

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

JEFF LEWIS,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF PORTLAND,)
)
Respondent,)
)
and)
)
ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)
)
Intervenor-Respondent.)

LUBA No. 94-187

FINAL OPINION
AND ORDER

HERBERT E. JONES and)
FLORENCE L. JONES,)
)
Petitioners,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF PORTLAND,)
)
Respondent,)
)
and)
)
ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)
)
Intervenor-Respondent.)

LUBA No. 94-188

THERON CONOVER and)
JEANNETTE CONOVER,)
)
Petitioners,)
)
vs.)
)

LUBA No. 94-190

1 CITY OF PORTLAND,)
2)
3 Respondent,)
4)
5 and)
6)
7 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)
8)
9 Intervenor-Respondent.)
10 _____)
11)
12 CHARLES DONALDSON,)
13)
14 Petitioner,)
15)
16 vs.)
17)
18 CITY OF PORTLAND,)
19)
20 Respondent,)
21)
22 and)
23)
24 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)
25)
26 Intervenor-Respondent.)
27 _____)
28)
29 PHILIPPE R. DE LA MARE and)
30 PERSIS D. DE LA MARE,)
31)
32 Petitioners,)
33)
34 vs.)
35)
36 CITY OF PORTLAND,)
37)
38 Respondent,)
39)
40 and)
41)
42 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)
43)
44 Intervenor-Respondent.)
45 _____)
46)

LUBA No. 94-191

LUBA No. 94-192

1 HOME BUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF)
2 METROPOLITAN PORTLAND, and)
3 COMMON GROUND: THE URBAN LAND)
4 COUNCIL OF OREGON,)

5)
6 Petitioners,)

7)
8 vs.)

LUBA No. 94-194

9)
10 CITY OF PORTLAND,)

11)
12 Respondent,)

13)
14 and)

15)
16 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)

17)
18 Intervenor-Respondent.)

19 _____)
20)
21 LOGAN RAMSEY,)

22)
23 Petitioner,)

24)
25 vs.)

LUBA No. 94-195

26)
27 CITY OF PORTLAND,)

28)
29 Respondent,)

30)
31 and)

32)
33 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,)

34)
35 Intervenor-Respondent.)

36)
37)
38 Appeal from City of Portland.

39)
40 Dorothy S. Cofield, Portland, represented petitioner Lewis.

41)
42 Robert S. Simon, Oregon City, represented petitioners Jones, De La Mare.

43)
44 Theron Conover, Portland, represented himself.

45)
46 Charles Donaldson, Houston, represented himself.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Jon A. Chandler, Salem, represented Home Builders Association.

Logan Ramsey, Portland, represented himself.

Ruth Spetter, Portland, represented City of Portland.

Arnold Rochlin, Portland, represented himself.

BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member,
participated in the decision.

DISMISSED 05/26/99

You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
provisions of ORS 197.850.

1 Bassham, Board Member.

2 The above-captioned appeals have been suspended since 1996. In March 1997, the
3 Board received motions to dismiss from petitioners in LUBA Nos. 94-187, 94-188, 94-189
4 and 94-192. On April 8, 1997, the Board sent a letter to all the parties requesting that each of
5 the remaining petitioners advise the Board whether they also intended to file motions to
6 dismiss. Petitioners in LUBA Nos. 94-190 and 94-194 responded by filing motions to
7 dismiss their appeals. Petitioners in LUBA Nos. 94-191 and 94-195 did not respond. On
8 April 26, 1999, the Board sent a letter to all the parties advising them that unless the Board
9 receives on or before May 17, 1999 a motion to resume proceedings the Board would dismiss
10 these consolidated appeals. No party filed a motion to resume proceedings.

11 The above-captioned appeals are dismissed.