

## Performance Measure Data Status – what will be reported in 2008 Report

PM Metric	Data Source	Data Availability <sup>1</sup>	Responsible Party	Comments
<p><b>#1 Net return on asset value (ROAV) on Board of Forestry Lands and Common School Fund land calculated across all state forestlands and for each forest (e.g. Elliott, Sun Pass, Tillamook, and Santiam)</b></p>				
a. Annual calculation expressed as a percentage (net returns/asset value) compared against a specific target	ODF – net revenue data Data source to be developed / refined that will support the final selected asset valuation method.	Fiscal year in July	Asset Unit	The same two methods of calculating ROAV will be reported. Asset valuation method(s) will be developed and presented; a decision on which method will be used in future reports will occur during the 2009 Board work plan.
b. Over time a 5- and 10-year average could also be calculated for comparison to an annual calculation.			Asset Unit	
<p><b>#2 Direct and indirect financial contributions from the State Forests Program to support communities</b></p>				
a. Total timber volume harvested (Net MBF) versus a 5- or 10-year average	ODF – volume harvested data	Fiscal year in July	Asset Unit	The same data and methodology will be reported.
b. Annual log flow from state forestlands to rural areas (Net mbf)	Third Party – scaling bureaus	Daily	Asset Unit	
c. # of family wage jobs in local communities	Employment Data	Fiscal year	AM <sup>2</sup> Unit; coordinate with Forest Resources Planning Program	Updates on the calculation methodology to be used in future reports will be presented.
d. Economic contributions to local communities from non-timber related activities (e.g. recreation)	No current data source		AM Unit; coordinate with FRP <sup>3</sup> Program	A research agreement with OSU will support development of this performance measure and “Oregon’s indicators of Sustainable Forest Management”; status of this work will be presented.

<sup>1</sup> When ODF will have the data; how frequent the data is available; needs for development of the data.

<sup>2</sup> Adaptive Management Unit

<sup>3</sup> Forest Resources Planning

## Performance Measure Data Status – what will be reported in 2008 Report

<i>PM Metric</i>	<i>Data Source</i>	<i>Data Availability</i>	<i>Responsible Party</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>#2 Direct and indirect financial contributions to support communities – Continued</b>				
d. Economic contributions to local communities from non-timber related activities (e.g. recreation)	No current data source	Requires recreation expenditures surveys	P&P <sup>4</sup> Unit; coordinate with AM Unit and FRP Program	Outcomes from both the OSU research agreement and the recreation action plan will inform the development of this metric; status of these two work efforts will be presented.
e. Diversity of employment by industry	OR Employment Data	Fiscal Year	AM Unit; coordinate with Forest Resources Planning Program	Confidentiality issues exist with the employment data; a revised method and / or metric will be identified for Board consideration during their future work.
f. % of population unemployed	Employment Data reported in CSIS <sup>5</sup>		N/A	Future census efforts may not collect all attributes necessary to maintain these metrics; the status of what is known about data availability will be reported.
g. % of persons living below poverty line				
h. Household income inequality				
<b>#3 Direct &amp; indirect State Forests Program financial contributions to local and state government</b>				
a. Annual distributions to Trust Land counties and CSF versus a 5- or 10-year average	ODF – revenue tracking and distribution	Fiscal Year	Asset Unit	Link to FPFO Strategy B; Indicator B.a: “revenues supporting state and local government public services.”  The same data and methodology will be reported.
b. Indirect contributions to state and local governments by operators and mills through business income tax, harvest tax, weight-mile tax and property taxes on mills.	ODF – Resources Planning Program indicators data	Fiscal Year	Asset Unit; coordinate with Forest Resources Planning	Pro-rate to SF harvest activities.  Tiers to the FPFO indicator data, which does not include data specific to operators; a revised metric will be identified for Board consideration during their future work.
<b>#4 State forest area affected by or at risk of invasive species, pests, disease and fire</b>				
a. Forest area affected by pests and disease	ODF Aerial SNC survey (Coast Range and USFS lands)  USFS cooperative insect surveys	Annual surveys	Resource Specialist Unit	Link to FPFO Strategy F; Indicator F.a “tree mortality”; F.b “invasive species trends”; F.c “forest fuel conditions.”  The same data and methodology will be reported.  View in a long-term context due to seasonal variability regarding symptom expression of infective agent.

<sup>4</sup> Policy and Planning Unit  
<sup>5</sup> Community Socioeconomic Information System report, PNW-GTR-672.

