

Yr-Month	Performance Measures
08-Jul	Status on the development of the 2nd PM report and on the Clatsop & Tillamook State Forests work group.
08-Jun	SFAC comments on program response to recreation assessment and information update on individual Board member questions- consent agendas
08-Apr	Clatsop & Tillamook State Forests strategies for achievement of the PM targets work group update. <b>Board subcommittee will be convened</b> to provide continuous feedback on the development of the analyses expected in November 2008.
08-Mar	Report on program response to 2nd Party recreation assessment prepared by David Reed and Associates in 2007. <b>Board directed staff to report on the SFAC's comments through a consent agenda item.</b>
08-Jan	<b>PM #5 target for Forest Road Risks and Fish Habitat approved.</b> Clatsop & Tillamook State Forests strategies for achievement of the PM targets work group update.
07-Nov	<b>Board adopts Intent Statement along with a set of general and specific set of Performance Measure Targets</b> for the Clatsop & Tillamook State Forests. Decision based on results of options development work directed by Board in June 2007. <b>Board direction to ODF to develop FMP strategies that will achieve targets</b> to be considered in November 2008.
07-Jun	<b>1st Performance Measure Report to Board.</b> Figure presented that showed outputs of eight different model scenarios and how the outcomes of those two performance measures might be affected by the range of management approaches considered in those model scenarios. <b>Board directed Department to develop suggestions to improve the performance of the Forest Management Plan</b> relative to achieving Greatest Permanent Value, using the three principles provided by the Department to the Board as a basis for the work. The Department should not feel constrained in exploring ways to meet the three principles. Potential modifications or improvements to the forest management plans to be consistent with the following principles: 1. Improvements should seek to maintain or improve outcomes across economic, environmental, and social measures. 2. Improvements to the structure-based management concept should consider the utility of active and passive management, as well as the means to provide greater assurances around the achievement of complex structure in a timely manner. 3. Improvements should explore ways to enhance financial performance.
07-Mar	<b>Board approved nine proposed performance measures</b> and associated metrics. Directed a review of the measures be conducted in June 2007, in the context of a range of possible Forest Management Plan improvements, to determine whether or not Greatest Permanent Value is likely to be achieved.
07-Jan	Performance measure development status report.
06-Nov	Workshop - Board Intent statements reviewed, Issue Paper overview, and Initial Draft Performance Measures
06-Jul	Draft decadal Performance Measures reviewed along with results of discussions with FTLAC and SFAC on staff results of a global review of performance measure management

Yr-Month	Performance Measures
06-Jun	Board review of process to develop State Forests Performance Measures
06-Mar	Status report on development of PMs
06-Jan	Process overview of development of State Forests Performance Measures and Draft ODF State Forests Management Model, by Bill Rockwell.
05-Sep	Report on decision matrix development, and goal to develop PMs.
05-Jul	Decision matrix discussion - State Forester direction suggested key standards be developed by which the Board could judge whether the goals were likely to enhance economic, environmental, and social values of the State's forest assets over time.
04-Oct	Adaptive Management in State Forests presented. Discussion included Board's review of Implementation Plans prior to State Forester approval. Board discussion on review of IPs and delegated approval authority of State Forester - State Forester suggested the measure of performance was a more appropriate area for future improvements

Yr-Month	Adaptive Management
08-Jul	Adaptive Management review framework presented (Based on issue paper).
08-Apr	Annual State Forests Report on Research and Monitoring.
08-Mar	Individual Board Member Checklist reviewed and sorted into three categories: Policy issues, Information need, and Process clarification. List to be used as a communication tool where staff could answer individual Board questions in future consent agenda items. The checklist will not serve as criteria for decision making.
	<b>Board approves revised State Forest Work Plan</b>
	State Forests Framework Background presented and reviewed that addressed the assessment and revision of the State Forests planning, decision making and review framework. <b>Board discussed three components of background paper and agreed to direct staff to focus on identifying adjustments to the review framework to incorporate the performance measures and improve effectiveness.</b> Work on the planning and decision-making components was deferred.
08-Jan	Forest Management Plan Framework discussed. Individual Board member check list initiated.
06-Jul	Staff response presented on the findings and recommendations of the 2nd party assessment of the FMP, highlighting roads and recreation.
06-Jun	Adaptive management process reviewed.
	Background paper on Draft HCP for NW and SW FMP reviewed
06-Apr	NW FMP Workshop: Historical context & info. on SAH, SNC and H&H, 2nd Party Assessment, & Biennial Survey. Final Reports on H&H reviewed.
06-Jan	<b>Elliott Draft FMP and HCP update - Board ordered Negotiation of the ESF HCP consistent with the Draft ESF FMP.</b>
05-Nov	Multi-stakeholder Dialog report to Board from SFAC on adaptive management.
05-Sep	Elliott State Forests Draft FMP and HCP update.
05-Apr	<b>Board approved work plan</b> - Adapting the NW and SW State Forests Management Plans. Update on SER component of work plan and H&H preliminary results
05-Mar	Annual R&M report approved, <b>Board direction to Department to revise Adaptive Management Plan work plan based on Board input.</b>
04-Sep	Presentation on Adaptive Management in State Forests.
04-Apr	Watershed Analysis information update.
	Elliott State Forest Cost/Benefit analysis Budget note update provided by DSL director.

