



FINDINGS OF FACT STAFF REPORT

Date: August 27, 2004 OPRD Coastal Land Use Coordinator: Steve Williams

OPRD File Number: BA-585-04 County: Lincoln Applicant: Jim Johnson

Project Location: 5617 NW Jetty Avenue, Otis.
Lincoln County Assessor's Map #6S-11W-34DA, tax lot 5000.

Brief Project Description: The proposed project involves the construction of a riprap revetment, approximately 60 feet in length, and approximately 14 feet in height above beach level, with a slope of 2H to 1 V. The proposed riprap revetment will project approximately 15 feet onto the ocean shore, tapering inwards towards the bluff at the north and south ends.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULE STANDARDS AND RELEVANT FACTS

I. GENERAL STANDARDS, OAR 736-020-0010

Project Need – There shall be adequate justification for a project to occur on and alter the ocean shore area.

According to the permit application and the accompanying geologic report (Schlicker and Associates, March 2, 2004) the riprap revetment is necessary to control erosion and to prevent additional landsliding that may damage the home, which is currently as close as 19.5 feet east of the 56-foot high bluff

A finding of project need follows the review of all other applicable standards and is included in the findings summary at the end of this report.

Protection of Public Rights – Public ownership of or use easement rights on the ocean shore shall be adequately protected.

The proposed riprap will occupy an approximate 15-foot width of beach area along the base of the bluff, tapering in on both ends. Usually the beach at this site is quite wide, so public recreational uses should not be affected under normal conditions. The presence of the riprap will not affect public ownership or easement rights on the ocean shore.

Public Laws – The applicant shall comply with federal, state, and local laws and regulations affecting the project.

The Lincoln County Planning Division has certified that the project is in compliance with the Lincoln County Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Code. State of Oregon regulations are being addressed under the review of this permit. Federal regulations could potentially involve a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit, however a Corps permit is usually not required for this type of project. A condition of the permit will require that the applicant obtain any required permits from the Corps, if applicable.

Alterations and Project Modifications – There are no reasonable alternatives to the proposed activity or project modifications that would better protect the public rights, reduce or eliminate the detrimental affects on the ocean shore, or avoid long-term cost to the public.

The applicant investigated the alternative of moving the house to the east, however this alternative was discarded for several reasons: The cost for moving the house was estimated at over \$84,000.00 by a licensed contractor, which is over six times the cost of the riprap revetment. According to the geologic report (H.G. Schlicker and Associates, March 2, 2004) moving the house eastward as little as 11 feet would provide the house with an adequate setback for a period of at least 50 years. Based on the submitted site plan, the house could be moved as far inland as 20 feet, assuming that it could be located to the street property line. Any amount of relocation to the east over ½ foot would require a variance to the 20-foot front setback required by Lincoln County. The applicant has rejected any relocation option, however, based on the high cost of moving the house.

The geologic report rules out non-structural methods of shore protection, including vegetative stabilization, sand nourishment, and dynamic revetments, primarily based on the high energy wave environment along this section of coastline. Vegetative stabilization or sand alteration would not be sufficient to substantially slow or halt erosion, or to stabilize the bluff slope. Dynamic revetments are not recommended for the site due to the frequent exposure to wave attack. The geologic report recommends a riprap revetment as the initial step to controlling erosion. The riprap will not mitigate for erosion of the upper bluff, however. Erosion from wind, rain, and larger failures could impact the home, and additional measures such as an upper bluff retaining wall, or underpinning of the house could be required at some point in the future.

Considering these factors, the use of riprap shore protection constitutes the most reasonable option as the initial step for controlling erosion at this site.

Public Costs – There are no reasonable special measures which might reduce or eliminate significant public costs. Prior to submission of the application, the applicant shall consider alternatives such as nonstructural solutions, provision for ultimate removal responsibility for structures when no longer needed, reclamation of excavation pits, mitigation of project damages to public interests, or a time limit on project life to allow for changes in public interest.

