



OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
 800 NE Oregon Street – Suite 1160A
 Portland, OR 97232-2162
 Phone: (971) 673-0215 / Fax: 971-673-0218
 Website: <http://www.oregon.gov/OBMI>
 Email: OBMI.Info@state.or.us

PEER POSITIONING

PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE EVALUATION FORM

This Form May Be Duplicated

An evaluation form is required for each radiograph evaluated. The Evaluator must Be an ARRT-Registered, Currently-Licensed Radiologic Technologist and must Retain this Form for Two (2) Years. You do not need to submit this form with your practical evaluation certificate. Please refer to the table listed in the Practical Experience Evaluation Requirements document to determine the minimum requirements for each anatomic area.

Student Name	Date	Score (Total Number of Points)
Radiograph Evaluated	Facility Where Student was Evaluated	
PLEASE PRINT Evaluators Name, License Number & ARRT Number		

THE STUDENT MUST ATTAIN A MINIMUM OF 80% COMPETENCY TO RECEIVE CREDIT.

This Student Was Able To:

POINTS POSSIBLE	SCORE	PEER POSITIONING [60 POINTS MAXIMUM FOR THIS SECTION]
1-5 1-5	[10 points possible] _____ _____	A. PREPARATION 1. Identified the positions done for the exam. 2. Identified the reason for the exam.
1-3 1-3 1-3	[9 points possible] _____ _____ _____	B. PATIENT INSTRUCTION 1. Explained exam to "patient" in clear, concise manner. 2. Gave proper positioning instructions. 3. Gave proper breathing instructions.
1-5 1-5 1-5	[15 points possible] _____ _____ _____	C. POSITIONING 1. Positioned correctly the areas to be demonstrated. 2. Aligned center of part to be demonstrated to center of film. 3. Used the correct angle (entrance or exit) of the central ray.
1-3 1-4	[7 points possible] _____ _____	D. EQUIPMENT MANIPULATION 1. Handled equipment in a safe manner and used tube locks correctly. 2. Selected cassette size pertinent to the examination.
1-5 1-5	[10 points possible] _____ _____	E. RADIATION PROTECTION 1. Appropriate collimation was used. 2. Gonadal shielding was used if appropriate.

POINTS POSSIBLE	SCORE	PEER POSITIONING
1-3	[9 points possible] _____	F. FILM MARKERS AND IDENTIFICATION 1. Correct markers, <i>i.e.</i> , right or left, were used. 2. Markers were correctly positioned on the film (same direction as patient, same direction as film will be read and out of area to be demonstrated). 3. Used identifying markers when appropriate (decubitus post reduction, upright, <i>etc.</i>)
1-3	_____	
1-3	_____	

POINTS POSSIBLE	SCORE	FILM CRITIQUE [40 POINTS MAXIMUM FOR THIS SECTION]
1-5	[15 points possible] _____	A. TECHNICAL EVALUATION 1. The student was able to determine whether the film was of acceptable quality. (If the films were not of the best quality, the student was able to describe how to improve the film quality.) 2. The student provided the exposure factors used to achieve the radiographs. These factors were appropriate for the exam. 3. The student was able to explain how mAs and kVp will affect the radiograph and the radiation exposure to the patient.
1-5	_____	
1-5	_____	
1-5	[25 points possible] _____	B. PATIENT POSITIONING 1. The student was able to determine whether the patient was positioned correctly. (If the patient's position could be improved, the student was able to describe how.) 2. The film markers and the identification markers were correctly placed. 3. Appropriate collimation was used and gonadal shielding was used if appropriate. 4. The student was able to identify anatomical parts on the radiograph. 5. The student was able to explain what the radiograph should demonstrate.
1-5	_____	
1-5	_____	
1-5	_____	
1-5	_____	
Evaluator's Comments: _____ _____ _____		

I have read this evaluation and the evaluator has discussed it with me.

Student Signature

Evaluator Signature

**The Evaluator must be an ARRT-Registered Oregon-Licensed Radiologic Technologist.
 You must retain this form for Two (2) Years.**