Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives	
To improve the healthcare system and the health of Oregonians...	
Answer Options	**Rating Average**
We must link Oregon's reform efforts to national reform.	5.14
We must implement innovative public health programs to change individual behaviors.	5.86
We must lobby the Federal government for many of the recommendations presented by the Federal Laws Committee.	5.86
The plan of action put forward by the Board must be staged over a period of 2 to 3 biennia (2009-2015).	6.00
We must establish statewide, uniform quality metrics, practice guidelines and comparative effectivenenss guidelines.	6.00
We must have reliable and transparent information for providers, purchasers and consumers.	6.00
The role and influence (power) of purchasers must be increased.	6.29
We must foster innovation in the delivery system at the community level.	6.43
We must focus on prevention.	6.43
We must align state policy and community partnerships to decrease disparities in health status among Oregon's diverse and vulnerable populations.	6.43
We must create a statewide entity that is simultaneously accountable for quality outcomes, cost containment and patient experience of care.	6.57
We must increase access to healthcare.	6.71
We must focus on the comprehensive management of chronic diseases.	7.00
Are there other assumptions for change, not listed here, that are important to you?	
There is tension between evidence-based medicine and innovation. We want to promote innovation, not more of the same. We need to start boldly, with a clear vision and targeted goals. Staging is OK; lack of clarity is not.	
We should collect feedback from keystakeholders in the process - so that our draft is vetted (and adjusted) early in the process.	
public insurance option, cost containment, consolidation of state health boards and Programs, ERISA reform pay to play	

Other comments?
The phrases "purchasing power" and "cost containment" imply a potential adverserial relationship with health care professionals. We should aim for a collaborative relationship. The community model and rhetoric implies cooperation, not power. Language is important as we go forward.
A. I'm not sure what "purchaser roles and power" are. I think we should attempt to address purchaser roles in containing health insurance premium costs. B. I'm also not sure what "aligning state policy and community partnerships" means. I think we should attempt to decrease health disparities by supporting and strengthening community collaboratives, among other strategies. C. I think we need to be careful to not assume that clear cut guidelines exist for all health care. I think we should focus on the care given to the 20% of patients who consume 80% of health care services to ensure they are getting the best evidence based care possible. D. I think we must attempt to ensure that Oregonians receive proven preventive services and that they engage in healthy behaviors. But beyond that, I think health care that attempts to MAINTAIN health should be reimbursed equally with care that attempts to TREAT illness. E. I think we should support by INCENTIVES innovative public health programs.

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives				
Do you support the expansions of coverage proposed for 2009-2011:				
Answer Options	**Yes**	**No**	**Maybe**	**Not now**
Kids up to 200% FPL with state contributions (subsidies)	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0
Preserving and expanding OHP Standard for adults <100% FPL	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0
Comment				
Kids at 200 percent of FPL in 2009 Adults 200 percent of FPL by 2011				
I support expansion of coverage in 2009 - 2011 for ALL children and for adults up to 185% FPL.				

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives

Do you support the use of provider taxes (tax rates to be determined by the Governor, Legislature and stakeholders) to fund the 2009-2011 coverage expansions?

Answer Options	Response Percent
Yes	83.3%
No	0.0%
Maybe	16.7%
Not now	0.0%

Comment

The rational that providers are essentially already covering this cost through charity care, etc is the strongest reason for supporting this tax. In an ideal world the providers themselves would make a specific proposal on how this "tax" would work. Also, we should be open to expanding the benefit package (to OHP plus or reccomendations from our benefits committee) to meet the needs of the individual and the needs of the providers.

Question is yes develop strategy for payroll talk

Support of provider tax is tied to consumers recoup windfall fall insuring the uninsurered

I support a tax on hospitals and ASCs less Medicaid/Medicare revenues.
I DO NOT support a tax on other provider categories.

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives

Do you support increasing Oregon's tobacco taxes for public health programs that reduce tobacco use and obesity?

Answer Options	Response Percent
Yes	100.0%
No	0.0%
Maybe	0.0%
Not now	0.0%

Comment

However, if this portion of the proposal is going to turn public opinion against the entire proposal (as a result of big tobacco organizing against the measure), then I would suggest we wait on this and get the other parts of the proposal through first.

I support a tobacco tax increase dedicated to these AND OTHER public health issues.

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives

Do you support increasing Oregon's tobacco taxes to pay for the System Transformation strategies that will be included in the Board's plan?

