

1 Gustafson, Referee.

2 The city moves to dismiss this appeal based on
3 petitioner's failure to timely file a petition for review.

4 ORS 197.830(10) provides that a petition for review
5 must be filed within the deadlines established by Board
6 rule. OAR 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

7 " * * * The petition for review shall be filed with
8 the Board within 21 days after the date the record
9 is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a
10 petition for review within the time required by
11 this section, and any extensions of that time
12 under * * * OAR 661-10-067(2), shall result in
13 dismissal of the appeal * * *."

14 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing
15 the petition for review may be extended only with the
16 written consent of all parties.

17 Because a petition for review was not filed within the
18 time required by our rules, and petitioner did not obtain
19 consent to extend the time for filing the petition for
20 review under OAR-661-10-067(2), ORS 197.830(10) and
21 OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
22 McCauley v. Jackson County, 20 Or LUBA 176 (1990); Piquette
23 v. City of Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47 (1987); Hutmacher v.
24 Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987).

25 This appeal is dismissed.

26