court in the county in which you reside.
2. A cause of action under ORS 197.352 (Measure 37 (2004)): If a land use regulation continues to apply to the subject property more than 180 days after the present owner of the property has made written demand for compensation under ORS 197.352, the present owner of the property, or any interest therein, shall have a cause of action in the circuit court in which the real property is located.

(Copies of the documents that comprise the record are available for review at the Department's office at 635 Capitol Street NE, Suite 150, Salem, Oregon 97301-2540)

**ORS 197.352 (BALLOT MEASURE 37) CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION**  
**OREGON DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT**  
**Final Staff Report and Recommendation**

April 5, 2007

**STATE CLAIM NUMBER:** M130293

**NAMES OF CLAIMANTS:** Lone Rock Timberland Co.  
Richard Fohs Sohn

**MAILING ADDRESS:** PO Box 1127  
Roseburg, Oregon 97470

**PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:** Township 26S, Range 13W  
Section 24C: tax lot 3402  
Section 24D: tax lot 100  
Coos County<sup>1</sup>

**OTHER CONTACT INFORMATION:** Danny L. Cawthon, Agent  
Lone Rock Timberland Co.

**DATE RECEIVED BY DAS:** October 13, 2006

**180-DAY DEADLINE:** April 11, 2007

**I. SUMMARY OF CLAIM**

The claimants, Lone Rock Timberland Co. and Richard Fohs Sohn, seek compensation in the amount of \$194,786 for the reduction in fair market value as a result of land use regulations that are alleged to restrict the use of certain private real property. The claimants desire compensation or the right to divide seven 10- to 10.2-acre parcels from the 92.05-acre subject property and to develop a dwelling on each resulting parcel. The subject property is located east of US Highway 101 and north of Coos-Summer Road, near Coos Bay, in Coos County. (See claim.)

**II. SUMMARY OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth below, the Department of Land Conservation and Development (the department) has determined that this claim is not valid as to Richard Fohs Sohn because he is not an owner of the subject property; not valid as to Lone Rock Timberland Co. for tax lot 100 because its desired use of that tax lot was prohibited under the laws in effect when it acquired the property in 1994; and not valid as to tax lot 3402 because no laws enforced

---

<sup>1</sup> The subject property includes two contiguous tax lots. Tax lot 100 consists of 74.8 acres, and tax lot 3402 consists of 17.25 acres.

by the Land Conservation and Development Commission (the Commission) or the department restrict the claimants' desired use of that tax lot. (See the complete recommendation in Section VI. of this report.)

### **III. COMMENTS ON THE CLAIM**

#### **Comments Received**

On February 28, 2006, pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 125-145-0080, the Oregon Department of Administrative Services (DAS) provided written notice to the owners of surrounding properties. According to DAS, no written comments were received in response to the 10-day notice.

### **IV. TIMELINESS OF CLAIM**

#### **Requirement**

ORS 197.352(5) requires that a written demand for compensation be made:

1. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted prior to the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of that effective date, or the date the public entity applies the land use regulation as an approval criteria to an application submitted by the owner, whichever is later; or
2. For claims arising from land use regulations enacted after the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), within two years of the enactment of the land use regulation, or the date the owner of the property submits a land use application in which the land use regulation is an approval criteria, whichever is later.

#### **Findings of Fact**

This claim was submitted to DAS on October 13, 2006, for processing under OAR 125, division 145. The claim identifies "Farm Forest and Forest regulations" as the basis for the claim. Only laws that were enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, are the basis for this claim.

#### **Conclusions**

The claim has been submitted within two years of the effective date of Measure 37 (December 2, 2004), based on land use regulations enacted or adopted prior to December 2, 2004, and is therefore timely filed.

## V. ANALYSIS OF CLAIM

### 1. Ownership

ORS 197.352 provides for payment of compensation or relief from specific laws for “owners” as that term is defined in ORS 197.352. ORS 197.352(11)(C) defines “owner” as “the present owner of the property, or any interest therein.”

### Findings of Fact

The claimants, Lone Rock Timberland Co. and Richard Fohs Sohn, state in the claim that they acquired the subject property on November 14, 1979, when Suntip Co.<sup>2</sup> acquired the property. Although claimant Richard Fohs Sohn has had an ownership interest in both Suntip Co. and claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co., Suntip Co. was a separate and distinct legal entity from both claimants.

Claimant Richard Fohs Sohn asserts that he is an owner of the property because he is an owner, stockholder and president of Lone Rock Timberland Co.<sup>3</sup> However, according to the title information provided in the claim and by Coos County, claimant Fohs Sohn has no ownership interest in the property.

Claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co., a Washington corporation, acquired the subject property under its former name, Lone Rock Timber Co., a Washington corporation,<sup>4</sup> on June 1, 1994, by merger with Lone Rock Timber Co., an Oregon corporation,<sup>5</sup> as evidenced by the articles of merger received from the Oregon Secretary of State’s Corporation Division.

