

**PROPOSER QUESTION FORM  
CM/GC FORM PQ**

Project Name: **I-5: Willamette River Bridge, Lane County**

Key No.: **14259**

No.	Part No.	Section No.	Question(s)	Reserved for Response
1	N/A	N/A	Will the A&E Form PQ be available for the CM/GC?	The A&E Solicitation did not have a Form PQ. All published information related to the A&E procurement process, including questions and answers, is available on the State's Procurement site, ORPIN. Only this published information will be available to the CM/GC proposers.
2	CM/GC Sample Contract	6.3.1	<p>Below is the language used in Section 6.3.1 (Establishment of the CM/GC Fee) on page 13 of 32 in the Contract.</p> <p><i>“The CM/GC Fee is inclusive of profit, general and administrative (“G&amp;A”) costs and home office overhead, as normally applied to projects completed by the Proposers Firm.”</i></p> <p>This statement can lead to a wide interpretation of what gets included in the fee and what is included in the Cost of the Work.</p> <p>Please clarify <b>exactly</b> what elements the Agency wants included in the Fee and what should be looked at as part of the Cost of Work, so as to provide a clearly defined basis for competition.</p>	<p>Project costs which the Proposers Firm typically charges to a Project should be included in the Cost of the Work and not included in the CM/GC Fee.</p> <p>Profit, home office overhead, and those costs which the Proposers firm typically charges to corporate codes (“G&amp;A”) should be included in the CM/GC Fee, and not included in the Cost of the Work.</p>
3	ITP	7.0	Please provide a list of firms that submitted a “Letter of Interest” also provide a list of members if the firm is a partnership or joint venture.	<p>The list of firms submitting a Letters of Interest (LOI) is posted on the Agency's Project website at:  <a href="http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/MPB/WRB.s.html#CM_GC_Procurement">http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/MPB/WRB.s.html#CM_GC_Procurement</a>.</p> <p>None of the LOI's identified either partnerships or joint ventures.</p>

**Oregon Department of Transportation**

<b>4</b>	<b>ITP</b>	<b>22.3 Par (c)</b>	Paragraph c. asks “Describe your approach to meeting the Agency-defined goals for this project.” Please clarify if the intent is to speak to the first six goals listed in Exhibit D of the contract and not all goals listed under PDT, CAG and OTIA III goals. Also goals 1 and 2 of the Agency’s Project Goals appear to be the same goal. Please clarify the difference between these goals.	The Agency-defined goals for this Project include all of the Project and Program goals listed in Exhibit D to the Sample CM/GC Contract, including the PDT and CAG Goals. Goals 1 and 2 are similar, and both will need to be met.
<b>5</b>	<b>Exhibits to CM/GC Contract</b>	<b>Exhibit F Co-location Requirements</b>	Please clarify if in addition to the use of “copiers and scanners” the CM/GC will be allowed use of the plotter described in Section 6.0. Also please clarify if computers will be supplied to the CM/GC staff.	Plotters will be available in the co-located office for use by the CM/GC. The plotter specifications are identified in Section 4 of Exhibit F to the Sample CM/GC Contract.  The CM/GC will need to provide computers and the associated software for its staff for the co-located office. The A&E firm will be required to provide the network, servers and internet connectivity.
<b>6</b>	<b>ITP</b>	<b>19.2(5)b.</b>	Please clarify total page count for Categories II through IV. Does the Agency want “50 double sided pages” containing 100 typed pages or a total of “50 double sided pages” with 50 total typed pages?	The 50 page limit would consist of a maximum of 25, double-sided pages, with each individual page numbered consecutively.
<b>7</b>	<b>ITP</b>	<b>22.7</b>	Please clarify if expenses and consumables are to be included in the hourly rate calculations for the CM/GC staff during pre-construction services, i.e. if the CM/GC is required to provide scheduling software to fulfill part of their scope of work required in pre-construction services how is that reimbursed?	Proposers have the option of including expenses and/or consumable costs in the hourly rates, or not. Hourly rate breakdowns will be one of the pricing components negotiated and agreed to between the Agency and the Best-Value Proposer prior to Contract award.
<b>8</b>	<b>CM/GC Contract</b>	<b>11.4</b>	Please clarify if, in order to get paid, the CM/GC staff must be present at the co-location office, and if so, is it the Agency’s intent to pay for the CM/GC staff full time plus overtime, when required, regardless of the level of project demand or work product required?	The CM/GC staff is not required to be in the co-located office to be paid. The payment will be made on appropriate charges for Work Products requested by the Agency as captured in an auditable accounting system for staff involved in

Oregon Department of Transportation

				the Project. It is expected that the CM/GC staff will be working in the co-located office to interact with the Agency and A&E firm on a regular basis. This interaction will provide the level of collaboration necessary to maintain the Project schedule and meet the Agencies goals.
9	<b>CM/GC General Provisions</b>	<b>Sections 141.90 and 170.03</b>	Please clarify if the Agency will obtain the initial Right of Entry permit with UPRR. It is understood the CM/GC is required; to notify, to cooperate with and to follow Railroad procedural policies as applied to construction within their right of way.	No, please see CM/GC General Provision 00141.90.
10	<b>ITP</b>	<b>22.2</b>	In the requested narratives for the Proposer's firm, Project Manager and Construction Manager, the agency asks for past project descriptions which include their "political environment". Please clarify the agency's definition of "political environment" as applied to a project description.	Political Environment is intended to include a description of the intensity of community interest in the subject projects, such as whether the project and/or the potential construction impacts garnered the attention of individuals, adjacent property owners, local neighborhood associations, business interests, community leaders, city or county elected officials and legislators.
11	<b>ITP</b>	<b>2.0</b>	Please clarify if the last date to submit RFI's, protests, etc. for this RFP, which now includes Addendum #1, is February 29, 2008 as stated in Section 2.0, or does the deadline in Addendum # 1 of February 18, 2008 supersede or modify the February 29 <sup>th</sup> date in any manner.	To clarify, the February 18, 2008 date identified in Addendum #1 <b>only</b> applies to questions, requests for change or protests of the provisions identified in Addendum #1.  The February 29, 2008 deadline identified in Section 2.0 for submittal of requests for clarification, requests for change or protests of the RFP provisions is not superceded, or replaced by the date identified in Addendum #1.

