



State of Oregon

Oregon Board of  
Forestry

Department of  
Forestry

Forest Grove *and*  
Tillamook Districts

**Tillamook State  
Forest**



"STEWARDSHIP IN FORESTRY"

# Wilson River Watershed Analysis

---



Looking downstream at the confluence of the North and West Forks of the Wilson River, Winter 2006-2007.

**Final Copy**

**March 2008**

This page left intentionally blank.

# Wilson River Watershed Analysis

---

*Prepared for the*  
**Oregon Department of Forestry**  
2600 State Street  
Salem, OR 97310

## **Northwest Oregon Area**

Forest Grove District  
801 Gales Creek Road  
Forest Grove, OR 97116-1

*and*

Tillamook District  
5005 3rd Street  
Tillamook, OR 97141

**Prepared by**  
**Duck Creek Associates, Inc. and associated consultants**  
1600 SW Western Blvd., Suite 210  
Corvallis, Oregon 97333



**FINAL COPY**

March 2008

This page left intentionally blank.

## ***Preparers and Contributors***

Gerald Middel, Duck Creek Associates Project Manager, Geographer and Biologist

Jeremiah Osborne-Gowey, Duck Creek Associates Co-Project Manager, Landscape/Aquatic Ecologist

Scott Schwartz, Duck Creek Associates, Forester

Jeff Hollenbeck, Duck Creek Associates, Forester/Remote Sensing and GIS

Frank Brown, Duck Creek Associates, Forester

Ric Balfour, Recreation Specialist, Ric Balfour and Associates

Lee Benda, Geomorphologist, Earth Systems Institute

Chris Heider, Ecologist, Watershed Professionals Network LLC

Daniel Miller, Geomorphologist, Earth Systems Institute

Edward Salminen, Hydrologist, Watershed Professionals Network LLC

## ***Total Quality Management***

John Hawksworth, ODF Watershed Specialist

Liz Dent, ODF Aquatic Specialist

Keith Mills, ODF State Forests Engineer

Dan Clough, ODF Training and Support Coordinator

Rosemary Mannix, ODF Manager, Resource Specialist section

Bob Teran, ODF Timber Sale Planning Forester

Bob Gustavson, ODF Assistant District Forester (Forest Grove)

Keith Braun, ODFW Fish Biologist – Tillamook

Dave Plawman, ODFW Fish Biologist – Tillamook

And dozens of other ODF and ODFW staff whose reviews and contributions have strengthened this report considerably.

This page left intentionally blank.

## **HOW TO USE THIS DOCUMENT**

This watershed assessment is designed primarily for use by natural resource managers. We have provided enough detail throughout this document, however, that a layperson will also be able to understand the methodology and results present herein. This document was designed to be used in conjunction with the databases and GIS files utilized in the production of this assessment, all of which can be accessed through and/or provided by the ODF.

This document is organized into five distinct sections:

- Pre-text Pages
- Executive Summary
- Main Document (including a Synthesis)
- Appendices
- Maps

Information presented in the pre-text pages is primarily for reference to topical locations throughout this document.

To highlight the most important issues in the watershed and make it easier for resource managers to utilize, we present a “boiled down” version of the Main Document in the Executive Summary. Because considerably more detail and additional priorities and management recommendations are provided in the Main Document, the Executive Summary should not be considered the only document upon which management decisions are based. However, it is designed to be used as a “ready reference” with pointers to relevant, expanded sections within the main document.

Consequently, the Main Document provides a much more detailed view of the issues, priorities, and management recommendations within the watershed. Additionally, the Main Document contains 1) a Synthesis chapter which combines the results of the previous sections to summarize conclusions about the watershed, 2) a summary of overall watershed condition, 3) answers to OWEB and ODF assessment questions and 4) management considerations.

Supplemental information, including much of the technical methodology utilized during this assessment, is contained in the Appendices. Additionally, we provide detailed map examples in the last appendix but managers interested in querying specific information are encouraged to utilize the electronic databases and GIS files.

This page left intentionally blank.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2	WATERSHED OVERVIEW .....	1
1.3	STREAM CHANNEL MODIFICATION .....	4
1.4	HYDROLOGY AND WATER USE .....	6
1.4.1	<i>Land Use Effects</i> .....	6
1.4.2	<i>Water Uses</i> .....	8
1.5	RIPARIAN AND WETLANDS.....	8
1.5.1	<i>Riparian Composition and Structure</i> .....	8
1.5.2	<i>Riparian Vegetation Dynamics: A No Management Scenario</i> .....	9
1.5.3	<i>Large Wood Recruitment and Wood Recruitment Budget</i> .....	10
1.5.4	<i>Wetlands, Ponds and Lakes: Condition and Location</i> .....	11
1.5.5	<i>Noxious and Non-Native Weed Species</i> .....	12
1.5.6	<i>Riparian Restoration and Enhancement Opportunities</i> .....	12
1.5.7	<i>Recreational Impacts</i> .....	13
1.6	SEDIMENT SOURCES.....	14
1.6.1	<i>Current and Potential Sources and Erosional Areas</i> .....	14
1.6.2	<i>Landslide-Prone Slopes and Debris-Flow-Prone Channels</i> .....	14
1.6.3	<i>Deep-seated Landslides</i> .....	15
1.6.4	<i>Road-Related Issues</i> .....	16
1.6.5	<i>Recreation-Related Issues</i> .....	17
1.7	WATER QUALITY .....	20
1.7.1	<i>Water Quality Criteria, Limited Sections and Status</i> .....	20
1.7.2	<i>Current and Potential Shade Levels</i> .....	20
1.7.3	<i>Stream Temperatures, Reasonable Achievable and Compared to Potential Levels</i> .....	21
1.7.4	<i>Stream Temperature Comparison with Adjacent Basins</i> .....	21
1.7.5	<i>Limiting Factors</i> .....	22
1.8	FISH AND FISH HABITAT .....	22
1.8.1	<i>Species Information</i> .....	22
1.8.2	<i>Instream Large Wood</i> .....	23
1.8.3	<i>Aquatic Habitat Conditions</i> .....	23
1.8.4	<i>Fish Barriers</i> .....	24
1.8.5	<i>Recommendations and Priority Stream Reaches</i> .....	24
1.9	SYNTHESIS .....	28
1.9.1	<i>Watershed Condition</i> .....	28
1.9.2	<i>Management Considerations</i> .....	29
<b>2</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	33
2.2	PURPOSE .....	33
2.3	APPROACH .....	34
<b>3</b>	<b>WATERSHED OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	PHYSICAL SETTING .....	37
3.1.1	<i>Ecoregion</i> .....	37
3.1.2	<i>Geology, Landforms and Soils</i> .....	38
3.1.3	<i>Climate</i> .....	39
3.1.4	<i>Ownership</i> .....	40
3.1.5	<i>Waterboundaries</i> .....	41
3.2	BIOLOGICAL SETTING .....	41
3.2.1	<i>Early European Settlement</i> .....	41
3.2.2	<i>Vegetation</i> .....	43
3.2.3	<i>Fish</i> .....	44