Answer Options	Response Percent
Yes	33.3%
No	0.0%
Maybe	50.0%
Not now	16.7%

Comment

The system has enough money to pay for itself. We should use tobacco tax for public health purposes.

This holds less political opportunity than using a tabacco tax for public health initiatives.

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives	
Do you agree that a payroll tax should be reserved as a possible revenue source for expansions of coverage in 2011 - 2015?	
Answer Options	**Response Percent**
Yes	60.0%
No	0.0%
Maybe	40.0%
Not now	0.0%
Comment	
I think we should explain the concept in our report and let the Legislature help us decide when to move forward.	
Proposing any further taxes requires prooven results towards cost containment efforts.	

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives

To what degree is each of these items important for transforming the healthcare system?

Answer Options	Rating Average
Uniform, statewide trusted data and information.	6.33
Unify purchasing power.	6.33
Enable a single authority to oversee and manage quality, cost, and patient experience of care.	6.33
Setting goals and standards for care and costs.	6.67
Fundamentally shift how care is delivered.	6.67
Seek Federal healthcare waivers and reforms.	6.67
Measuring and reporting success on reaching goals and adhering to standards.	6.80
Provide access to all citizens: "Bring everyone under the tent."	6.83
Implement new workforce strategies.	7.00

Comment
See earlier comments about the term "power". We have to work together, not overpower
Provide access to all Oregonians. This means any individual residing in the state whether or not they are citizens.
A. I think that setting "standard of care" is a legal matter. As I mentioned, I think we should focus on quality measures for CHF, CAD, DM, and COPD. B. I support "fundamentally shifting how care is delivered" IF that means reimbursing for care that is effective rather than for care that is simply delivered. IHHs are part of this shift.

9. Do you endorse the idea of carrier and health facility performance reporting?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	6
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	2
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

10. To what degree do you think carrier and health facility performance reporting is important for true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**50.0%**	3
Important		16.7%	1
Moderately important		16.7%	1
Of little importance		16.7%	1
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments

Health facility includes all health care providers not just hospital and clinic facilities.

WHAT performance is to be reported is the key.

It strikes me that "very important" and "moderately important" are both "important"
thus I don't understand why "important" is listed separately. Better talk to the monkey about that.

11. Do you endorse the idea of standardizing health insurance administrative practices?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**66.7%**	4
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	33.3%	2
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

12. To what degree do you think standardizing health insurance administrative practices is important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	40.0%	2
Important	**60.0%**	3
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments

Need to define administrative practices.

AND I think there is very likely value in the idea that health insurance administrative costs should be capped.

13. Do you endorse the idea of the development and use of statewide evidence-based clinical practice guidelines?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**50.0%**	3
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		**50.0%**	3
		Comment	4
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

14. To what degree do you think the development and use of statewide evidence-based clinical practice guidelines are important for true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**40.0%**	2
Important		**40.0%**	2
Moderately important		20.0%	1
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**5**
		skipped question	**2**

Comments

There is a constant tension between evidence-based care and innovation. We want to encourage process innovation and be open to innovative therapies. On the other hand, we have to drive out the randomness of the current system and promote rational use of resources.

Prefer the term best practices since not all procedures can be characterized as truly evidence-based depending on the number of valid studies reported.

I am not sure what the impact on alternative care methods is. Would they be discouraged? What does using evidenced based care standards for innovative efforts?

As I mentioned, I think we should be careful before supporting widespread practice guidelines. CMS has had nothing but trouble trying to get their arms around this concept. Care must be evidence based but there are many ways to effectively skin a cat.

15. Do you endorse the idea of developing and using statewide comparative effectiveness reviews of medical technologies?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

16. To what degree do you think developing and using statewide comparative effectiveness reviews of medical technologies are important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**66.7%**	4
Important	33.3%	2
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments

I think comparative USAGE reviews should also be included.

17. Do you endorse the idea of developing and using statewide clinical quality measures?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

18. To what degree do you think developing and using statewide clinical quality measures are important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**66.7%**	4
Important	16.7%	1
Moderately important	16.7%	1
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments

This is critical for effective chronic disease reimbursement and for reimbursing that disease management.

