

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

SALEM GOLF CLUB,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF SALEM,)
)
Respondent,)
)
and)
)
COMMERCIAL REDI-MIX,)
)
Intervenor-Respondent.)

LUBA No. 95-193
FINAL OPINION
AND ORDER

Appeal from City of Salem.

Terrance Kay, Salem, represented petitioner.

Paul A. Lee, Assistant City Attorney, Salem,
represented respondent.

Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-
respondent.

LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee, participated in the
decision.

DISMISSED 12/27/95

You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
197.850.

1 Opinion by Livingston.

2 Intervenor-respondent Commercial Redi-Mix moves for an
3 order dismissing this appeal on the ground that petitioners
4 have not filed a timely petition for review. Under OAR 661-
5 10-030(1) the Board shall dismiss an appeal where the
6 petition for review is not filed within 21 days from receipt
7 of the record. The petition for review in this appeal was
8 not filed on or before November 11, 1995, when it was due.

9 The deadline for filing a petition for review is
10 strictly enforced. See Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assoc. v.
11 City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995);
12 McCauley v. Jackson County, 20 Or LUBA 176 (1990); Piquette
13 v. City of Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47 (1987); Hutmacher v.
14 Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987). Petitioners did not
15 file their petition for review on time. Therefore, this
16 appeal is dismissed.