The Coos County Assessor’s Office confirms Lone Rock Timberland Co.’s current ownership of the subject property. Claimant Richard Fohs Sohn does not own the subject property.

### Conclusions

Claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co. is an “owner” of the subject property as that term is defined by ORS 197.352(11)(C), as of June 1, 1994. Claimant Richard Fohs Sohn is not an “owner” of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C).<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Based on the information in the record for this claim, Suntip Co. is an inactive partnership of domestic business corporations registered with the Oregon Secretary of State.

<sup>3</sup> Lone Rock Timberland Co., a Washington corporation, is a foreign business corporation registered with the Oregon Secretary of State.

<sup>4</sup> Lone Rock Timber Company, a Washington corporation, changed its name to Lone Rock Timberland Co. on November 28, 2003, according to Oregon Secretary of State’s Business Registry documentation included with the claim.

<sup>5</sup> Lone Rock Timber Company, an Oregon corporation, is an inactive domestic business corporation registered with the Oregon Secretary of State.

<sup>6</sup> Under ORS 197.352(11)(A), legal entities can be “family members” of individuals who are owners of property under ORS 197.352(11)(C). However, legal entities cannot have family members under the statute. Therefore, individuals who transferred property to the Lone Rock Timberland Co. are not considered family members under the definition of family member in ORS 197.352(11)(A).

## **2. The Laws That are the Basis for This Claim**

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires, in part, that a law must restrict the claimants' use of private real property in a manner that reduces the fair market value of the property relative to how the property could have been used at the time the claimants or a family member acquired the property.

### **Findings of Fact**

The claim indicates that the claimants desire to divide seven 10- to 10.2-acre parcels from the 92.05-acre subject property and to develop a dwelling on each resulting parcel, and that current land use regulations prohibit the desired use.

The claim is based generally on the applicable provisions of state law that require forest zoning and restrict uses on forest-zoned land.

Tax lot 100 is zoned Forest (F) by Coos County, as required by Goal 4, in accordance with ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, because it is "forest land" under Goal 4. Goal 4 became effective on January 25, 1975, and requires that forest land be zoned for forest use (see statutory and rule history under OAR 660-015-0000(4)). The forest land administrative rules (OAR 660, division 6) became effective on September 1, 1982, and ORS 215.705 to 215.755 and 215.780 became effective on November 4, 1993 (Chapter 792, Oregon Laws 1993). OAR 660-006-0026 and 660-006-0027 were amended on March 1, 1994, to implement those statutes.

Together, ORS 215.705 to 215.755 and 215.780 and OAR 660, division 6, enacted or adopted pursuant to Goal 4, prohibit the division of forest land into parcels less than 80 acres and establish standards for development of dwellings on existing or proposed parcels on those lands.

Tax lot 3402 is zoned Rural Residential (RR-2) by Coos County. The RR-2 zone is consistent with Goal 14, which generally requires that land outside of urban growth boundaries be used for rural uses. Coos County's RR-2 zone was adopted on February 16, 1983, and requires a minimum of two acres for the creation of a new lot or parcel.

Goal 14 was effective on January 25, 1975, and requires that local comprehensive plans identify and separate urbanizable land from rural land in order to provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use. In 2000, as a result of a 1986 Oregon Supreme Court decision,<sup>7</sup> the Commission amended Goal 14 and adopted OAR 660-004-0040 (Application of Goal 14 to Rural Residential Areas), which was effective on October 4, 2000.

The rule states that if a county rural residential zone in effect on October 4, 2000, specifies a minimum lot size of two acres or more, the area of any new lot or parcel shall equal or exceed the minimum lot size that is already in effect (OAR 660-004-0040(7)(c)). Some relief from this provision is available for lots or parcels having more than one permanent habitable dwelling pursuant to OAR 660-004-0040(7)(h). The rule also provides that a county's minimum lot size

---

<sup>7</sup> 1000 *Friends of Oregon v. LCDC (Curry County)*, 301 Or 447 (1986).

requirement in a rural residential zone shall not be amended to allow a smaller minimum lot size without approval of an exception to Goal 14 (OAR 660-004-0040(6)). Because Coos County's rural residential zone was in effect on October 4, 2000, and requires a minimum lot size of two acres, the minimum lot size for any new lot or parcel must equal or exceed two acres.

Claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the subject property on June 1, 1994. At that time, the property was subject to the current laws in effect, as described above.

The applicable provisions of state law that regulate rural residential zoning do not restrict claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co.'s desired use of the subject property with the effect of reducing the fair market value of tax lot 3402. Based on the information in the claim, the department has not identified any applicable provisions state laws that restrict the claimants' desired use of tax lot 3402.