## Model results

- 07-Nov Results of options development presented in a figure - results of Board direction in June 2007.
- 07-Sep ODF Options Development Work Group directed to explore new potential management plan approaches to improve overall performance of the FMP. Status report on three alternative parameters and ranges of the options under development. (e.g. Emphasis Zone Approach)
- 07-Jan **Additional Model Run results** . A series of analyses was presented, by district, illustrating the sensitivity of harvest volume and structure achievement to silvicultural choices, structural targets and landscape level complex structure.
- 06-Nov Workshiop - Scientific Peer Review of H&H Model Project Review Results - Final Report, and **Additional H&H Model Run results requested by Board.**
- 06-Jul **Board requested additional model analyses** for the north coast districts to help address issues related to the Northwest Forest Management Plan.
- 06-Mar H&H Final Report
- 06-Jan H&H preliminary results
- 05-Nov H&H preliminary results
- 05-Sep H&H preliminary results
- 05-Jul H&H preliminary results
- 05-Jun H&H preliminary results
- 05-Apr Summary of H&H model project.
- 05-Mar Phases and components of H&H project presented.
- 03-Mar Work plan to address harvest schedule modeling and sustainable harvest levels in district imlementation plans approved as part of IPs. Budget Note also provided direction for this project.

Yr-Month	Swiss Needle Cast
06-Mar	Board review of Intent Statements related to SNC. Addendum to intent statement adopted by Board as means of tracking and documenting adaptive management changes.
06-Jan	Board direction to not change previous Board's 2001 Intent Statement. Instead, adaptive management changes will be tracked and documented.
05-Nov	Multi-stakeholder Dialogue Reports to Board from SFAC on adaptive Management and SNC
05-Jun	Staff Review of recent SNC research results and potential application to ODF management. After stakeholder review of info., Board will review SNC intent statement.

Yr-Month	Systematic Evidence Review (SER)
08-Mar	Results of completed SER pilot project. <b>Board consensus and order to the Department to further explore SER concepts in internal work and in collaboration with other agencies and entities on subjects of mutual interests.</b>
07-Sep	Update on SER pilot project
06-Nov	Update on SER pilot project
06-Apr	Update on SER pilot project
06-Jan	Background paper on SER presented by the Institute of Natural Resources at OSU. <b>Board direction to ODF: assess INR report and prepare a recommendation for consideration (pilot project).</b>
05-Apr	Board approves SF work plan, including SER. Background work begins.
05-Mar	State Forests Management work plan - <b>Board directs some revisions to SF work plan, which includes SER.</b>
04-Jun	Former Gov. Kitzhaber testimony to BOF, introduced SER concepts.

Yr-Month	Salmon Anchor Habitat
05-Jul	ODF staff reviews Trask Watershed Research study. Proposes shifting SAH discussion to this larger research effort. Salmon Anchor Habitat Strategy Work Group Report and Recommendations - coordination and facilitation of process provided by the Institute for Natural Resources. The group did not reach consensus on a set of recommendations. The Board acknowledges receipt of the Salmon Anchor Habitat Work Group. <b>The Department's appearance before the Legislative Emergency Board to report on the activities of the Work Group is approved. The Department's representative will make four points on the behalf of the Board at that appearance: (1) potential changes to the Salmon Anchor Habitat strategy or its implementation cannot be considered in isolation from pertinent policy issues; (2) the Board would evaluate the Work Group report and recommendations as it moved through the adaptive management process; (3) the Board is developing a strategy designed to help it evaluate the effects of multiple related policy issues and considerations on decision options; and (4) it is important to maintain implementation of the plan under the record of decision-making that currently exists, which includes implementation of a Salmon Anchor Habitat Strategy under a ten-year program of n</b>
04-Sep	
04-Mar	Salmon Anchor Habitat Strategy Budget Note #5 - work group update

**Yr-Month****Legal Advice**

06-Nov

**Legal Advice:** Mr. Jas Adams, Department of Justice, Natural Resource Section discussed two questions. Reviewing the points he stated (1) there were no sources of authority that established a fiduciary relationship; and (2) a fiduciary relationship would not dictate the management of conveyed lands.

**Yr-Month****Governor Testimony**

04-Oct

**Governor's Testimony** - Excerpt: *But for me, today's meeting is part of a larger conversation about forest policy – and **the absolutely critical role the Board of Forestry must play in creating and implementing that policy.** State forest policy is very much in the news right now. As everyone in this room knows, there is a major ballot measure dealing with the Tillamook Forest – and a lot of TV ads – for and against – relating to the ballot measure. I'll have more to say about Measure 34 in a moment. But the point is this: The public is now hearing about and engaged – to an unusual degree – in the issue of how best to keep our forests healthy. This is all for the good. The more the public learns about – and discusses – healthy forest management, the better. The question is: Who is best qualified – and most prepared – to lead this discussion? My answer is – the Board of Forestry. That's what the Board of Forestry was originally created to do. And that is what you must continue to do.*

04-Jun

Former Governor John Kitzhaber testifies to the Board: "A New Vision for Forest Management"

**Yr-Month****Certification**

08-Jul

Report on FSC & SFI Certification in Pennsylvania and Indiana

06-Nov

Presentation about State Government involvement in Forest Certification. Included information about the results of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification pre-assessment on Sun Pass State Forest. Department decided to not pursue FSC at this time due to three primary drivers (Agenda Item E)

05-Sep

Information about FSC & SFI.