

1
2 Michael G. Herbage, County Counsel, Gold Beach,
3 represented respondent.

4
5 Kenneth D. Helm, Portland, represented intervenor-
6 respondent.

7
8 GUSTAFSON, Referee; LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee; HANNA,
9 Referee, participated in the decision.

10
11 REMANDED 05/13/96

12
13 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
14 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
15 197.850.

1 Gustafson, Referee.

2 Intervenor-Respondent (intervenor) moves for a
3 voluntary remand of this appeal. Intervenor intends to
4 withdraw the application that is the subject of this appeal,
5 and submit a new application which addresses petitioners'
6 objections.

7 In this situation, no purpose would be served by
8 deciding any issues related to the challenged decision, or
9 by narrowing the focus of the issues to be addressed on
10 remand. See Mazeski v. Wasco County, 27 Or LUBA 45, 47
11 (1994); Hastings Bulb Growers, Inc. v. Curry County, 25 Or
12 LUBA 558, 562, aff'd 123 Or App 642 (1993).

13 Intervenor's motion for voluntary remand is granted.