### **Conclusions**

The current zoning requirements, minimum lot size and dwelling standards established by Goal 4 and provisions applicable to land zoned for forest use in ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, were all enacted or adopted before Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired tax lot 100 on June 1, 1994. These land use regulations do not allow the claimants' desired division and development of that tax lot. Laws enacted or adopted since Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired tax lot 100 in 1994 do not restrict the claimants' desired use of that tax lot relative to when Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired it in 1994.

The claim does not establish any state laws that currently restrict claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co.'s desired use of tax lot 3402. Based on the record before the department, neither the Commission nor the department enforces any laws that restrict the use of tax lot 3402.

As explained in Section V.(1), Richard Fohs Sohn is not an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C). Therefore, no laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict his use of the subject private real property with the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property.

### **3. Effect of Regulations on Fair Market Value**

In order to establish a valid claim, ORS 197.352(1) requires that the land use regulations (described in Section V.(2) of this report) must have "the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, or any interest therein."

### **Findings of Fact**

The claim includes an estimate of \$194,786 as the reduction in the subject property's fair market value due to the regulations that restrict the claimants' desired use of the property. This amount is based on a comparative market analysis included with the claim.

## **Conclusions**

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, claimant Richard Fohs Sohn is not an owner of the subject property and therefore, no laws restrict his use of the subject property with the effect of reducing the fair market value of the subject property.

As explained in Section V.(2) of this report, claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the subject property on June 1, 1994. No state laws enacted or adopted since Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the subject property restrict the use of tax lot 100 relative to the uses allowed in 1994. Therefore, the fair market value of tax lot 100 has not been reduced as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department since Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the property.

Also as explained in Section V.(2) of this report, the claimants have not established that any state land use regulations restrict the desired use of tax lot 3402. Accordingly, the department cannot determine that any laws enforced by the Commission or the department have had the effect of reducing the fair market value of tax lot 3402.

### **4. Exemptions Under ORS 197.352(3)**

ORS 197.352 does not apply to certain land use regulations. In addition, under ORS 197.352(3), certain types of laws are exempt from ORS 197.352.

## **Findings of Fact**

The claim is based on state land use regulations that restrict the use of tax lot 100, including applicable provisions of Goal 4, ORS 215 and OAR 660, division 6, which Coos County has implemented through its Forest (F) zone. These state land use regulations were in effect when Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired tax lot 100 on June 1, 1994.

## **Conclusions**

All of the state land use regulations that restrict the claimants' desired use of tax lot 100 were in effect when Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the property. Therefore, these state land use regulations are exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E), which exempts laws in effect when Lone Rock Timberland Co. acquired the subject property.

As explained in Section V.(2) of this report, Lone Rock Timberland Co. has not established that any state land use regulations restrict the desired use of tax lot 3402. Accordingly, the department cannot determine that any exemptions under ORS 197.352(3) apply to this claim for tax lot 3402.

As explained in Section V.(1) of this report, Richard Fohs Sohn is not an "owner" of the subject property as that term is defined in ORS 197.352(11)(C). Therefore, the issue of whether any laws are exempt from ORS 197.352 is not relevant as to Richard Fohs Sohn.

## **VI. FORM OF RELIEF**

ORS 197.352(1) provides for payment of compensation to an owner of private real property if the Commission or the department has enforced one or more laws that restrict the use of the property in a manner that reduces its fair market value. In lieu of compensation, the department may choose to not apply the law in order to allow the present owner to carry out a use of the property permitted at the time the present owner acquired the property. The Commission, by rule, has directed that if the department determines a claim is valid, the Director of the department must provide only non-monetary relief unless and until funds are appropriated by the legislature to pay claims.

### **Findings of Fact**

Based on the findings and conclusions set forth in this report, the department finds that the claim is not valid. The claim is not valid as to claimant Richard Fohs Sohn because he is not an owner of the subject property. The claim is not valid as to claimant Lone Rock Timberland Co. for tax lot 100 because no state land use regulations restrict its desired use of that tax lot relative to what was permitted when it acquired the property in 1994 and do not reduce the fair market value of the property. All state laws restricting the use of tax lot 100 are exempt under ORS 197.352(3)(E). The claim is not valid as to tax lot 3402 because the claimants have not established that any state laws enforced by the Commission or the department restrict the claimants' desired use of that tax lot with the effect of reducing the fair market value of the subject property.

### **Conclusions**

Based on the record before the department, the claimants, Richard Fohs Sohn and Lone Rock Timberland Co., have not established that they are entitled to relief under ORS 197.352(1) as a result of land use regulations enforced by the Commission or the department. Therefore, the department recommends that this claim be denied.

The department notes that there may not be legal access to the subject property. Nothing in this report authorizes such access.

## **VII. COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STAFF REPORT**

The department issued its draft staff report on this claim on March 16, 2007. OAR 125-145-0100(3), provided an opportunity for the claimant or the claimant's authorized agent and any third parties who submitted comments under OAR 125-145-0080 to submit written comments, evidence and information in response to the draft staff report and recommendation.