EDUCATION COMMITTEE MEETING
June 3, 2011
OBMT Office

Attendance

Committee Members:
Lisa Barck Garofalo, LMT, Chair
Nick Chrones, LMT
Pam Pennington, LMT

Board Members & Staff:
David Fredrickson, LMT, Board Liaison
Kate Coffey, Executive Director
Diana Nott, Compliance Coordinator

Public: Steve Davis, LMT

Call to Order – Calise called the meeting to order at 9:35 am.

Introductions – Present at the time of introductions are Nick Chrones, LMT; and Pam Pennington, LMT; staff members Kate Coffey, Executive Director and Diana Nott, Compliance Coordinator; Board Members David Fredrickson, LMT and Board Liaison; and Kathy Calise, Board Chair. John Combe, LMT and Jen Brady, LMT were excused. Lisa Barck Garofalo, LMT, was expected later in the meeting via telephone.

1. May 6, 2011 Minutes – Chrones moved to approve the committee meeting minutes. 2nd the motion: Pennington In favor: Chrones and Pennington. Opposed: None. Motion carries.

2. Public Comment – There was no public in attendance at this time.

3. SB 454: Coffey reported SB 454 A-engrossed had passed out of the legislature and was signed into law by the Governor. The bill will become effective January 1, 2012.

   During the May Board meeting and work session, the Board developed committee structures and missions and assigned tasks. The committee members were provided with copies of the committee expectations and task list.

4. Model Curriculum Review – The committee received a draft from Garofalo by email. The committee had reviewed Standard One, and had Standards Two, Three and Four remaining. Fredrickson indicated that he was appreciative of the format and how it is laid out. Calise stated that she believes it meets the needs of the Oregon Department of Education and the committee will continue to work on the remaining standards. Fredrickson indicated that he would like to return to this topic when Garofalo arrives later in the meeting.

   Davis joined the meeting at 9:46 am.

5. Best Practices Document – Calise indicated that she would follow up with Garofalo to determine if Board Member Bennouri had contacted her about the Board suggestions from the May Board meeting.
6. **Credentialing Review Process – Chrones** was involved in the creation of this document. The Board had no specific recommendations or questions on the matter. There was some discussion on how such a process would be administered on a staff level. The Board had seen the document in the past, as a portfolio review process, but at the time had no statutory authority to implement it. With the passage of SB 454, the Board is now able to move forward with the process and drafting rules around it. The passage of SB 454 allows for a credentialing review process, but does not specify how it is to be designed. There was discussion on the reason for needing a credentialing review process and how the prior committee had developed it. It was noted that such a process will only allow an applicant to sit for the Oregon Practical Exam. It was suggested to change verbiage to reflect that the applicant will need to attach proof of passing a Board-approved written examination. **Chrones** moved to refer the credentialing review process to the Board, with the noted change of the written exam verbiage. 2nd the motion: Pennington In favor: Chrones and Pennington. Opposed: None. Motion carries.

7. **Return to Model Curriculum Discussion** – There was discussion on amendments to verbiage used in the document, as well as keeping consistent language throughout. The committee amended some language in Standard One. They began work on Standard Two, amending some terminology to better address the competency goals. **Barck Garofalo** joined the meeting by telephone at 11:13 am. There was a recap of the suggested changes and continuation of work on Standard Two, until approximately 11:30 am.

8. **Next Meeting** – The committee agreed to meet July 8, 2011 from 9:30 am – 11:30 am. The location of the meeting is to be determined.

   The committee members will continue to review the remainder of Standard Two and review Standards Three and Four on their own time.

   **Public Input – Davis** asked about committee member approval. It was explained that the new process will require Board review and approval of committee members. **Coffey** provided the application to **Fredrickson** to discuss at the June 13, 2011 Board meeting.

9. **Adjournment** – **Chrones** moved to adjourn the meeting at 11:38 am. 2nd the motion: Pennington In favor: Chrones, Barck Garofalo and Pennington. Opposed: None. Motion carries.