

November 5, 2015

To: Oregon Board of Forestry

From Clair Klock – Klock Farm – Corbett, OR

RE: Oregon Forest Practices act Riparian Forest Rules Changes

I stand by my previous contention that new riparian buffer rules should be ~~the 27/175 varied~~<sup>a</sup> cut prescription that would most likely achieve EPA standards. This allows timber extraction as well as protects the stream in a meaningful manner. I maintain that the secondary non-fish streams should be afforded increased protection. These non-fish bearing stream dump mud and lead to warm stream temperature the same as fish bearing streams.

At this point I will stop the biological argument and ask that you establish this type of protection for the general good of the people. Multinational corporations uses us (individuals, local companies and small landowners) as pawns against each other to extract our resources for pennies to us while accumulating millions of dollars for themselves (as if they are people). In the 1970's we saw Georgia Pacific "cut and run" from the Northwest. The people of Kinzua and Valetz saw their communities disappear entirely after being assured that the company was looking after their interest too. Those multinational companies run the national, state and local governments. Each of you on the board and the people in this audience understand how elections are bought by large business.

Everyone of us has seen how free trade has eliminated jobs for Oregon and the United States. We see huge amount of unprocessed timber go offshore to be processed in mills that don't have even come close to the wages we demand and deserve and should be here in Oregon. The same happened in the 1970 on a lesser scale. We are selling ourselves short and future generations as well . Make riparian rules that matter.

Thank you for your consideration