3.3	SOCIAL CONTEXT.....	45
3.3.1	<i>Historical Land Use</i> .....	45
3.3.2	<i>Current Land Use</i> .....	48
3.3.3	<i>Economies</i> .....	50
3.3.4	<i>Population</i> .....	51
3.4	NATURAL DISTURBANCES.....	51
3.4.1	<i>Fire as an Historic Disturbance</i> .....	52
3.4.2	<i>Flooding as an Historic Disturbance</i> .....	53
3.4.3	<i>Windstorms as an Historic Disturbance</i> .....	55
3.4.4	<i>Simulation Models and NetMap Analyses</i> .....	56
3.5	FOREST MANAGEMENT .....	57
3.5.1	<i>Early European Land Uses</i> .....	57
3.5.2	<i>The Tillamook Burn and Reforestation</i> .....	58
3.5.3	<i>Recent Forest Management and Associated Policies/Regulations</i> .....	61
3.5.4	<i>Forest Recreation</i> .....	62
3.5.5	<i>Stream-Road Crossings</i> .....	64
<b>4</b>	<b>STREAM CHANNELS AND CHANNEL MODIFICATION.....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1	METHODS/BACKGROUND.....	65
4.2	CHANNEL HABITAT TYPES.....	65
4.3	HABITAT INTRINSIC POTENTIAL.....	66
4.4	HABITAT CORE AREAS.....	66
4.5	BIOLOGICAL HOTSPOTS .....	67
4.6	SENSITIVE AREAS .....	67
4.6.1	<i>Background/Methods</i> .....	67
4.6.2	<i>Results</i> .....	67
4.7	CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS.....	68
4.7.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	68
4.7.2	<i>Results</i> .....	69
4.8	HISTORIC CHANNEL DISTURBANCES.....	70
4.8.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	70
4.8.2	<i>Results</i> .....	71
4.9	CHANNEL HABITAT TYPES IMPACTED BY CHANNEL MODIFICATION .....	72
4.9.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	72
4.9.2	<i>Results</i> .....	72
<b>5</b>	<b>HYDROLOGY AND WATER USE.....</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	75
5.2	FLOOD HISTORY.....	75
5.2.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	75
5.2.2	<i>Results</i> .....	77
5.3	LAND USE EFFECTS ON PEAK AND LOW FLOWS.....	80
5.3.1	<i>Overview</i> .....	80
5.3.2	<i>Methods</i> .....	80
5.3.3	<i>Results</i> .....	82
5.4	DHSVM FUTURE MODELING .....	85
5.4.1	<i>Structural Range of Variability</i> .....	86
5.5	WATER USES.....	88
5.5.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	88
5.5.2	<i>Results</i> .....	88
5.5.2.1	<i>Beneficial Uses</i> .....	88
5.5.2.2	<i>Storage, Withdrawals and Transfers</i> .....	89
5.5.2.3	<i>Effects on Peak and Low Flows</i> .....	89
5.6	LIMITING FACTORS .....	92
5.7	CONFIDENCE IN THE ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS .....	93

<b>6</b>	<b>RIPARIAN AND WETLANDS .....</b>	<b>95</b>
6.1	RIPARIAN COMPOSITION AND STRUCTURE .....	95
6.1.1	<i>Riparian Management Areas</i> .....	95
6.1.2	<i>Vegetation Classifications</i> .....	96
6.1.3	<i>Field Reconnaissance</i> .....	97
6.1.4	<i>Field Validation and Final Classification Scheme</i> .....	99
6.1.5	<i>Riparian Vegetation Current Conditions</i> .....	103
6.1.5.1	Distribution of Riparian Zones.....	103
6.1.5.2	Major Vegetation Types.....	104
6.1.6	<i>Vegetation Type Descriptions</i> .....	107
6.1.6.1	Conifer Dominated Stands .....	107
6.1.6.2	Hardwood Dominated Stands.....	109
6.1.6.3	Mixed Dominance Stands (Hardwood and Conifer) .....	110
6.2	POTENTIAL FUTURE CONDITIONS .....	111
6.2.1	<i>Riparian Vegetation Dynamics: “No Management” Scenario</i> .....	111
6.2.1.1	Species Composition: Shifts in Conifer Abundance .....	112
6.2.1.2	Stand Structural Changes in Mid- and Over-story Canopy .....	115
6.2.1.3	Forest Structure and Compositional Dynamics .....	118
6.2.1.4	Stand Mortality and Creation of Downed Wood.....	121
6.2.1.5	Key Findings at the Subwatershed Scale.....	126
6.2.2	<i>Large Wood Recruitment</i> .....	129
6.2.2.1	Methods: Categorical Evaluation of Standing Trees .....	129
6.2.2.2	Results: Categorical Evaluation of Standing Trees .....	129
6.2.2.3	Stand Modeling of Large Wood Recruitment .....	133
6.2.3	<i>Future Vegetative Conditions</i> .....	139
6.3	RIPARIAN ENHANCEMENT OPPORTUNITIES .....	139
6.3.1	<i>Potential Management Following Forest Management Plan Standards</i> .....	139
6.3.2	<i>Pathways for Management Action</i> .....	143
6.3.2.1	Current Data Sources and Limitations .....	143
6.3.2.2	Pathways for Riparian Management and Mapping .....	144
6.4	WETLANDS, PONDS AND LAKES – CONDITION AND LOCATION .....	152
6.5	NOXIOUS AND NON-NATIVE WEED SPECIES.....	153
6.6	KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	155
6.7	RECREATIONAL IMPACTS ON RIPARIAN VEGETATION – DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS .....	156
6.7.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	158
6.7.1.1	Dispersed Campsite Inventory Data.....	158
6.7.1.2	Field Sample of Dispersed Campsites.....	158
6.7.2	<i>Results</i> .....	159
6.7.2.1	Dispersed Campsite Inventory Data.....	159
6.7.2.2	Field Sample of Dispersed Campsites.....	161
6.7.3	<i>Recommendations</i> .....	162
<b>7</b>	<b>SEDIMENT SOURCES .....</b>	<b>165</b>
7.1	SLOPE INSTABILITY .....	165
7.1.1	<i>Shallow Landslide Susceptibility</i> .....	165
7.1.1.1	Methods .....	165
7.1.1.2	Results.....	166
7.2	DEBRIS FLOW-PRONE CHANNELS .....	167
7.2.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	167
7.2.1.1	Type N Channels.....	167
7.2.1.2	Type F Channels .....	168
7.2.1.3	Effects of Debris-Flow Deposits on Type F Channels .....	168
7.2.2	<i>Results</i> .....	170
7.2.2.1	Type N Channels.....	170
7.2.2.2	Type F Channels .....	170
7.2.2.3	Debris-Flow Deposit Effects.....	170
7.3	DEEP-SEATED LANDSLIDES .....	170
7.3.1	<i>Methods/Background</i> .....	171

7.3.2	<i>Results</i> .....	174
7.4	ROAD-RELATED ISSUES .....	181
7.4.1	<i>Survey utility</i> .....	182
7.4.2	<i>Roads in the watershed</i> .....	183
7.4.2.1	Methods .....	183
7.4.2.2	Road area in the watershed .....	184
7.4.3	<i>Hydrologic Connectivity</i> .....	184
7.4.4	<i>Critical Locations</i> .....	186
7.4.5	<i>Prism Stability</i> .....	190
7.4.6	<i>Stream Crossings</i> .....	190
7.4.6.1	Condition of stream crossings .....	190
7.4.6.2	Washout Risks .....	191
7.4.6.3	Inspecting and Servicing Stream Crossings .....	192
7.4.6.4	Fish Passage .....	194
7.4.7	<i>Reducing Hydrologic Connectivity at Stream Crossings</i> .....	195
7.4.8	<i>Short-term Risk Analysis</i> .....	196
7.4.8.1	Field-verified Sediment Delivery to Streams .....	196
7.4.8.2	Prism Stability and Delivery of Sediment to Fish-bearing Streams .....	197
7.4.9	<i>Long-term Risk Analysis</i> .....	198
7.4.9.1	Critical Location and Debris Flow Risk to Fish-bearing Streams .....	198
7.4.10	<i>Roads with Higher Long-term Risk</i> .....	200
7.4.11	<i>Road Findings and Recommendations</i> .....	203
7.5	RECREATION-RELATED ISSUES .....	204
7.5.1	<i>Off-Highway Vehicle Trails</i> .....	204
7.5.1.1	Methods .....	204
7.5.1.2	Results.....	204
7.5.2	<i>OHV Trail-Stream Intersections Affecting Water Quality</i> .....	206
7.5.3	<i>OHV Trail-Road Intersections Affecting Water Quality</i> .....	206
7.5.4	<i>Hydrologically Connected Trails</i> .....	209
7.5.5	<i>Trail Erosion Condition</i> .....	209
7.5.6	<i>Recreational Trail Network and Streams</i> .....	209
7.5.7	<i>Recreational Trail Washout Risk</i> .....	209
7.5.7.1	Methods .....	210
7.5.7.2	Results.....	212
7.5.8	<i>Overall Condition of OHV Trails</i> .....	215
7.5.8.1	Data Gaps and Limitations.....	215
7.5.8.2	Recommendations.....	216
7.5.9	<i>High Priority Trail Segments</i> .....	216
7.5.9.1	Data Gaps and Limitations.....	217
7.5.9.2	Recommendations.....	217
7.5.10	<i>High Priority Dispersed Camping Sites</i> .....	218
7.5.10.1	Data Gaps and Limitations.....	218
7.5.10.2	Recommendations.....	218
7.5.11	<i>Future Inventory and Monitoring</i> .....	219
7.5.11.1	Recommendations.....	220
7.5.12	<i>Effectiveness of Recent (post-1994) Recreation Site Upgrades</i> .....	223
7.5.12.1	General Recommendations .....	223
7.5.12.2	OHV site upgrades.....	224
7.5.12.3	OHV Trail System upgrades .....	224
7.5.12.4	Dispersed campsite upgrades .....	225
<b>8</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY</b> .....	<b>229</b>
8.1	INTRODUCTION.....	229
8.2	WATER QUALITY CRITERIA, LIMITED SECTIONS, AND STATUS.....	229
8.3	STREAM SHADING .....	231
8.3.1	<i>Distribution of Effective Shade</i> .....	231
8.3.1.1	Methods .....	231
8.3.1.2	Results.....	232

