

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS  
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3  
4 ANDREW SOUTH and MARY SOUTH,  
5 *Petitioners,*

6  
7 vs.

8  
9 CITY OF PORTLAND,  
10 *Respondent.*

11  
12 LUBA Nos. 2005-164 and 2005-165

13  
14 FINAL OPINION  
15 AND ORDER

16  
17 Appeal from City of Portland.

18  
19 Ty K. Wyman, Portland, represented petitioner.

20  
21 Kathryn S. Beaumont, Senior Deputy City Attorney, Portland, represented  
22 respondent.

23  
24 BASSHAM, Board Chair; DAVIES, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,  
25 participated in the decision.

26  
27 DISMISSED

06/09/2006

28  
29 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the  
30 provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

The challenged decisions were withdrawn for reconsideration. The city advises us that the applicant withdrew the subject applications, and that those decisions are now void. The city requests that we dismiss these appeals as moot. Petitioners do not object to dismissal.

These appeals are dismissed.