19. Do you endorse the idea of a statewide electronic Physician Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment (POLST) registry?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	6
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

20. To what degree do you think a statewide POLST registry is important for true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**33.3%**	2
Important		**33.3%**	2
Moderately important		**33.3%**	2
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

21. Do you endorse the idea of establishing Integrated Health Homes as part of delivery system reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	3
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

22. To what degree do you think Integrated Health Homes are important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**80.0%**	4
Important	20.0%	1
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments
The term has many meanings. We need many forms of delivery, including walk-in urgent care, home services, etc. This is not simply a physician center primary care practice with extenders.

I am not sure if this is the holy grail or just another passing "great idea for system change."

I think we must start defining the key components of an IHH NOW. I also think that initially, IHHs should be available to patients with chronic disease.

23. Do you endorse the idea of integrating behavioral health and physical health within OHP as part of delivery system reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

24. To what degree do you think the integration of behavioral health and physical health in OHP is important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**80.0%**	4
Important	20.0%	1
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments

I must have been asleep during any conversations about "integrating" behavioral helath and physical health. Clearly, coverage for behavioral health services is mandatory. Does that mean one must receive their behavioral health care at the same time as their medical health care? I don't think so. I would like to learn more about this "integration."

We absolutely must consolidate funding and accountability for whole person care.
The current silos fail individuals and society.

25. Do you endorse the idea of supporting local community collaboratives as part of delivery system reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

26. To what degree do you think local community collaboratives are important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**83.3%**	5
Important	16.7%	1
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments

This is likely the best idea on the table. Let's make communities accountable for population and individual health, in the broadest sense.

Support AND strengthen.

27. Do you endorse the idea of providing incentives for culturally-specific approaches to disease prevention and health promotion?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
Comment		0
answered question		**6**
skipped question		**1**

28. To what degree do you think providing incentives for culturally-specific approaches to disease prevention and health promotion are important for true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**50.0%**	3
Important	33.3%	2
Moderately important	16.7%	1
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
Comment		1
answered question		**6**
skipped question		**1**

Comments
Part of a community system.

29. Do you endorse the idea of establishing and funding community-based health initiatives focused on tobacco use and obesity?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

30. To what degree do you think establishing and funding community-based health initiatives focused on tobacco use and obesity are important for true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	16.7%	1
Important	33.3%	2
Moderately important	**50.0%**	3
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments
Need to focus on top five health conditions.

I don't support limiting their focus solely to tobacco use and obestity.

Very important for population. Only moderately important for system reform.
We have to reform the system even if we fail at public health.

31. Do you endorse the idea of creating Accountable Health Communities?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**100.0%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

32. To what degree do you think Accountable Care Communities are important for true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**66.7%**	4
Important	16.7%	1
Moderately important	16.7%	1
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments

I think community based strategies are key. Jonkopping Sweden shows what can be done in a community setting.

I don't know how important they will be when all is said and done BUT they may prove to be key.

33. Do you endorse the idea of establishing a uniform healthcare data collection program?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	6
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

34. To what degree do you think a uniform healthcare data collection program is important for true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		16.7%	1
Important		**50.0%**	3
Moderately important		33.3%	2
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments

Important, but we have to change the system with whatever data we have in hand.

35. Do you endorse the idea of monitoring the insured market at the community level?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	40.0%	2
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	**60.0%**	3
	Comment	2
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

36. To what degree do you think monitoring the insured market at the community level is important to true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	0.0%	0
Important	**100.0%**	3
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**3**
	skipped question	**4**

37. Do you endorse the idea of requiring local community review of healthcare systems' capital expenditure plans?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	16.7%	1
No	16.7%	1
Not sure	**66.7%**	4
	Comment	3
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

38. To what degree do you think local community review of healthcare systems' capital expenditure plans is important to true reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**66.7%**	2
Important	0.0%	0
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	33.3%	1
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**3**
	skipped question	**4**

Comments
Community strategies and accountability are very important. I'm not sure how review would work
Agree we need a review and approval process but it may need to be at a higher than local level for review.

39. Do you endorse the idea of requiring that insurers disclose the percentage increase in their contracted payment rates to providers?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**66.7%**	4
No	16.7%	1
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

40. To what degree do you think that insurers disclosure of the percentage increase in their contracted payment rates to providers is important to true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**80.0%**	4
Important	0.0%	0
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	20.0%	1
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments
Transparency is key. We should have one price, in time.
not sure
I think ALL transparency issues are very important.

41. Do you endorse the idea of increased collection, analysis and reporting of workforce data?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	6
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

42. To what degree do you think increased collection, analysis and reporting of workforce data is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**66.7%**	4
Important		33.3%	2
Moderately important		0.0%	0
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments
We can and must address workforce needs with the data we have.
More and better data would be helpful, but is not essential.