Alternative shore protection methods other than riprap shore protection have been discussed above. These alternatives are not considered reasonable special measures, as they would fail to provide the needed long-term protection for the property. Based on the high cost of relocating the house, this option is also considered unreasonable.

Compliance with LCDC Goals – The proposed project shall be evaluated against the applicable criteria included within Statewide Planning Goals administered by the Department of Land Conservation and Development.

Lincoln County has certified that the project is in compliance with the Lincoln County Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Code, which are acknowledged by LCDC as meeting the Statewide Planning Goal requirements.

II. SCENIC STANDARDS, OAR 736-020-0015

Projects on the ocean shore shall be designed to minimize damage to the scenic attraction of the ocean shore area.

Natural Features – The project shall retain the scenic attraction of key natural features, for example, beaches, headlands cliffs, sea stacks, streams, tide pools, bedrock formations, fossil beds and ancient forest remains.

The natural features of the beach in the general vicinity will remain intact, and no significant landforms such as headlands, sea stacks, or streams will be affected. The riprap will only be placed to about 14 feet in height above beach level. The scenic quality of the bluff face above the riprap will remain unaltered under the current proposal

Shoreline Vegetation – The project shall retain or restore existing vegetation on the ocean shore when vital to scenic values.

Some native and introduced beach grass and other vegetation exist along portions of the lower bluff slope. This vegetation will be removed or covered up by the riprap revetment. The project includes covering the riprap with sand and planting vegetation, which will restore the existing vegetation that is lost during the construction process.

View Obstruction – The project shall avoid or minimize obstruction of existing views of the ocean and beaches from adjacent properties.

The riprap will not affect existing views from adjacent properties.

Compatibility with Surroundings – The project shall blend in with the existing shoreline scenery (type of construction, color, etc.).

The applicant has proposed covering the revetment with sand and planting vegetation, allowing it to blend in with the existing terrain and vegetation. If the riprap is washed clean of the sand and vegetation, then it will be more noticeable, however, the riprap will occupy only about one-fourth of the height of the bluff, leaving most of the natural bluff unaltered. Properties with riprap revetments are located within 75 feet to the north and approximately 1,400 feet to the south. The proposed riprap will be similar to the existing revetments within view from the subject site and will blend in reasonably well with the existing scenery.

III. RECREATION USE STANDARDS, OAR 736-020-0020

Recreation Use – The project shall not be a detriment to public recreation use opportunities within the ocean shore area except in those cases where it is determined necessary to protect sensitive biological resources such as state or federally listed species.

The riprap will occupy some beach area, but will not significantly affect public recreation use opportunities. During storm events or winter high tides, wave run-up may reach the riprap structure. During normal conditions, however, the existence of the riprap will not be a detriment to typical recreation uses.

Recreation Access – The project shall avoid blocking off or obstructing public access routes within the ocean shore area except in those cases where it is determined necessary to protect sensitive biological resources such as state or federally listed species.

The project will not extend out onto the ocean shore to cause an obstruction to public access along the shoreline during normal ocean conditions.

IV. SAFETY STANDARDS, OAR 736-020-0030

The project shall be designed to avoid or minimize safety hazards to the public and shoreline properties. The following safety standards shall be applied, where applicable, to each application for an ocean shore permit.

Structural Safety – The project shall not be a safety hazard to the public due to inadequate structural foundations, lack of bank stability, or the use of weak materials subject to rapid ocean damage.

The proposed design indicates that the riprap will be structurally safe and not an obstructive hazard. Rocks will be placed individually to form an interlocking structure, as is the standard practice for revetment design.

Obstructional Hazards – the project shall minimize obstructions to pedestrians or vehicles going onto or along the ocean shore area.

The beach at this site is typically quite wide, and the proposed riprap is not expected to obstruct pedestrians or vehicles during normal ocean conditions.

Neighboring Properties – The project shall be designed to avoid or minimize ocean erosion or safety problems for neighboring properties.

