

April 22, 2009
9:00 AM – 11:00 AM

Lincoln City, City Hall
City Council
Chambers

**US101 SE 16th – SE 36th St (Lincoln City) Project
Working Nelscott District Stakeholder Meeting Comments**

Option	Type of Comment	Comment
Plaza	Positive	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The project visually improves a blighted area.○ The project improves the traffic flow and safety.○ Costs less.○ Costs the City less.○ Extra aesthetics.○ Less money.○ Looks nice.○ Attractive/sexy.○ Less time.○ Less expensive.○ Shorter construction period.○ Pretty and look good from highway.○ Cost.

Plaza	Negative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Basically none seem to exist. ○ Too windy here for pedestrian use. ○ No truck delivery options. ○ Will route truck/RV traffic through the residential area. ○ Trucks can't deliver. ○ Weather is too bad. ○ People have to walk too far. ○ Delivery truck issues. ○ Plaza not used much due to weather. ○ Cost of landscape/plaza. ○ Less parking. ○ Need more parking on side of Mercantile Building. ○ Less of our building will show. ○ Parking adjacent to highway. ○ Reduced total parking. ○ Plaza not compatible with practical use. ○ Parking negative – some buildings have no near parking. ○ Access for delivery vehicles. ○ People will not walk to get to Nelscott areas.
Plaza	Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It is hoped that work can be accelerated while construction costs are at a low point. ○ People will be less likely to park on the side of a busy highway and walk in bad weather. The short term money savings are not worth the long-term effects. ○ This would not be good for our businesses. We need our deliveries and a safe parking area. I don't like this one. ○ I don't like the plaza option. I think it will severely impact retail operation. ○ Signage would need to increase for strip businesses due to exposure decreasing. ○ This option is not consistent with the long term viability of the Nelscott strip.

<p>Pull Through</p>	<p>Positive</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The project visually improves a blighted area. ○ The project improves the traffic flow and safety. ○ Vehicle and pedestrian safety. ○ Better for the whole neighborhood. ○ Better business viability. ○ Safer for people and cars. ○ Business is better with close parking. ○ Delivery trucks and RVs can come to businesses. ○ Delivery truck access. ○ Better customer access for retailers. ○ Better safety. ○ Better for trucks. ○ You can see the strip better. ○ Off highway parking. ○ More useable parking. ○ Practical use of sidewalks. ○ Easier access to Nelscott. ○ Parking easier.
<p>Pull Through</p>	<p>Negative</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Basically none seem to exist. ○ Costs more. ○ Takes longer to construct. ○ Construction takes more time. ○ Takes more money to build. ○ Time, time, time. ○ More expensive. ○ Reduced parking from current levels. ○ Longer construction schedule. ○ Cost.

Pull Through	Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ It is hoped that work can be accelerated while construction costs are at a low point.○ I do believe this option, although expensive, is best for the whole neighborhood of Nelscott in the long term.○ I think the drive-through is the best and would be even better with a parking lot at 35th.○ I like this option much better than plaza. Is it possible to do angle parking to increase parking?○ It really keeps the look that is Nelscott.○ This option supports the Nelscott business area.
--------------	-------	---