8.4	STREAM TEMPERATURES, REASONABLE ACHIEVABLE AND COMPARED TO POTENTIAL LEVELS ...	235
8.4.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	235
8.4.2	<i>Results</i> .....	239
8.5	STREAM TEMPERATURE COMPARISON WITH ADJACENT BASINS .....	245
8.5.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	245
8.5.2	<i>Results and discussion</i> .....	246
8.6	LIMITING FACTORS .....	251
8.6.1	<i>Stream Temperatures and Shade Conditions</i> .....	251
8.7	CONFIDENCE IN THE ASSESSMENT/ANALYSIS .....	253
<b>9</b>	<b>FISH AND FISH HABITAT .....</b>	<b>255</b>
9.1	INTRODUCTION.....	255
9.2	SPECIES, LISTINGS, AND EXTINCTIONS.....	255
9.3	NATIVE AND INTRODUCED SALMONIDS .....	257
9.4	NATIVE/INTRODUCED SPECIES INTERACTIONS.....	257
9.5	HISTORIC SALMONID DISTRIBUTION.....	259
9.6	CURRENT SALMONID DISTRIBUTIONS .....	259
9.7	HISTORIC SALMONID ABUNDANCE .....	262
9.8	CURRENT SALMONID ABUNDANCE .....	264
9.9	CURRENT SALMONID POPULATION STATUS .....	273
9.10	FISH HABITAT CONDITION .....	274
9.10.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	274
9.10.2	<i>Results</i> .....	277
9.11	INSTREAM LARGE WOOD .....	286
9.11.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	286
9.11.2	<i>Results</i> .....	286
9.12	SPLASH-DAMMING AND EFFECTS.....	288
9.13	FISH PASSAGE BARRIERS .....	288
9.14	PRIORITY STREAMS.....	290
9.14.1	<i>Methods</i> .....	290
9.14.2	<i>Results</i> .....	292
9.15	KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	294
<b>10</b>	<b>SYNTHESIS.....</b>	<b>299</b>
10.1	WATERSHED CONDITION .....	299
10.2	SUMMARY OF ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS .....	301
10.2.1	<i>Historical Condition Questions</i> .....	301
10.2.2	<i>Current Condition Questions</i> .....	303
10.2.2.1	Stream Channels .....	303
10.2.2.2	Hydrology and Water Use.....	304
10.2.2.3	Riparian and Wetlands .....	306
10.2.2.4	Sediment Sources.....	310
10.2.2.5	Water Quality.....	315
10.2.2.6	Fish and Fish Habitat .....	316
10.3	MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS .....	319
10.3.1	<i>General</i> .....	319
10.3.2	<i>Data</i> .....	321
10.3.3	<i>Stream Channel and Channel Modification</i> .....	322
10.3.4	<i>Hydrology and Water Use</i> .....	323
10.3.5	<i>Riparian and Wetlands</i> .....	323
10.3.5.1	Riparian Enhancement Opportunities .....	323
10.3.5.2	Recreation-related Effects .....	325
10.3.6	<i>Instream Large Wood Recruitment, Debris Flows and Landsliding</i> .....	326
10.3.7	<i>Sediment Sources</i> .....	328
10.3.7.1	Non-Road Related.....	328
10.3.7.2	Road Related.....	328

10.3.7.3	Recreation-related effects.....	329
10.3.8	Water Quality.....	330
10.3.9	Fish and Fish Habitat.....	331
10.3.10	Recreation.....	333
<b>11</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>337</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>APPENDIX A – SOILS DATA.....</b>	<b>351</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>APPENDIX B – PHOTOGRAPHIC PLATES.....</b>	<b>355</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>APPENDIX C – WATERSHED ISSUES ORGANIZED BY LAND USE ACTIVITY.....</b>	<b>383</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>APPENDIX D – NATURAL DISTURBANCE THEORY AND SIMULATION.....</b>	<b>385</b>
	THE ROLE OF NATURAL DISTURBANCE IN HUMID TEMPERATE MOUNTAIN LANDSCAPES.....	385
	NATURAL DISTURBANCE IN THE UPPER WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	387
	NATURAL DISTURBANCES: SIMULATION RESULTS.....	388
	<i>Fires and Forest Ages: Simulation and Implications for Range of Variability in the Wilson River watershed.....</i>	388
	<i>Shallow Landslides and Debris Flows.....</i>	389
	<i>Large Wood.....</i>	398
	EVALUATING LAND USE DISTURBANCE IN THE CONTEXT OF NATURAL DISTURBANCE.....	401
<b>17</b>	<b>APPENDIX E – DETAILED METHODOLOGIES.....</b>	<b>405</b>
17.1	STREAM CHANNEL AND CHANNEL MODIFICATION.....	405
17.1.1	Channel Classification.....	405
17.1.2	Confluence effects and decay of confluence effects.....	406
17.1.3	Habitat Intrinsic Potential.....	408
17.1.4	Habitat Core Areas.....	408
17.1.5	Biological Hotspots.....	409
17.2	HYDROLOGY AND WATER USE, DHSVM MODEL PARAMETERS AND DERIVATIONS.....	409
17.2.1	Spatial input data.....	409
Digital Elevation Model Data.....	410	
Vegetation.....	410	
Soil.....	415	
Streams.....	417	
Roads and Road Drainage.....	418	
17.2.2	Meteorological data.....	420
17.2.3	Model calibration.....	421
17.3	SEDIMENT SOURCES.....	423
17.3.1	Potential Sediment Yields at the Channel Segment Scale.....	423
17.3.2	Debris Flow Erosional Significance.....	425
17.3.3	Debris Flow Susceptibility (Probability).....	427
17.3.4	Debris Flow Potential for Wood Delivery.....	429
17.3.5	Relationship Between Predicted Debris Flow Susceptibility and Actual Debris Flows....	430
17.3.6	Predicting deep-seated landslides.....	430
<b>18</b>	<b>APPENDIX F – CLASSIFICATION OF STREAM CHANNEL HABITAT TYPES.....</b>	<b>433</b>
18.1.1	Methods.....	433
18.1.2	Results.....	433
<b>19</b>	<b>APPENDIX G – CURRENT AND POTENTIAL FUTURE DHSVM VEGETATION TYPES ...</b>	<b>435</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>APPENDIX H – DHSVM MODEL CONFIGURATION FILE.....</b>	<b>437</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>APPENDIX I – DHSVM PEAK FLOW OUTPUT.....</b>	<b>471</b>

