

**7.3.1 Goal 3 – Agricultural Lands/ Goal 3 Rule – Minimum Lot Size Standard – Commercial Agricultural Enterprise.** Farms are part of the “existing commercial agricultural enterprise” as that phrase is used in Goal 3 if they contribute to the overall agricultural economy in the area in a substantial way. *Friends of Linn County v. Linn County*, 54 Or LUBA 191 (2007).

**7.3.1 Goal 3 – Agricultural Lands/ Goal 3 Rule – Minimum Lot Size Standard – Commercial Agricultural Enterprise.** In determining the “existing commercial agricultural enterprise,” a county must take a broad-based view of the entire agricultural enterprise in a given area, not a limited inventory of one specific crop or farm use. *Friends of Linn County v. Linn County*, 54 Or LUBA 191 (2007).

**7.3.1 Goal 3 – Agricultural Lands/ Goal 3 Rule – Minimum Lot Size Standard – Commercial Agricultural Enterprise.** A county errs when it defines “the area” to be inventoried under Goal 3 as the entire county but fails to inventory the existing commercial agricultural enterprise in the county, and determine the minimum parcel size necessary to continue that enterprise. *Friends of Linn County v. Linn County*, 54 Or LUBA 191 (2007).

**7.3.1 Goal 3 - Agricultural Lands/ Goal 3 Rule - Minimum Lot Size Standard - Commercial Agricultural Enterprise.** Under OAR 660-05-005 to 660-06-020 and *Still v. Marion County*, 22 Or LUBA 331 (1991), there are three steps that must be followed to determine if a proposed partition of EFU land is appropriate. First, an area large enough to accurately represent the existing commercial agricultural enterprise must be identified. Second, the existing *commercial* agricultural enterprises in the area must be identified. Third, it must be determined that the proposed division will result in parcels of sufficient size to "maintain" or "continue" the identified existing commercial agricultural enterprise in the area. *Still v. Marion County*, 32 Or LUBA 40 (1996).

**7.3.1 Goal 3 - Agricultural Lands/ Goal 3 Rule - Minimum Lot Size Standard - Commercial Agricultural Enterprise.** The county erred by applying a local ordinance to determine, without further explanation, that the relevant area to be considered for purposes of OAR 660-05-015(6)(c) was limited to the zone in which the subject parcel is located. *Still v. Marion County*, 32 Or LUBA 40 (1996).