43. Do you endorse the idea of developing recommendations for healthcare workforce training programs?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

44. To what degree do you think developing recommendations for healthcare workforce training programs is important to true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**66.7%**	4
Important	33.3%	2
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments
We need to think about new kinds of workers and training, not just building more of the same.
A new and sufficient workforce is essential for the health of our population
Not just recommendations. There needs to be implementation of plans to meet future work force needs throughout the state.

45. Do you endorse the idea of developing recommendations for incentives to recruit and retain providers?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	1
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

46. To what degree do you think developing incentives to recruit and retain providers is important to true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**40.0%**	2
Important	**40.0%**	2
Moderately important	20.0%	1
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	1
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

47. Do you endorse the idea of designating a responsible entity for developing a statewide healthcare workforce strategy?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	6
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

48. To what degree do you think designating a responsible entity for developing a statewide healthcare workforce strategy is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**50.0%**	3
Important		**50.0%**	3
Moderately important		0.0%	0
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	2
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments
incentives may be more than just money.
We need to create financial incentives for primary care and rural providers.

Yes. This is primarily because we need to define new jobs, required training and credentialing, in addition to training docs and nurses as we now know them.

We need real accountability for this process, but it could potentially be done in a way other than a single entity.
Thank you for including these workforce questions.

49. Do you endorse the idea of establishing a purchasing cooperative for public purchasers?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**100.0%**	5
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**5**
		skipped question	**2**

50. To what degree do you think a purchasing cooperative for public purchasers is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**50.0%**	3
Important		33.3%	2
Moderately important		16.7%	1
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	1
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments

Absolutely key.
I am unsure how effective this will be in containing costs.

51. Do you endorse the idea of establishing common contract standards among state purchasers (e.g., quality metrics, integrated health homes, practice guidelines)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**83.3%**	5
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	16.7%	1
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

52. To what degree do you think common contract standards among state purchasers (e.g., quality metrics, integrated health homes, practice guidelines) are important to true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**80.0%**	4
Important	0.0%	0
Moderately important	20.0%	1
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments

Also, simplified benefit plans.

I just don't know.

53. Do you endorse the idea of using patient decision aids within state purchasing programs?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

54. To what degree do you think using patient decision aids within state purchasing programs is important to true reform?		
	Response Percent	**Response Count**
Very important	**66.7%**	4
Important	33.3%	2
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments
The system must be patient centered in many respects, including giving patients the information they need to care for themselves and to make responsible decisions.

This is a transparency issue to me.

55. Do you endorse the idea of requiring health plans that contract with the state to use the Oregon Prescription Drug Program (OPDP) unless there are greater savings elsewhere?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**66.7%**	4
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	33.3%	2
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

56. To what degree do you think requiring health plans that contract with the state to use the Oregon Prescription Drug Program (OPDP) is important to true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**75.0%**	3
Important	25.0%	1
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**4**
	skipped question	**3**

Comments

This seems like a no brainer. In fact, on a pure "equity" basis, why shouldn't drugs be available to everyone on the same price basis.

I think this needs more study. For example, would setting prices at OPDP levels or below accomplish the same thing?

57. Do you endorse the idea of requiring regulatory review and approval of insurers' administrative costs?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**66.7%**	4
No		16.7%	1
Not sure		16.7%	1
		Comment	4
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

58. To what degree do you think regulatory review and approval of insurers' administrative costs is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**50.0%**	3
Important		16.7%	1
Moderately important		16.7%	1
Of little importance		16.7%	1
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

Comments

I think we need to get to policy and administrative simplification, and thus reduction of transaction costs throughout healthcare. Regulation may add costs. I'm sceptical that regulation benefits consumers over time

At least for "basic" coverage policies.

I think this may be more appropriately handled in contract form.

Again, and capping the administrative costs

59. Do you endorse the idea of setting ceilings on provider prices?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	**100.0%**	5
	Comment	3
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

60. To what degree do you think setting ceilings on provider prices is important to true reform?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**40.0%**	2
Important	20.0%	1
Moderately important	**40.0%**	2
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**5**
	skipped question	**2**

Comments
We have to move this system to price transparency and global budget accountability.
Regulation of unit prices does not achieve that goal, but may be a tool along the way.

On the surface, this sounds like a very interesting proposal. I don't clearly understand what the adverse impacts or unintended consquences might be.

