



Oregon

State Board of Examiners for
Engineering & Land Surveying
670 Hawthorne Ave. SE, Suite 220
Salem, OR 97301
(503) 362-2666
Fax (503) 362-5454
E-mail: osbeels@osbeels.org

Minutes of Meeting
July 12, 2011

CALL TO ORDER

President Linscheid called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. in the conference room of the Oregon State Board of Examiners for Engineering and Land Surveying (OSBEELS) office at 670 Hawthorne Avenue, SE Suite 220, Salem, Oregon 97301.

Members Present:

Dan Linscheid
Steven Burger
Grant Davis
Ken Hoffine
Carl Tappert
Sue Newstetter
Amin Wahab
James Doane (excused absence)
John Seward (excused absence)

Others Present:

Mari Lopez, OSBEELS Executive Secretary
Jenn Gilbert, OSBEELS Executive Assistant
Allen McCartt, OSBEELS Investigator
JR Wilkinson, OSBEELS Investigator
Joanna Tucker-Davis, Assistant Attorney General

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to approve the agenda. The motion passed unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Wahab) to approve the minutes of the May 10, 2011 Board Meeting as presented. The motion unanimously.

PUBLIC INPUT

President Linscheid welcomed Mr. Burger to his first meeting and the members introduced themselves. There was no public input.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY'S REPORT

Committee Activities

Ms. Lopez reported that the Examinations and Qualifications (E&Q) Committee, External Relations Committee (ERC), Finance Committee, Law Enforcement Committee (LEC), Professional Practices Committee (PPC), Rules and Regulations (R&R) Committee, and the

Standards of Land Surveying Practices Committee each met during the interim. The Committee minutes were included in the packets.

Administrative Activities –

Oregon Specific/National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) Examinations

With the exception of the Forest Engineering examination Ms. Lopez reported that the FE, PE, FLS, PLS and the WRE examination scores were released. Staff is currently preparing for the October 2011 examination administration.

Additionally, the Board received an application for the Geotechnical Examination (GE). However, California is moving their state specific examinations to computer-based testing, with the GE examination slated to be first. After a brief discussion, the Board noted that the applicant, upon approval, would be able to sit for the GE examination as a computer-based test but notification would need to be made as to the location of the examination site. Staff was directed to communicate accordingly with the California Board.

2011 Western Zone Meeting

Ms. Lopez stated that Grant Davis, Dan Linscheid, Sue Newstetter, and Amin Wahab attended the Western Zone meeting in Spokane, Washington held in May 2011. The *draft* WZ meeting minutes were included for review. Mr. Davis briefly noted that the minutes were also posted to the NCEES Web site. There was no further discussion.

NCEES 90th Annual Meeting

Ms. Lopez reported that Dan Linscheid, Sue Newstetter, and Amin Wahab will serve as the delegates to attend the NCEES Annual Meeting on August 24-27, 2011 at The Westin Providence in Providence, RI. Dan Linscheid will serve as the Board's NCEES Funded Delegate (*The NCEES will pay the travel expenses of one voting delegate from each Member Board to attend the Annual Meeting*). Mr. Hoffine also expressed interest in attending and will communicate with staff upon checking his availability.

Reference Manual for Building Officials – Oregon Board of Architect Examiners (OBAE)

Ms. Lopez stated that she received an inquiry from Carol Moeller, Administrator for the Oregon Board of Architect Examiners (OBAE), whether OSBEELS desires to provide joint training to the building officials highlighting the revised Reference Manual for Building Officials. The training is scheduled for November 15-17, 2011. After a discussion, the Board would like to participate in a joint training. However, the members would like to know the location of the training before determining who will participate. Mr. Davis and Mr. Tappert offered to participate pending the location of the training. As a side note, