

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Laurel Turner [laurel.turner@pdxtrans.org]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 2:04 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Laurel J Turner  
11333 SE Powell Ct  
Portland, OR 97266-1758

503-823-1767

**CHASE Jeri**

---

**From:** Josh Schlossberg Schlossberg [thombanjo@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 2:17 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Josh Schlossberg Schlossberg  
1765 E. 43rd Ave.  
Eugene, OR 97405

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Brian Humiston [humistob@onid.orst.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 3:08 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Brian Humiston  
602 NW Kings Blvd.  
Corvallis, OR 97330

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Morgan Heckman [mheckma1@uoregon.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 3:37 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Morgan Heckman  
2306 N. Kilpatrick  
Portland, OR 971217

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Ellen Cantwell [rvrleo@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 3:38 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Ellen J Cantwell  
1738 SW Collins Ct  
Portland, OR 97219

503-293-5934

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Kasson Kauth [joenvironmentalist@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 3:39 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Kasson Kauth  
472 Walker Ave.  
Ashland, OR 97520

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Darcie Hildreth [darcie\_h\_koala@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 3:43 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Darcie Hildreth  
4150 SE 72nd Ave.  
Portland, OR 97206

503-775-6624

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Heidi Weiss [hkj@pdx.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 4:34 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Heidi K Weiss  
134 SE Taylor  
Portland, OR 97202

503-334-9470

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Yvonne Wolf [yrwolf@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 5:45 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Wolf  
POB 141  
Dexter, OR 97431

5419374378

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Heather Evergreen [heatherevergreen@peoplepc.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 6:39 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Heather Evergreen  
772 NW Autumncreek Way Apt. 0302  
Beaverton, OR 97006

503-466-9545

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Marceline Geary [marcelineg@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 8:39 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Marceline Geary  
2884 NW Savier St.  
Portland, OR 97210

503-223-4366

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Charles Blanchard [ceblanchard@mindspring.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 8:53 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Charles Blanchard  
3522 Archwood Street  
Eugene, OR 97404

541-689-3952

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Jennifer Bernham [mysteriouscurious@excite.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 9:07 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bernham  
335 SE Lilly Ave. Apt. D  
Corvallis, OR 97333

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Amy Elbert [aelbert2001@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 10:55 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Amy Elbert  
37664 Second St  
#A  
Fremont, CA 94536

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Emily Platt [platte@ohsu.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 12:07 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Emily Platt  
2808 SE 18th Ave  
Portland, OR 97202

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Kyle Haines [kfaeastside@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 1:09 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Kyle Haines  
1415 Johnson Ave  
Klamath Falls, OR 97601

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Thomas McAlarney [tinytompdx@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 2:38 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry? instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Thomas McAlarney  
30113 SW Riverwood Dr.  
West Linn, OR 97068

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Thomas Alcorn [thomas\_alcorn@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 2:57 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Thomas Alcorn  
19325 SW Rosa Rd  
Aloha, OR 97007

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Anna Beaty [annabeaty@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 09, 2008 5:02 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am a third generation Oregonian, granddaughter & daughter of Oregon farmers and fly-fishermen, and a family that has long treasured our beautiful federal forests. I am expressly writing to voice my concerns on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Anna N Beaty  
5110 SE Division Apt 3  
Portland, OR 97206

503-891-6790

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Angela Van Ecken [avanecken@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 10, 2008 5:34 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Angela Van Ecken  
302 N Rosa Parks Way  
Portland, OR 97217

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Sharon Lemaster [earthwizard210@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 10, 2008 9:16 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Sharon Lemaster  
2305 Ashland St.  
Suite C PMB451  
Ashland, OR 97520

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Melissa DeCook [melissadecook@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 11, 2008 9:55 AM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Melissa DeCook  
3425 Concord St.  
Eugene, OR 97402

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Laura Rost [laura@waterwatch.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 11, 2008 12:58 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Laura Rost  
33  
portland, OR 97202

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Richard Schramm [rpschramm@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 14, 2008 6:58 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Richard Schramm  
3024 NE Bryce  
Portland, OR 97212

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** David and Dee Tvedt [dee@dtvedt.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 14, 2008 11:07 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

David and Dee Tvedt  
RN  
801 Lynn Lane  
Eugene, OR 97404

541-689-7189

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Takuji Soyama [tsoyama@desu.ne.jp]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 14, 2008 11:59 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Takuji Soyama  
2-14-15 Higashi-abiko  
Patio Square Tennodai 306  
Abiko-si Chiba, ot 270-1144

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** maike monika schlüpmann [mm.arco-iris@gmx.de]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 15, 2008 10:52 AM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school ?regulated forestry? instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

maike monika schlüpmann  
postfach  
hamburg, ot 21049

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Cynthia Ignatovich [goodhiker@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 16, 2008 11:32 AM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Ignatovich  
719 NE 110th Ave.  
Portland, OR 97220

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Tammy Smith [tamara.j.smith@intel.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 16, 2008 12:30 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Tammy J Smith  
7051 NE Rocky Brook Street  
7051 NE Rocky Brook Street  
Hillsboro, OR 97124

503-705-6172

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** oscar kimbrough [jok4343-onrc@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 16, 2008 2:43 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

oscar kimbrough  
168 rosetta ave  
eugene, OR 97404

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Dave Willis [sodamtn@mind.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 16, 2008 7:53 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Dave Willis  
15187 Highway 66  
Ashland, OR 97520

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** Lee Roessler [shipping@brenprint.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 18, 2008 6:28 AM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

Lee Roessler  
1909 Olde Homestead Lane  
Lancaster, PA 17601

## CHASE Jeri

---

**From:** laura campanelli [jfunkyluke@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 24, 2008 8:16 PM  
**To:** ffacomment  
**Subject:** FFAC Comments

I am writing to comment on the recently released Federal Forest Advisory Committee recommendations to Governor Ted Kulongoski. I have also forwarded my comments to the Governor's office.

All Americans own our federal forests. Along with the larger citizenry, Oregonians expect public lands to provide public services such as clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife, recreation and scenic vistas, and carbon storage that helps provide a livable planet.

Any state involvement in federal forests must give primary concern to these values. Unfortunately, the current FFAC document does not.

The Oregon Department of Forestry and the FFAC see forest issues through the lens of old-school regulated forestry instead of dynamic ecosystem management. The FFAC paints a picture of a forest health crisis that can only be solved with chainsaws, ignoring that chainsaws are a major part of the problems we face.

The report implies that little or nothing is being done to manage and restore forests now. In fact, federal forests are being actively managed and there is more and more agreement on management activities to the extent there is less and less logging of roadless areas and mature and old-growth forests. The FFAC misguidedly recommends moving away from these areas of agreement and ramping up logging on our public lands.

Additionally, the FFAC says that Oregonians want greater influence over federal forest management, but all Oregonians already have great influence over federal forest management through the NEPA process.

I urge the FFAC to consider a broader focus in their final draft rather than the narrow perspective of simply increasing harvest on federal lands. Our publicly-owned forests have been around for over 100 years and we should be protecting and restoring them in a way that Oregonians will benefit 100 years from now.

Sincerely,

laura campanelli  
po box 1647  
NEWBERRY, FL 32669