<b>22</b>	<b>APPENDIX J – DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS .....</b>	<b>481</b>
	PRINCIPLES.....	482
	APPROACH AND AVAILABLE DATA .....	486
	RIPARIAN CONDITIONS.....	488
	<i>Selected Metrics</i> .....	488
	<i>Rationale</i> .....	488
	<i>Desired Future Conditions</i> .....	489
	AQUATIC CONDITIONS .....	495
	<i>Selected Metrics</i> .....	495
	<i>Rationale</i> .....	495
	<i>Desired Future Conditions</i> .....	495
	Stream Temperature.....	495
	Large Wood .....	497
	WATERSHED CONDITION.....	498
	<i>Selected Metrics</i> :.....	498
	<i>Rationale</i> .....	498
	<i>Desired Future Conditions</i> .....	500
	Roads .....	500
	Riparian Structure: .....	500
	Sources of large wood recruitment:.....	500
<b>23</b>	<b>APPENDIX K – RIPARIAN STAND INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>501</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>APPENDIX L – WOOD RECRUITMENT BUDGET .....</b>	<b>503</b>
	24.1 METHODS.....	503
	24.1.1 Preliminaries.....	503
	24.1.2 Wood Inputs by Tree Fall.....	503
	24.1.2.1 Probability That a Tree Falls.....	504
	24.1.2.2 Probability That a Falling Tree Enters a Channel .....	504
	24.1.2.3 Piece Size.....	506
	24.1.2.4 Piece Numbers .....	506
	24.2 REFERENCES .....	506
<b>25</b>	<b>APPENDIX M – ODF ROADS PROTOCOL .....</b>	<b>511</b>
<b>26</b>	<b>APPENDIX N – LIST OF HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED ROADS .....</b>	<b>529</b>
<b>27</b>	<b>APPENDIX O – JUVENILE SALMONID ABUNDANCES .....</b>	<b>533</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>APPENDIX P – SUMMARY OF AQUATIC HABITAT CONDITIONS .....</b>	<b>537</b>
<b>29</b>	<b>APPENDIX Q – FISH BARRIERS.....</b>	<b>545</b>
<b>30</b>	<b>APPENDIX R – NETMAP ANALYSIS OF CRITICAL ROAD LOCATIONS.....</b>	<b>553</b>
	30.1.1 <i>Methods/Background</i> .....	553
	Roads and Shallow Landslide Potential .....	553
	Roads and Debris Flow Potential .....	553
	Roads and High Quality Habitats.....	555
	30.1.2 <i>Results</i> .....	555
	Roads and Shallow Landslide Potential .....	555
	Roads and Debris Flow Potential .....	555
	Roads and High Quality Habitats.....	556
<b>31</b>	<b>APPENDIX S – FIELD VALIDATION OF SOME NETMAP PARAMETERS.....</b>	<b>557</b>
	31.1 METHODS/BACKGROUND.....	557
	31.2 RESULTS .....	557
<b>32</b>	<b>APPENDIX T – SLOPE STABILITY ASSESSMENT .....</b>	<b>561</b>
	32.1 REQUIREMENTS:.....	561
	32.2 STRATEGY:.....	561

32.3	BACKGROUND: SLOPE STABILITY .....	562
32.3.1	Relative landslide density to assess topographic controls on slope stability.....	562
32.3.2	Model evaluation.....	565
32.3.3	Translation to hazard classes.....	566
32.3.4	Data and results for the Wilson River Basin .....	567
32.3.5	Hazard ratings for landslide initiation.....	570
32.4	DEBRIS-FLOW RUNOUT.....	570
32.4.1	Topographic factors .....	570
32.4.2	Model calibration and evaluation .....	571
32.4.3	Delivery probability .....	572
32.4.4	Incorporation of delivery probability into hazard ratings.....	573
32.4.5	Identification of debris-flow-prone Type N channels .....	574
32.4.6	Debris-Flow-Prone Type F Streams.....	576
32.5	REFERENCES .....	578
<b>33</b>	<b>APPENDIX U – MAJOR VEGETATION CLASSIFICATIONS USED IN THE VEGETATION ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>581</b>
<b>34</b>	<b>APPENDIX V – LONGITUDINAL T<sub>MAX</sub> PROFILES FOR ALL PRINCIPAL STREAMS..</b>	<b>583</b>
<b>35</b>	<b>APPENDIX W – LIST OF PRIORITY DISPERSED RECREATION SITES FOR UPGRADE OR CLOSURE.....</b>	<b>593</b>
<b>36</b>	<b>APPENDIX X – LIST OF PRIORITY INSPECTION ROADS .....</b>	<b>597</b>
<b>37</b>	<b>APPENDIX Y – ADDITIONAL RIPARIAN MODEL RUN AND MODEL COMPARISONS ... .....</b>	<b>599</b>
37.1	POTENTIAL FUTURE CONDITIONS: RIPARIAN MODELING SCENARIOS.....	599
37.1.1	Source Data.....	600
37.1.2	Canopy Live Tree Density Dynamics ( $\geq 14$ inches DBH) .....	601
37.1.2.1	Total Trees Per Acre (TPA).....	601
37.1.2.2	Rate of Change in Total TPA.....	603
37.1.2.3	Conifer and Hardwood Canopy Structures.....	606
37.1.2.4	Conifer and Hardwood Understory Structures.....	611
37.1.3	Canopy Mortality Dynamics ( $\geq 14$ inches DBH).....	615
37.1.3.1	Total Canopy Mortality.....	615
37.1.3.2	Rate of Change in Canopy Mortality ( $\geq 14$ inches DBH) .....	618
37.1.3.3	Conifer and Hardwood Canopy Mortality.....	621
37.1.4	Compositional Dynamics.....	626
37.1.5	Key Findings.....	628
37.1.6	Conclusions Pertaining to the Watershed Analysis.....	629
<b>38</b>	<b>APPENDIX Z – MAPS.....</b>	<b>631</b>

## INDEX OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. RECURRENCE INTERVAL AND PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE ASSOCIATED WITH ANNUAL PEAK FLOW EVENTS AT THE WILSON RIVER STREAM GAGE.....	54
FIGURE 2. NOAA RECORDS FOR LARGE WIND EVENTS ALONG THE NORTHERN OREGON COAST.....	56
FIGURE 3. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL PEAK FLOW AND WETNESS INDEX AT THE WILSON RIVER STREAM GAGE.....	78
FIGURE 4. RESIDUAL VARIABILITY IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL PEAK FLOW AND WETNESS INDEX AT THE WILSON RIVER STREAM GAGE.....	78
FIGURE 5. CHANGE IN CHANNEL AREA IN REFERENCE REACHES.....	79
FIGURE 6. SCHEMATIC OF THE DHSVM MODEL.....	81
FIGURE 7. TEN RANDOMLY SELECTED SMALL CATCHMENTS (CROSS-HATCHED), THE SOUTH FORK RAWES CLIMATE STATION, USGS STREAM GAGE 14301500 (WILSON RIVER NEAR TILLAMOOK), AND AVERAGE ANNUAL PRECIPITATION.....	82
FIGURE 8. ESTIMATED NET EFFECT OF WATER WITHDRAWALS ON MONTHLY STREAM FLOWS AT THE MOUTHS OF WATER AVAILABILITY BASINS.....	91
FIGURE 9. MAJOR VEGETATION TYPES (ODF LANDS).....	105
FIGURE 10. RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF CONIFERS.....	114
FIGURE 11. PROJECTED LIVE CANOPY TREE DENSITIES.....	115
FIGURE 12. PROJECTED RATES OF LIVE CANOPY TREE RECRUITMENT.....	116
FIGURE 13. PROJECTED LIVE TREE QUADRATIC MEAN DIAMETER (CANOPY STRATA).....	117
FIGURE 14. PROJECTED CONIFER RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH).....	119
FIGURE 15. PROJECTED HARDWOOD RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH).....	119
FIGURE 16. PROJECTED CONIFER REGENERATION.....	120
FIGURE 17. PROJECTED HARDWOOD REGENERATION.....	121
FIGURE 18. PROJECTED CANOPY STRATA MORTALITY.....	123
FIGURE 19. RATE OF CHANGE IN CANOPY TREE MORTALITY.....	124
FIGURE 20. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF CONIFERS.....	125
FIGURE 21. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF HARDWOODS.....	126
FIGURE 22. STANDING LARGE CONIFERS ( $\geq 20$ IN DBH) PER 1,000 FT OF STREAM.....	130
FIGURE 23. STANDING LARGE CONIFERS (>35 IN DBH) PER 1,000 FEET OF STREAM.....	131
FIGURE 24. TEMPORAL SEQUENCE OF SIMULATED WOOD ABUNDANCE FOR ALL PIECES >15 CM (6 IN).....	136
FIGURE 25. TEMPORAL SEQUENCE OF SIMULATED WOOD ABUNDANCE FOR KEY PIECES, THOSE > 60 CM (24 IN) DIAMETER.....	136
FIGURE 26. SIMULATED ABUNDANCE OF FUNCTIONAL SIZE (A) AND DEPENDENCE ON CHANNEL SIZE (B).....	137
FIGURE 27. BASAL AREA OF CONIFERS > 32 INCHES DBH -- ALL MEASURED VEGETATION TYPES....	142
FIGURE 28. TREES PER ACRE OF CONIFERS > 32 INCHES DBH -- ALL MEASURED VEGETATION TYPES.....	142
FIGURE 29. LARGE, ANCIENT DEEP-SEATED LANDSLIDES CAN BE A SOURCE OF HABITAT DEVELOPMENT AND HETEROGENEITY.....	173
FIGURE 30. LOCATIONS OF THREE PRINCIPAL TILLAMOOK BAY BASINS (MIAMI, KILCHIS, WILSON) WITH LOCATIONS OF PRINCIPAL TRIBUTARIES IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED WHERE LONGITUDINAL PROFILES WERE USED TO SEARCH FOR POTENTIAL DEEP-SEATED LANDSLIDES.....	175
FIGURE 31. LONGITUDINAL PROFILES OF MAJOR TRIBUTARIES WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED ARE USED TO SEARCH FOR PERTURBATIONS IN THE DISTANCE-ELEVATION DATA THAT CAN INDICATE LOCATIONS OF LARGE DEEP-SEATED FAILURES AND EARTHFLAWS (REFER TO FIGURE 30 FOR LLID/STREAM NAMES).....	176
FIGURE 32. LONGITUDINAL PROFILES OF MAJOR TRIBUTARIES WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED ARE USED TO SEARCH FOR PERTURBATIONS IN THE DISTANCE-ELEVATION DATA THAT CAN INDICATE LOCATIONS OF LARGE DEEP-SEATED FAILURES AND EARTHFLAWS (REFER TO FIGURE 30 FOR LLID/STREAM NAMES).....	177
FIGURE 33. LONGITUDINAL PROFILES OF MAJOR TRIBUTARIES WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED ARE USED TO SEARCH FOR PERTURBATIONS IN THE DISTANCE-ELEVATION DATA THAT CAN INDICATE	