## Performance Measure Data Status – what will be reported in 2008 Report

PM Metric	Data Source	Data Availability	Responsible Party	Comments
<b>#4 State forest area affected by or at risk of invasive species, pests, disease and fire –</b> Continued				
b. Forest area by Fire Regime Class	Federal – LANDFIRE Mapping Project	No consistent reporting interval; 5-year updates identified by federal agencies as desirable	AM Unit	Method still to be determined as LANDFIRE data has challenges; a revised method and / or metric will be identified for Board consideration during their future work.
<b>#5 Forest road risks to water quality and fish habitat</b>				
a. % of SF roads that have hydrologic connection to stream networks	ODF – “rapid road survey” data	By watershed; anticipated completion of analyses by 2011.	Resource Specialist Unit	Link to FPFO Strategy D, Indicator D.a “water quality in forest streams”; D.c “road risks”
b. % of SF stream crossings on fish streams with barriers to adult or juvenile migration				The same data and methodology will be reported. The same data and methodology will be reported.
<b>#6 Quantities of habitat by FMP stand-structure type and habitat components, and the use of those areas by native fish and wildlife.<sup>6</sup></b>				
a. Live tree and snag retention and downed wood in harvest units.	ODF – implementation monitoring data	Fiscal Year availability in the future	AM Unit	Link to FPFO Strategy E: Indicator E.a “composition, diversity, and structure of forest vegetation”; E.b “extent of area by forest cover type in protected area categories” Data available from 2008 Implementation Monitoring Pilot Study Report and data collection improvements will be reported.
b. Stand structure %	ODF – inventory data	Fiscal Year		Improvements made to inventory through imputation, inventory querying capabilities, and outcomes from district updates will be reported. Based on these improvements, an updated stand structure percentage will be reported.

<sup>6</sup> Note: connection to Oregon Conservation Strategy: protect & enhance riparian habitats; maintain and enhance dead and downed wood in low- and mid-late successional forests in Coast Range and Westside Cascades; maintain and increase connectivity between these forests; maintain and create forest openings, snags, logs and herbaceous species.

## Performance Measure Data Status – what will be reported in 2008 Report

PM Metric	Data Source	Data Availability	Responsible Party	Comments	
<b>#6 Quantities of habitat by FMP stand-structure type and habitat components, and the use of those areas by native fish and wildlife – Continued</b>					
c. Acres of SF by FMP structure type	ODF – inventory and permanent plot data	Permanent plot measurement schedule in the future	AM Unit	Based on improvements discussed in 6b, a new acres calculation will be reported	
d. Landscape averages of the # of snags and amount of downed wood	ODF – implementation monitoring data	Fiscal Year availability in the future		Data available from 2008 Implementation Monitoring Pilot Study Report and data collection improvements will be reported.	
e. Composition and structure of forest vegetation	ODF -- permanent plot data	Permanent plot measurement schedule in the future		Permanent plot re-measurement contract initiates in Fall 2008; no new information will be reported.	
f. Use of stand structure types and habitat components by wildlife.	No data available			A revised data source and / or metric will be identified for Board consideration during their future work.	
<b>#7 Availability, quality and public use of recreational opportunities and educational programs</b>					
a. # of facilities and trails developed and maintained for interpretation, education, and recreation	ODF -- recreation data	Fiscal Year		Policy and Planning Unit	The same data and methodology will be reported. Methodology could be improved, but is dependant on the recreation action plan; status of this work will be reported.
b. Annual visitation to the TFC <sup>7</sup> compared to five- and ten-year averages	ODF – TFC records	Fiscal Year	TFC Director	The same data and methodology will be reported. Improvement to data collection methodology is being considered within a education charter work plan; status of this work will be reported.	
c. Annual participation in formal educational programs compared to five- and ten-year averages	ODF – User Surveys of students and teachers (post delivery).	Fiscal Year	TFC Director and Districts (NC, KL; AST in the future)	The same data and methodology will be reported. Improvement to data collection methodology is being considered within a education charter work plan; status of this work will be reported.	

**Performance Measure Data Status – what will be reported in 2008 Report**

<i>PM Metric</i>	<i>Data Source</i>	<i>Data Availability</i>	<i>Responsible Party</i>	<i>Comments</i>
<b>#7 Availability, quality and public use of recreational opportunities and educational programs – Continued</b>				
d. Annual user days for the various types of recreational use on SF	ODF – campground user-day records	Fiscal Year	Policy and Planning Unit	The same data and methodology will be reported; currently only camping data is available. Improvements to data collection challenges are dependant on the recreation action plan; status of this work will be reported. Link to FPFO Strategies A and B
<b>#8 Degree of public and stakeholder involvement in state forestland activities and processes</b>				
a. Hours committed by volunteers, community participants and partners, as well as report on progress on specific volunteer projects	ODF – District / Program Recreation and TFC records	Calendar Year	Public Use Coordinator; TFC; Agency Affairs	The same data and methodology will be reported.
b. Annual survey of SFAC and FTLAC members	Survey of FTLAC <sup>8</sup> and SFAC <sup>9</sup>		SFAC by NW Area Planner; FTLAC by SF Program Director; Agency Affairs	2007 data related to both committees will be reported.
<b>#9 Customer awareness and support of the management of state lands</b>				
a. Oregonian's responses to biennial survey questions	Biennial Survey	TBD; the FMP describes them as biennial	Agency Affairs with the AM Unit	The status of work to identify different methodologies will be reported.

<sup>8</sup> Forest Trust Land Advisory Committee

<sup>9</sup> State Forests Advisory Committee