1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS			
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
3				
4	OAK VALLEY LP,)		
5)		
6	Petitioner,)		
7)	LUBA No. 99-050	
8	VS.)		
9)	FINAL OPINION	
10	CITY OF TALENT,)	AND ORDER	
11	,)		
12	Respondent.)		
13	1	,		
14				
15	Appeal from City of Talent.			
16	rappout from easy of runous.			
17	John R. Hassen, Medford, represented petitioner.			
18	tomi it. itassen, medicia, iep	resented petrone	·	
19	Christopher A. Gilmore, Portland, represented respondent.			
20	Christopher 71. Chimore, 1 orta	ana, representea	respondent.	
21	RASSHAM Roard Member	r. HOLSTUN	Board Chair; and BRIGGS, Board	
22	Member, participated in the decision.	i, HOLDION,	board Chair, and Dictoos, board	
23	Wember, participated in the decision.			
24	DISMISSED	06/15	5/00	
25	DISMISSED	00/1.	0/99	
25 26	Voy and antitled to indicial navious of this Ondon. Indicial navious is accommed by the			
20 27	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the			
<i>L1</i>	provisions of ORS 197.850.			
28				
20				

I	Bassham, Board Member.
2	The petition for review in the appeal was due May 31, 1999. The petition for review
3	has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been granted.

- ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part:
- "* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
- OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be extended only by written consent of all the parties.
- The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. <u>See Terrace Lakes</u>
 Homeowners Assn. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, <u>aff'd</u> 138 Or App 188 (1995);
 Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).
 - Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond May 31, 1999, ORS 197.830(10) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
- This appeal is dismissed.

16

17

18

19