LOCATIONS OF LARGE DEEP-SEATED FAILURES AND EARTHFLAWS (REFER TO FIGURE 30 FOR LLID/STREAM NAMES).....	178
FIGURE 34. LONGITUDINAL PROFILES OF MAJOR TRIBUTARIES WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED ARE USED TO SEARCH FOR PERTURBATIONS IN THE DISTANCE-ELEVATION DATA THAT CAN INDICATE LOCATIONS OF LARGE DEEP-SEATED FAILURES AND EARTHFLAWS (REFER TO FIGURE 30 FOR LLID/STREAM NAMES).....	179
FIGURE 35. AN EXAMPLE FROM THE FAR EASTERN CORNER OF THE WILSON RIVER BASIN WHERE AN APPARENT LARGE DEEP-SEATED LANDSLIDE IS ALTERING THE LONGITUDINAL PROFILES OF CHANNEL GRADIENTS, ELEVATION, AND VALLEY WIDTHS (REFER TO FIGURE 30 FOR LLID/STREAM NAMES). .....	180
FIGURE 36. CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES. ....	211
FIGURE 37. CURRENT EFFECTIVE SHADE (TOP), AND POTENTIAL FUTURE SHADE CONDITIONS IN 50 (MIDDLE) AND 100 (BOTTOM) YEARS ALONG PRINCIPAL STREAMS, WILSON RIVER WATERSHED. .	233
FIGURE 38. CHANGES IN EFFECTIVE SHADE.....	235
FIGURE 39. LASAR TEMPERATURE STATIONS WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED. ....	237
FIGURE 40. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL MAXIMUM SEVEN-DAY WATER TEMPERATURE AND EFFECTIVE SHADE. ....	239
FIGURE 41. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL MAXIMUM SEVEN-DAY WATER TEMPERATURE AND DISTANCE TO WATERSHED DIVIDE. ....	240
FIGURE 42. CORRELATION BETWEEN DISTANCE TO WATERSHED DIVIDE AND EFFECTIVE SHADE. ....	240
FIGURE 43. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, WILSON RIVER MAINSTEM. ....	243
FIGURE 44. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, BENS SMITH CREEK. ....	244
FIGURE 45. SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LITHOLOGIC TYPES FOR WATERSHEDS IN THE WILSON-TRASK-NESTUCCA BASIN. FROM MILLER AND OTHERS (2003). ....	247
FIGURE 46. LASAR TEMPERATURE MONITORING SITES WITHIN THE TRASK RIVER WATERSHED. ....	249
FIGURE 47. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANNUAL MAXIMUM SEVEN-DAY WATER TEMPERATURE AND DISTANCE TO WATERSHED DIVIDE FOR THE POOLED WILSON RIVER / TRASK RIVER DATA SETS. .	250
FIGURE 48. RESIDUAL VARIABILITY FROM STREAM TEMPERATURE REGRESSION MODEL. ....	250
FIGURE 49. PROPORTION OF TOTAL PRINCIPAL STREAM LENGTH THAT IS ESTIMATED TO ACHIEVE THE ODEQ “CORE COLD WATER HABITAT” CRITERIA ODEQ “CORE COLD WATER HABITAT USE” CRITERION IS $T_{MAX}$ LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 60.8 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. ....	252
FIGURE 50. CHUM SALMON SPAWNING COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE PEAK NUMBER OF FISH PER MILE, FROM PRINCIPLE STREAMS IN THE TILLAMOOK BASIN. ....	270
FIGURE 51. FALL CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNING COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE PEAK NUMBER OF FISH PER MILE, FROM PRINCIPLE STREAMS IN AND AROUND THE TILLAMOOK BASIN.....	270
FIGURE 52. COHO SALMON SPAWNING COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE PEAK NUMBER OF FISH PER MILE, FOR PRINCIPLE STREAMS IN AND AROUND THE TILLAMOOK BASIN.....	271
FIGURE 53. SPRING CHINOOK SALMON RESTING HOLE COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF FISH PER HOLE, FOR PRINCIPLE STREAMS IN THE TILLAMOOK BASIN. ....	271
FIGURE 54. SUMMER STEELHEAD RESTING HOLE COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF FISH PER HOLE, FOR PRINCIPLE STREAMS OF THE TILLAMOOK BASIN.....	272
FIGURE 55. SEA-RUN CUTTHROAT TROUT RESTING HOLE COUNTS, EXPRESSED AS THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF FISH PER HOLE, FOR PRINCIPLE STREAMS OF THE TILLAMOOK BASIN. ....	272
FIGURE 56. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MEAN ANNUAL LAI AND CANOPY HEIGHT. ....	414
FIGURE 57. SOIL DEPTH (UPPER RIGHT) AND TEXTURAL CLASS (LOWER LEFT) FOR THE WILSON RIVER ASSESSMENT AREA. ....	416
FIGURE 58. ASSUMED TYPICAL ROAD CROSS-SECTION. ....	420
FIGURE 59. OBSERVED AND MODELED STREAMFLOW AT THE WILSON RIVER GAGE. ....	422
FIGURE 60. OBSERVED VS. MODELED PEAK FLOWS. ....	423
FIGURE 61. GEOMETRY TO DEFINE PROBABILITY OF TREE FALL INTO EACH CHANNEL SEGMENT FROM EACH DEM POINT. ....	508
FIGURE 62. DEM POINTS WITHIN A TREE-HEIGHT DISTANCE OF A CHANNEL-EDGE SEGMENT. ....	508
FIGURE 63. SLOPE-DEPENDENT CALCULATION OF PROBABILITY OF TREE FALL FROM A DEM POINT TO A CHANNEL-EDGE SEGMENT. ....	509
FIGURE 64. LANSLIDE DENSITIES BY PROPORTION AND DENSITY. ....	563
FIGURE 65. LANSLIDE DENSITIES WITH WEIGHTED PROPORTIONS .....	564

