

17. Goal 13 – Energy Conservation. Goal 13 is not violated by a proposal for a 102-unit assisted living facility bordering an arterial street, with nearby mass transit and a clientele that will not be heavy users of automobiles, when there is nothing in the record to suggest the proposal will waste energy or otherwise affect the city’s compliance with Goal 13. *Hubenthal v. City of Woodburn*, 39 Or LUBA 20 (2000).

17. Goal 13 – Energy Conservation. Goal 13 does not require that a local government maximize residential density or consider the energy consequences of different levels of residential development in amending its land use regulations. *Barnard Perkins Corp. v. City of Rivergrove*, 34 Or LUBA 660 (1998).