

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. A comprehensive plan map and a sub-area plan map do not conflict in depicting the plan designation of a particular property, where the comprehensive plan map is at a scale of one inch to 8,000 feet, is not property-specific, and does not clearly indicate whether the plan designation of the property is commercial or residential, while the sub-area plan map is large-scale, property-specific, and clearly depicts the property as commercial. *Knutson Family LLC v. City of Eugene*, 48 Or LUBA 399 (2005).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. Where a local land use regulation requires that a permit application be consistent with any relevant neighborhood plan, neighborhood plan policies which are described in the neighborhood plan as having the force of law are at least potentially relevant approval criteria for the permit. *Hatfield v. City of Portland*, 37 Or LUBA 664 (2000).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. Where a city approves a development plan for a university district as part of a quasi-judicial proceeding, but does not incorporate it into the city's comprehensive plan or land use regulations, the development plan is not a comprehensive plan or land use regulation, and thus amendments to that plan are not subject to review for compliance with statewide planning goals or the Transportation Planning Rule. *Brome v. City of Corvallis*, 36 Or LUBA 225 (1999).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. An amendment to a "refinement plan" that is part of a local government's comprehensive plan is a comprehensive plan amendment and is reviewable for compliance with applicable provisions of the Statewide Planning Goals and their implementing rules. *Opus Development Corp. v. City of Eugene*, 28 Or LUBA 670 (1995).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. An amendment to a "refinement plan" that is part of a local government's comprehensive plan is a comprehensive plan amendment. *Graville Properties, Ltd. v. City of Eugene*, 27 Or LUBA 583 (1994).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans – Applicability – Subarea Plans. Where a subarea plan has been adopted as part of the local government's comprehensive plan, and includes a map establishing the boundaries of the subarea, petitioners' argument that the subject site is not part of the relevant neighborhood does not alter the applicability of the subarea plan to a proposed comprehensive plan map amendment for the subject site. *Hess v. City of Portland*, 23 Or LUBA 343 (1992).

29.3.4 Comprehensive Plans - Applicability - Subarea Plans. Amending an acknowledged multi-jurisdictional metropolitan area comprehensive plan so that it is inconsistent with an unamended city "refinement plan" is permissible where the comprehensive plan (1) recognizes such conflicts may exist, (2) provides that all such conflicts are resolved in favor of the comprehensive plan, and (3) recognizes that amendments to refinement plans needed to make them consistent with the comprehensive plan may be delayed. *Neste Resins Corp. v. City of Eugene*, 23 Or LUBA 55 (1992).