I think there might be great merit to setting ceilings on hospital and ASC rates fore the next biennium assuming access is expanded.

61. Do you endorse the creation of an Oregon Health Authority with broad powers and accountability for quality, cost and access in Oregon's health care system?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	**100.0%**	6
No	0.0%	0
Not sure	0.0%	0
	Comment	2
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

62. To what degree do you think an Oregon Health Authority with broad powers and accountability for quality, cost and access in Oregon's health care system is important to true reform?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very important	**83.3%**	5
Important	16.7%	1
Moderately important	0.0%	0
Of little importance	0.0%	0
Not at all important	0.0%	0
	Comment	0
	answered question	**6**
	skipped question	**1**

Comments

I think this is more or less the same as a purchasing collaborative, starting with state purchasing and expanding to other social groups.

"Broad powers" is the key. I think we need to minimize the "politicalization" of health care policy as much as possible. A benevolent ultimate authority would be better.

63. Do you endorse the idea of developing a health insurance exchange for the individual market?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**66.7%**	4
No		0.0%	0
Not sure		33.3%	2
		Comment	5
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

64. To what degree do you think a health insurance exchange for the individual market is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		25.0%	1
Important		**50.0%**	2
Moderately important		25.0%	1
Of little importance		0.0%	0
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	0
		answered question	**4**
		skipped question	**3**

Comments
In the future.
I think all insurance for the individual and small group market should be sold in simple policy forms, with a simple web-based application protocol, and managed for the common good. Ideally, with community rating, guaranteed issue, and a narrow payment band. Alternatively, we could and should build community care clinics and forget insurance altogether.
Depends on what the exchange actually does.
For everyone.
I think this is another area that requires further study.
I am not sure of the value that an exchange can bring outside of an individual mandate.

65. Do you endorse the idea of developing a publicly-owned health plan?		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		**50.0%**	3
No		16.7%	1
Not sure		33.3%	2
		Comment	3
		answered question	**6**
		skipped question	**1**

66. To what degree do you think a publicly-owned health plan is important to true reform?		Response Percent	Response Count
Very important		**50.0%**	1
Important		0.0%	0
Moderately important		0.0%	0
Of little importance		**50.0%**	1
Not at all important		0.0%	0
		Comment	2
		answered question	**2**
		skipped question	**5**

Comments
i don't know what this is.

This seems like an idea that is worth exploring. I don't think we really explored the realities of implementing this type of program.

Another area for further study in my opinion. I currently believe there is little value in doing so but am not prepared to take a stand based on my current knowledge of this issue.

not sure. Depends on how we control costs.

Not sure. Could be very important.

Oregon Health Fund Board Strategies and Initiatives

To have a truly healthy Oregon:

Answer Options	Rating Average
The cost of healthcare in Oregon should decrease, or increase at a rate of no more than CPI +2%.	5.80
Oregon communities should lead the nation in key population health benchmarks across all demographic groups.	6.00
We should provide every Oregonian with access to healthcare coverage by 2015.	6.33
Oregon communities should meet or exceed every major national healthcare quality benchmark.	6.33

Comment

We should settle for nothing less. Berwick says we could reduce US health care costs by 30%!

Need to provide coverage for all Oregonians before 2015.

These are good goals and it seems we should lead with them rather than discuss them at the end of any public presentation.

Noble goals, indeed. I would personally be ecstatic if we could achieve near-universal coverage, a 50% reduction in the rate of increases in health care costs, and being in the top 25% of states in terms of healty care quality and population health benchmarks by 2015. I am not personally stuck on "world class." In the top 25% sounds good to me.

Is there anything else?

We need to set a big vision and articulate a sense of urgency to all Oregonians.

OF COURSE THERE IS!!!!!!!!!!
A. There was no mention in this survey of a health insurance premium tax. I support a combination of a health insurance premium tax (including TSAs but not at a level that would jeapordize the entire expansion should an ERISA challenge arise) in addition to a hospital and ASC tax.
B. There was no mention of a beer and wine tax increase dedicated to public health issues. I support such an increase.
C. There was no mention of HIT. Once we receive the HIIAC report, I believe we must AT ONCE begin to investigate how the state can stimulate adoption of this technology.
D. There was no mention of reimbursement reform. I believe we must begin to reimburse effective chronic disease management NOW.
E. There was no specific mention of safety net clinics. I support strengthening these clinics to the extent possible.