In order to minimize the chance of enhanced erosion or flank scour on adjoining properties, the riprap design includes a tapering of the riprap height and width at either end. If constructed, the property to the north will have a gap of approximately 75 feet between the existing riprap on that property and the proposed project. That property owner has already contacted OPRD regarding plans to construct additional riprap that would fill in the 75 foot gap and connect to the proposed project. As long as the adjoining properties are unprotected, however, erosion of the bluffs will likely continue until shoreline protection structures become necessary.

Property Protection – Beachfront property protection projects shall be designed to accomplish a reasonable degree of increased safety for the on-shore property to be protected.

The purpose of the revetment is to provide protection to the upland properties.

V. NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCE STANDARDS, OAR 736-020-0030

Projects on the ocean shore shall avoid or minimize damage to the following natural resources, habitat, or ocean shore conditions, and where applicable, shall not violate state standards:

Fish and wildlife resources including rare, threatened or endangered species and fish and wildlife habitats.

There are no reported fish and wildlife resources that will be impacted by the proposed project.

Estuarine values and navigation interests.

The project is not adjacent to an estuary, and does not affect navigable water on the ocean.

Historic, cultural and archeological sites.

Notice of the application was provided to the State Historic Preservation Office, and to the Confederated Tribes of Siletz and the Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde. There were no reports of historic, cultural, or archeological sites at this location.

Natural areas (vegetation or aquatic features).

There is no existing significant vegetation or aquatic features that will be impacted by the proposed riprap.

Air and water quality of the ocean shore area.

The project will take place above the ordinary high tide line, and will not cause foreign materials or pollutants to enter the water. Riprap placed at the site will be free of debris or foreign materials. The proposed project does not adversely affect water quality on the ocean shore. Air quality will not be affected, except for a negligible amount of exhaust from the use of heavy equipment during the construction period.

Areas of geologic interest, fossil beds, ancient forest remnants.

None of these features have been identified at the site.

When necessary to protect native plant communities or fish and wildlife habitat on the subject or adjacent properties, only native, non-invasive, plant species shall be used for revegetation.

The site is within a developed residential area, and there are no known protected native plant communities or fish and wildlife habitat on or adjacent to the subject property.

VI. PUBLIC COMMENT

Notice of the proposed project was posted at the site for 30 days in accordance with ORS 390.650. Individual notification and a copy of the application were mailed to government agencies and individuals on OPRD's ocean shore mailing list. OPRD received no comments in opposition to the request.

VII. Findings Summary

Project Need – The proposed riprap is necessary to provide protection from ocean-caused erosion. There is evidence of active erosion at the site, and the property owner has no other reasonable options to help reduce erosion of the bluff and provide long-term protection to the home. Relocating the house is not a reasonable alternative due to the high costs involved. Other types of less structural methods would not provide the protection necessary to control wave erosion at the toe of the slope, and the riprap project has been recommended by the project geologist. Need for the riprap is therefore justified.

Based on the above considerations, OPRD finds that there is adequate justification for the project to occur on and alter the ocean shore area.

The following checklist summarizes whether the application satisfies the general, scenic, recreation, safety and natural and cultural resource standards as defined in OAR 736-020-0010 through 736-020-0030:

| Standard | Yes | No | Standard | Yes | No |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Need | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Structural Safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Protection of Public Rights | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Obstructional Hazards | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Public Laws | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Neighboring Properties | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Alteration and Project Modifications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Property Protection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Public Costs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Fish and Wildlife Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Compliance with LCDC Goals | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Estuarine Values and Navigation Interests | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Natural Features | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Historic, Cultural and Archeological Sites | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Shoreline Vegetation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Natural Areas | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| View Obstruction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Air and Water Quality of the ocean shore | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Compatibility with Surroundings | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Areas of Geologic Interest | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Recreation Use | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Use of Native Plant Species when Necessary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Recreation Access | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

VIII. STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based on an analysis of the facts and in consideration of the standards evaluated under OAR-736-020-0005 through OAR 736-020-0030, I recommend the following action:

- Approval
- Approval with conditions
- Denial

Steve Williams,
Coastal Land Use Coordinator