FIGURE 66. A. LANDSLIDE DENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF DEM-INFERRED SLOPE AND USING SLOPE AS A MEASURE OF LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY. ....	565
FIGURE 67. LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY, DEFINED TO CAPTURE A SPECIFIED PROPORTION OF EXPECTED LANDSLIDE INITIATION SITES RANKED FROM THE MOST TO LEAST SUSCEPTIBLE. ....	568
FIGURE 68. TOPOGRAPHIC WEIGHTING TERMS CALIBRATED TO ODF 1996-STORM STUDY FIELD SITES. ....	569
FIGURE 69. THE PROPORTION OF BASIN AREA REQUIRED TO ENCOMPASS SPECIFIED PROPORTIONS OF LANDSLIDE INITIATION SITES BASED ON THE TWO WEIGHTING FUNCTIONS.....	569
FIGURE 70. PREDICTED RANGE AND OBSERVED VALUES FOR LANDSLIDES IN THE ODF 1996-STORM TILLAMOOK STUDY SITE. ....	570
FIGURE 71. THE PROPORTION OF CHANNEL LENGTH IN EACH CHANNEL-GRADIENT CLASS PREDICTED AND OBSERVED TO CONTAIN EVIDENCE OF DEBRIS (TORRENT) PASSAGE FOR THE TILLAMOOK STUDY SITE .....	571
FIGURE 72. STREAM ORDER VERSUS TYPE F (FISH-BEARING) CHANNELS. ....	572
FIGURE 73. PROBABILITY OF DEBRIS-FLOW DELIVERY FROM EACH DEM CELL TO A TYPE F (FISH-BEARING) STREAM. ....	573
FIGURE 74. DEBRIS-FLOW-PRONE TYPE N (NON-FISH-BEARING) CHANNELS. ....	576
FIGURE 75. PROPORTION OF DEBRIS-FLOW-PRONE, TYPE F CHANNEL LENGTH WITH $P_F$ VALUES GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO THAT ON THE X AXIS. ....	577
FIGURE 76. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, IDIOT CREEK. TOP GRAPHS SHOWS PREDICTED $T_{MAX}$ VALUES FOR CURRENT (2006) AND FUTURE (2056 AND 2106) SCENARIOS. BOTTOM GRAPHS SHOWS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FUTURE AND CURRENT SCENARIOS. ....	583
FIGURE 77. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, WEST FORK OF THE NORTH FORK WILSON RIVER. ....	584
FIGURE 78. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, DEVILS LAKE FORK WILSON RIVER. ....	585
FIGURE 79. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, ELK CREEK. TOP GRAPHS SHOWS PREDICTED $T_{MAX}$ VALUES FOR CURRENT (2006) AND FUTURE (2056 AND 2106) SCENARIOS. ....	586
FIGURE 80. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, SOUTH FORK WILSON RIVER. ....	587
FIGURE 81. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, NORTH FORK WILSON RIVER. ....	588
FIGURE 82. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, CEDAR CREEK. ....	589
FIGURE 83. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, JORDAN CREEK. ....	590
FIGURE 84. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, FALLS CREEK.....	591
FIGURE 85. LONGITUDINAL $T_{MAX}$ PROFILE, LITTLE NORTH FORK WILSON RIVER. ....	592
FIGURE 86. MODEL #1 PROJECTED LIVE CANOPY TREE DENSITIES. ....	601
FIGURE 87. MODEL #2. PROJECTED LIVE CANOPY TREE DENSITIES. ....	602
FIGURE 88. MODEL DIFFERENCE. PROJECTED LIVE CANOPY TREE DENSITIES. ....	603
FIGURE 89. MODEL #1: PROJECTED RATES OF LIVE CANOPY TREE RECRUITMENT. ....	604
FIGURE 90. MODEL #2: PROJECTED RATES OF LIVE CANOPY TREE RECRUITMENT. ....	605
FIGURE 91. MODEL DIFFERENCE: PROJECTED RATES OF LIVE CANOPY TREE RECRUITMENT.....	606
FIGURE 92. MODEL #1: PROJECTED CONIFER RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH).....	607
FIGURE 93. MODEL #2: PROJECTED CONIFER RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH).....	608
FIGURE 94. MODEL DIFFERENCES: PROJECTED CONIFER RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH). ....	609
FIGURE 95. MODEL #1: PROJECTED HARDWOOD RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH). ....	610
FIGURE 96. MODEL #2: PROJECTED HARDWOOD RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH). ....	610
FIGURE 97. MODEL DIFFERENCES: PROJECTED HARDWOOD RECRUITMENT TO THE CANOPY (>14 INCHES DBH).....	611
FIGURE 98. MODEL #1. PROJECTED CONIFER UNDERSTORY.....	612
FIGURE 99. MODEL #2. PROJECTED CONIFER UNDERSTORY.....	613
FIGURE 100. MODEL #1. PROJECTED HARDWOOD UNDERSTORY. ....	613
FIGURE 101. MODEL #2. PROJECTED HARDWOOD UNDERSTORY. ....	614
FIGURE 102. MODEL #1 PROJECTED CANOPY STRATA MORTALITY. ....	616
FIGURE 103. MODEL #2. PROJECTED CANOPY STRATA MORTALITY. ....	617
FIGURE 104. MODEL DIFFERENCES: PROJECTED CANOPY STRATA MORTALITY.....	618
FIGURE 105. MODEL #1. RATE OF CHANGE IN CANOPY TREE MORTALITY.....	619

FIGURE 106. MODEL #2. RATE OF CHANGE IN CANOPY TREE MORTALITY..... 620  
FIGURE 107. MODEL DIFFERENCES. RATE OF CHANGE IN CANOPY TREE MORTALITY. .... 621  
FIGURE 108. MODEL #1. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF CONIFERS. .... 622  
FIGURE 109. MODEL #2. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF CONIFERS. .... 622  
FIGURE 110. MODEL DIFFERENCES. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF CONIFERS..... 623  
FIGURE 111. MODEL #1. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF HARDWOODS. .... 624  
FIGURE 112. MODEL #2. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF HARDWOODS. .... 625  
FIGURE 113. MODEL DIFFERENCES. PROJECTED CANOPY MORTALITY OF HARDWOODS..... 625  
FIGURE 114. MODEL #1. RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF CONIFERS. .... 626  
FIGURE 115. MODEL #2. RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF CONIFERS. .... 627

## INDEX OF TABLES

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS ON ODF WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER AND ADJACENT WATERSHEDS.....	5
TABLE 2. GEOLOGY OF THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED BY ACREAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF WATERSHED AREA.....	38
TABLE 3. WILSON RIVER WATERSHED LAND OWNERSHIP BY ACREAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF WATERSHED AREA.....	40
TABLE 4. WILSON RIVER SUBWATERSHEDS (6 <sup>TH</sup> FIELD HUCs) BY ACREAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF SUBWATERSHED AREA.....	41
TABLE 5. WILSON RIVER LAND USE BY ACREAGE AND PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL WATERSHED AREA.....	48
TABLE 6. WILSON RIVER STREAM GAGE ANNUAL PEAK FLOWS WITH A RECURRENCE INTERVAL OF 10 YEARS OR MORE.....	55
TABLE 7. SUMMARY OF CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS.....	69
TABLE 8. SUMMARY OF CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS BY CHANNEL CLASS.....	73
TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF DHSVM RESULTS.....	83
TABLE 10. MODELED INCREASES IN TOTAL VOLUME OF DISCHARGE OVER THE MODELING PERIOD (10/1/2004 TO 5/1/2007) AT THE USGS GAGE LOCATION ON THE WILSON RIVER.....	84
TABLE 11. MODELED MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS FOR THE MONTH OF AUGUST.....	85
TABLE 12. CURRENT AND DESIRED STRUCTURAL DISTRIBUTION.....	86
TABLE 13. IN-STREAM WATER RIGHTS IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	89
TABLE 14. RIPARIAN VEGETATION SAMPLING INTENSITY.....	99
TABLE 15. CLASSIFICATION SIGNIFICANCE MATRIX.....	101
TABLE 16. STAND METRICS BY MAJOR TYPE AND RIPARIAN ZONE.....	102
TABLE 17. RIPARIAN LAND AREA SUMMARY.....	103
TABLE 18. COARSE RIPARIAN VEGETATION TYPES.....	104
TABLE 19. MAJOR SPECIES CLASSES BY SUBWATERSHED.....	106
TABLE 20. SUMMARY OF FIELD DATA.....	108
TABLE 21. STANDING STOCKS OF CONIFERS >35 AND ≥20 INCHES DBH PER 1,000 FEET OF STREAM CHANNEL.....	132
TABLE 22. MATRIX OF TREATMENT CANDIDACY FOR VARIOUS STAND AND DISTURBANCE CONDITIONS..	145
TABLE 23. WETLANDS, LAKES AND PONDS.....	153
TABLE 24. RECREATION SITE MONITORING AND FRISSELL CONDITION CLASSES.....	159
TABLE 25. ODF DISPERSED CAMPSITE INVENTORY PROVIDES A BASELINE RECORD OF CONDITIONS FOUND DURING THE FIELD SURVEY OF 2006.....	159
TABLE 26. DISPERSED CAMPSITE CONDITION CLASSES.....	162
TABLE 27. SUMMARY OF ROAD DATA COLLECTED IN 2006 FOR ODF LANDS WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	184
TABLE 28. MILES OF FOREST ROADS THAT WERE HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED DURING THE 2006 SURVEY.....	185
TABLE 29. CRITICAL LOCATION OF OPEN ROADS IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	187
<b>TABLE 30.</b> CRITICAL CONDITION AND LOCATION FOR BLOCKED ROADS IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	188
TABLE 31. THE LENGTH AND AREA OF SEDIMENT LOADING SEGMENTS (HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED AND A SURFACE DRAINAGE AP OF 1, 2, AND 3) COINCIDENTAL TO CRITICALLY LOCATED ROAD SEGMENTS.....	189
TABLE 32. PRISM STABILITY AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL OPEN AND CLOSED ROADS BY PRISM STABILITY CODE.....	190
TABLE 33. THE NUMBER OF STREAM CROSSINGS AND THE RESPECTIVE ATTENTION PRIORITY (AP) CODES IDENTIFIED AND RANKED DURING THE PRE NOVEMBER 2006 STORM FIELD ASSESSMENT.....	191
TABLE 34. STREAM CROSSING FILTER OPPORTUNITIES ARE SHOWN BY THE RELATIVE AVAILABILITY AND NEED.....	191
TABLE 35. STREAM CROSSING WASHOUT POTENTIAL SURVEY DATA FOR OPEN AND BLOCKED ROADS.....	192

