



1 Holstun, Chief Referee.

2 Respondent and intervenors-respondent move for  
3 voluntary remand "to the Board of Commissioners for Coos  
4 County for the purpose of addressing all issues raised in  
5 the Assignments of Error contained in Petitioners' brief on  
6 appeal."

7 Petitioners object to the motion. Petitioners' first  
8 five assignments of error challenge the adequacy of the  
9 findings supporting the challenged decision and the  
10 evidentiary basis for those findings. Petitioners' final  
11 assignment of error alleges bias and certain procedural  
12 irregularities, including improper ex parte contacts.

13 None of the errors alleged by petitioner appear to be  
14 errors that require that LUBA reverse, rather than remand,  
15 the challenged decision. The county could address and  
16 correct the errors alleged on remand and, thereby, avoid the  
17 necessity for LUBA review. Angel v. City of Portland, 20 Or  
18 LUBA 541, 543 (1991). In such circumstances, granting the  
19 motion for voluntary remand is appropriate. Id.  
20 Petitioners' allegations concerning improper motives do not  
21 provide a sufficient basis for denying the motion for  
22 voluntary remand. See Hastings Bulb Growers, Inc. v. Curry  
23 County, 25 Or LUBA 558, 562, aff'd 123 Or App 642 (1993).

24 The county's decision is remanded.