Oregon Department of Transportation

12	ITP	17.1 – Proposal Security	What form of Proposal Security, in the amount of \$500,000, will be acceptable? May we supply a Proposal Bond, using the Oregon Transportation Commission Proposal Bond form, as is used for ODOT design-build proposals?	Section 22.6(2) of the ITP identifies the forms of Proposal Security allowed: A Proposal Bond, cashier's check, irrevocable letter of credit issued by an insured institution as defined in ORS 706.008, or certified check.  You may use the Oregon Transportation Commission Proposal Bond Form for submittal of the Proposal Security. This form will be added to the RFP by Addendum #2.
13	ITP	22.4(b)(2) Proposal Response Category IV, Project Understanding	This section asks for our planned sequencing and staging of the work. The sequencing and staging will be a function of the project's final design. Are we to assume a particular type of bridge, and base our sequencing and staging plan on that assumption?	Yes, assume one type and also discuss the differences that might occur should another type be constructed.
14	ITP	22.4(b)(3) Proposal Response Category IV, Project Understanding	This section asks for our planned project schedule, including interim milestones and completion dates. Again, our response will be based on a particular bridge type. Should we assume a bridge type, and base our schedule on that assumption?	Yes, align with your selected type used in 22.4 (b) (2)
15	ITP	22.4(b)(3) Proposal Response Category IV, Project Understanding	The format of the schedule required for the proposal is not listed in the RFP. Since this schedule will be lacking in details, due to the unknown final design, will a Primavera Suretrak schedule be acceptable?	Yes. A Primavera Suretrak schedule is acceptable for the Proposal.
16	ITP	22.4(b)(3) Proposal Response Category IV,	The proposal schedule is required to include interim milestones and the contract completion dates. During the mandatory Pre-Proposal meeting several verbal references were made regarding the milestones listed in CM/GC General Provisions Exhibit "A" – Project Delivery Timeline.	Based on your experience in building bridges, please provide your anticipated major work items and critical operations. The A&E milestones are as follows: Draft DAP, DAP, Progress Plans, Advanced Plans, Final PS&E, clearance of ROW, Railroad approval and permit issuance.

**Oregon Department of Transportation**

		<b>Project Understanding</b>	This exhibit, however, does not specifically list any "milestones". Is there a list of milestones provided in the RFP, or does ODOT expect the Proposers to list our understanding of what constitutes an interim milestone?	
17	<b>CM/GC General Provisions</b>	<b>Section 00141.22, Project Records</b>	Why is the Contractor responsible for the records generated by the A&E during the Pre-Construction phase? It seems that the A&E should be responsible for their records until NTP for the Construction phase.	The A&E will be responsible for maintaining their own records during the Pre-construction Phase. The section cited relates to the Work Products prepared by the CM/GC during the Pre-construction Phase. .
18	<b>CM/GC General Provisions</b>	<b>Section 00141.30, Project Scheduling</b>	Primavera e/c Version 5.0 scheduling software is no longer available. 1) Will the updated version, Primavera P6.0, be acceptable? 2) Must the proposal schedule be submitted using the P6 software, or would a schedule prepared with Primavera Suretrak be acceptable?	The most current commercially available Primavera product is acceptable. The Proposal schedule can be prepared using Primavera Suretrack or the Proposer's available scheduling program.
19	<b>CM/GC General Provisions</b>	<b>Section 00143, Economic Development; 00144, Diversity; and 00145, Context Sensitive and Sustainable Solutions</b>	These sections, Economic Development, Diversity, and CS3, all require the CM/GC to provide representatives to perform functions that are not listed on Form PP, Pre-Construction Phase Services Proposal. The work plans, and the description of work, required by the representatives named in these sections is primarily directed toward construction rather than pre-construction services.  Since the nature and scope of Economic Development, Diversity, and CS3 is so indeterminate, please consider transferring the requirements of these sections from the pre-construction services contract to the construction contract. The scope of these sections is so dependent on how much the Agency wishes to spend that ODOT should best determine the scope of work to be required.  Alternatively, the CS3 approach to this project will be established in conjunction with the project design, so perhaps the CS3 planning would better reside with the A&E, or the Agency, rather than the CM/GC.	<b>Response under development.</b>

Oregon Department of Transportation

20	ITP	2.0	Would the agency please consider extending the Proposal Due Date by one (1) week.	Yes. The Proposal Due Date will be modified to March 14, 2008, 2:00 p.m. PDT.  The Project Procurement Schedule/Submittal Deadlines specified in Section 2.0 of the Instructions to Proposers will be modified via Addendum No. 3.
----	-----	-----	---	--