TABLE 36. STREAM CROSSINGS ON BLOCKED ROADS PRIORITIZED FOR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE. THESE CROSSINGS HAVE A HIGH OR MODERATE WASHOUT POTENTIAL AND AN ATTENTION PRIORITY CODE OF 1-3. ....	193
TABLE 37. STREAM CROSSINGS ON OPEN ROADS PRIORITIZED FOR INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE. ....	194
TABLE 38. PRIORITIZED STREAM CROSSINGS ON BOTH OPEN AND BLOCKED ROADS IDENTIFIED AS BEING BARRIERS TO FISH PASSAGE. ....	195
TABLE 39. AP CODES FOR CROSS DRAINS ON OPEN AND CLOSED ROADS. ....	196
TABLE 40. FILTER OPPORTUNITIES FOR CROSS DRAINS ON OPEN AND BLOCKED ROADS. ....	196
TABLE 41. ROAD SEGMENTS RATED WITH A PRISM STABILITY CODE OF 2 (LANDSLIDE PARTIALLY BLOCKING ROAD) AND THE MODELED HIGH POTENTIAL DELIVERY TO A FISH-BEARING STREAM. ....	198
TABLE 42. ROAD SEGMENTS WHERE FIELD DERIVED HIGH SLOPE SEVERITY CRITICAL LOCATIONS WERE INTERSECTED WITH HIGH MODELED LANDSLIDE DELIVERING POTENTIAL TO A FISH BEARING STREAM. ....	199
TABLE 43. ROADS RATED BY A “RISK INDEX”. ....	200
TABLE 44. CRITICALLY LOCATED ROADS RATED BY A “RISK INDEX”. ....	201
TABLE 45. ROADS IDENTIFIED AS HAVING THE STREAM RUNNING IN THE DITCH. ....	202
TABLE 46. INCIDENCE OF HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED TRAILS. ....	205
TABLE 47. WASHOUT POTENTIAL OF HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED TRAILS SHOWING THE RESULTS OF 13 TRAILS ASSESSED USING PARAMETERS THAT INDICATE THE DEGREE OF WASHOUT RISK. ....	208
TABLE 48. DESCRIPTION OF TRAIL IMPACT INDICATORS AND CALCULATION METHODS. ....	211
TABLE 49. OHV EROSION QUANTITIES (AREA) ALONG HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED (HC) TRAILS SHOWING EROSION QUANTITIES (AREA) FOR SELECT OHV TRAILS. ....	212
TABLE 50. OHV EROSION VOLUME ALONG HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED TRAILS. THIS TABLE SHOWS EROSION QUANTITIES (VOLUME) FOR SELECT OHV TAILS (BASED UPON MEAN CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA [CSA] MEASURES). ....	213
TABLE 51. OHV SAMPLE OF HYDROLOGICALLY CONNECTED TRAIL BRAIDING AND FALL-LINE SHOWING THE PERCENT FALL-LINE AND THE NUMBER OF SECONDARY TAILS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SELECT OHV TAILS (SECONDARY TRAILS INDICATE PROBLEMS). ....	214
TABLE 52. RECOMMENDED ODF DISPERSED CAMPSITE INVENTORY FORM CHANGES. ....	220
TABLE 53. EXAMPLE OF USFS TREE DAMAGE MONITORING PROTOCOL. ....	222
TABLE 54. HIGH PRIORITY DISPERSED CAMPING SITES RECOMMENDED FOR UPGRADE OR CLOSURE BASED ON ODF-RECORDED HUMAN IMPACT RATINGS OF “HIGH/VERY HIGH” (N=62). ....	226
TABLE 55. CURRENT 303(D) LIST STATUS OF STREAMS WITHIN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED. ....	230
TABLE 56. RIPARIAN STAND METRICS USED IN ESTIMATING EFFECTIVE SHADE. ....	232
TABLE 57. STREAM SHADE SUMMARY. MODELED AVERAGE (MINIMUM-MAXIMUM) EFFECTIVE SHADING (%) FOR 12 PRINCIPAL STREAM SYSTEMS IN THE WATERSHED IN 2006 AND PROJECTED 50 AND 100 YEARS IN THE FUTURE. ....	234
TABLE 58. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PERIOD OF RECORD FOR LASAR TEMPERATURE MONITORING STATIONS IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED. ....	238
TABLE 59. REGRESSION STATISTICS FOR THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED TEMPERATURE PREDICTION EQUATION. ....	241
TABLE 60. SUMMARY OF PREDICTED CHANGES BETWEEN CURRENT (2006) AND FUTURE 2056 AND 2106) T <sub>MAX</sub> VALUES. ....	245
TABLE 61. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PERIOD OF RECORD FOR LASAR TEMPERATURE MONITORING STATIONS IN THE TRASK RIVER WATERSHED. ....	248
TABLE 62. LIKELIHOOD THAT STREAM TEMPERATURES AND/OR SHADE CONDITIONS ARE A LIMITING FACTOR FOR ACHIEVING PROPERLY FUNCTIONING AQUATIC SYSTEM. ....	253
TABLE 63. STATUS OF NATIVE FISH SPECIES FOUND (OR LIKELY TO BE FOUND) IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED (AS OF DECEMBER 2007). ....	258
TABLE 64. FISH PRESENCE BY STREAM TYPE. ....	260
TABLE 65. WILSON RIVER ANADROMOUS FISH PRESENCE BY SPECIES, MILES, AND PERCENT OF THE PERENNIAL STREAMS IN THE WATERSHED INHABITED BY THE SPECIES. <sup>1</sup> ....	261
TABLE 66. ESTIMATED HISTORICAL SMOLT AND ADULT ANADROMOUS SALMONID COUNTS FROM THE TILLAMOOK BASIN AND WILSON RIVER IN THE LATE 1800’S. ....	263

TABLE 67. AVERAGE ANNUAL CATCH (IN POUNDS) AND AVERAGE NUMBER OF FISH CAPTURED ANNUALLY (IN PARENTHESES; 1957-61 ONLY) OF TILLAMOOK BAY SALMONID FISHERIES FROM 1923-1961 AS REPORTED BY OAKLEY (1966).....	265
TABLE 68. ADULT ABUNDANCE ESTIMATES AND TREND DATA (FOR SPRING CHINOOK SALMON) BY POPULATION, SPECIES AND RETURN YEAR.....	266
TABLE 69. ESTIMATED ABUNDANCE OF WILSON RIVER SUMMER JUVENILE SALMONIDS BY SPECIES, SUBWATERSHED AND YEAR. ....	268
TABLE 70. RECENT HATCHERY RELEASES OF NATIVE JUVENILE SALMONIDS IN THE TILLAMOOK BASIN AND WILSON RIVER.....	273
TABLE 71. STATUS AND RECENT POPULATION TRENDS OF ANADROMOUS SALMONIDS IN THE WILSON RIVER.....	274
TABLE 72. AQUATIC HABITAT BENCHMARKS ESTABLISHED BY THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE. BOLDDED TERMS REPRESENT KEY HABITAT ATTRIBUTES.....	276
TABLE 73. OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE (ODFW) AQUATIC INVENTORIES PROJECT HABITAT CONDITION IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED. ....	278
TABLE 74. DEFINITIONS AND CRITERIA FOR SCORING SUBWATERSHED PROPER FUNCTIONING CONDITIONS (PFCs) BASED ON ESTABLISHED “BENCHMARK/REFERENCE” AQUATIC HABITAT CONDITIONS (E.G., ODFW AIP DATA). ....	284
TABLE 75. PROPER FUNCTIONING CONDITION RATINGS FOR WILSON RIVER SUBWATERSHEDS BASED ON THE PERCENT (AND TOTAL NUMBER) OF KEY HABITAT ATTRIBUTES IN ALL STREAM REACHES FALLING INTO EACH OF THREE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE (ODFW) AQUATIC INVENTORIES PROJECT HABITAT RATING QUARTILE CATEGORIES.....	285
TABLE 76. NUMBER OF STREAM REACHES, BY STREAM AND SUBWATERSHED, WITH LOW, MEDIUM, AND HIGH LEVELS OF KEY PIECES OF WOOD (# KEY PIECES/100M). ....	287
TABLE 77. RESULTS FROM THE 2006 FIELD ASSESSMENT OF CULVERTS.....	289
TABLE 78. MILES OF POTENTIAL FISH HABITAT BLOCKED BY CULVERT BARRIERS ALONG ROADS IN THE WILSON RIVER WATERSHED.....	290
TABLE 79. PRIORITY STREAM REACH CATEGORIES (RANKED FROM LOW TO HIGH) FOR PROTECTION AND/OR RESTORATION.....	292
TABLE 80. CROSSWALK BETWEEN FPS AND DHSVM VEGETATION CODES.....	410
TABLE 81. STRUCTURAL RANGE OF VARIABILITY (SRV); AND ESTIMATED VALUES FOR CURRENT CONDITIONS, POTENTIAL FUTURE CONDITION, AND POST-FIRE CONDITIONS USED IN DHSVM MODEL RUNS. ....	412
TABLE 82. SUMMARY OF ROAD CHARACTERISTICS WITHIN THE ASSESSMENT AREA.....	419
TABLE 83. ESTIMATED ABUNDANCE OF WILSON RIVER SUMMER JUVENILE SALMONIDS BY SPECIES, SUBWATERSHED AND YEAR. ....	533
TABLE 84. HIGH PRIORITY DISPERSED CAMPING SITES RECOMMENDED FOR UPGRADE OR CLOSURE BASED ON ODF-RECORDED HUMAN IMPACT RATINGS OF “HIGH/VERY HIGH” (N=62).....	593
TABLE 85. HIGH PRIORITY DISPERSED CAMPING SITES RECOMMENDED FOR UPGRADE OR CLOSURE BASED ON PROXIMITY TO A STREAM (<25FT; N=33).....	595
TABLE 86. LIST OF PRIORITY ROADS, BY ROUTE ID (RTID) AND STATUS, FOR INSPECTION TO DETERMINE IF THEY ARE ACTIVELY ERODING AND DELIVERING SEDIMENT TO A STREAM. ....	597

This page left intentionally blank.

## INDEX OF MAPS

**NOTE: MAPS ARE INCLUDED IN THE FINAL APPENDIX (Z) ON PAGE 631**

- Map 1.** Project area, Wilson River watershed, Northwestern Oregon.
- Map 2.** Project area, Wilson River watershed, illustrating the Tillamook and Forest Grove Districts and the eight 6<sup>th</sup> field HUCs.
- Map 3.** Wilson River watershed geologic map grouped by deposit type.
- Map 4.** Industrial forest land ownership in the Wilson River watershed and vicinity.
- Map 5.** Fish bearing streams and the spatial distribution of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) within the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 6.** Fish Bearing Streams and the Spatial Distribution of Fall and Spring Chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) within the Wilson River Watershed.
- Map 7.** Fish bearing streams and the spatial distribution of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) within the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 8.** Fish bearing streams and the spatial distribution of summer and winter steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) within the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 9.** Land use in the Wilson River watershed and vicinity.
- Map 10.** Spatial distribution of three historic fires that affected the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 11.** Channel disturbance index as defined by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 12.** Probability distribution of headwater sediment yield (PDF Skew) as predicted by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 13.** Coho habitat intrinsic potential (IP) as defined by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 14.** Steelhead habitat intrinsic potential (IP) as defined by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 15.** Coho habitat intrinsic potential (IP) when affected by tributary confluences, wood jams, and wood accumulation. Predicted by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 16.** Core habitat areas for coho salmon as predicted by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 17.** Steelhead core habitat areas predicted by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 18.** Potential biological hotspots defined by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 19.** Channel habitat sensitivity defined by NetMap, ESI.
- Map 20.** Channel modification map of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 21.** Riparian vegetation Structural Range of Variability.
- Map 22.** Water rights and points of diversion.
- Map 23.** Riparian coverage and general vegetation types in the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 24.** Riparian vegetation types and densities the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 25.** Debris Flow Type F Channels Predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 26.** Susceptibility to landslide initiation and debris flow delivery to Type F (fish-bearing) streams predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 27.** Landslide hazard levels based on susceptibility to initiation, delivery to Type F stream, and runout length as Predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 28.** Channels Most Susceptible to Debris Laden Floods predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 29.** Debris Flow Type N Channels Predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 30.** The Minimum Wood Diameter required to form pools along a specific channel.
- Map 31.** Functional Wood Abundance for Year 2036 (# pieces / 100m). Unsampled riparian stand types not shown.

- Map 32.** Functional Wood Abundance for Year 2056 ( # pieces / 100 m). Unsampled riparian stand types not shown.
- Map 33.** Functional Wood Abundance for Year 2106 ( # pieces / 100 m). Unsampled riparian stand types not shown.
- Map 34.** Debris Flow Susceptibility Reaches
- Map 35.** Reaches Sensitive to Debris Flow Inputs with High to Moderate Debris Flow Susceptibility and Low Riparian Wood Recruitment; Simulation Year 2056
- Map 36.** Does Not Exist.
- Map 37.** High Priority Fish Bearing Reaches.
- Map 38.** High Priority Reaches and Areas of Expected Landslide Delivery to Streams, NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 39.** High Priority Reaches Illustrating Expected Debris-Flow Track Length
- Map 40.** Wetland types of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 41.** Populations of Japanese knotweed in the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 42.** Dispersed campsites ranked by overall impact.
- Map 43.** Dispersed campsites within 25 feet of streams.
- Map 44.** Shallow Landslide Susceptibility Predicted by NetMAP, ESI.
- Map 45.** Predicted deep seated landslide potential for the Wilson River watershed, NetMap, ESI.
- Map 46.** Road status on ODF lands in the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 47.** Roads classified as hydrologically connected in the eastern portion of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 48.** Roads classified as hydrologically connected in the western portion of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 49.** Critically located roads categorized by stream severity.
- Map 50.** Critically located roads categorized by slope severity.
- Map 51.** Road prism stability on ODF lands in the eastern portion of Wilson River watershed.
- Map 52.** Road prism stability on ODF lands in the western portion of Wilson River watershed.
- Map 53.** Stream crossing washout potential on ODF lands in the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 54.** Road washouts surveyed by the Tillamook District road engineers after the storm of November 2006.
- Map 55.** Hydrologically connected recreation trails surveyed in 2006.
- Map 56.** Prism stability categories for surveyed trails.
- Map 57.** Fish barriers at stream crossings on the eastern portion of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 58.** Fish barriers at stream crossings on the western portion of the Wilson River watershed.
- Map 59.** Channel Classification System #1 as determined using NetMap, ESI.
- Map 60.** Channel Classification System #2 as determined using NetMap, ESI
- Map 61.** Current Vegetation Classification
- Map 62.** Potential Vegetation Classification
- Map 63.** High-density conifer stands with large diameter trees on sites with high landslide risk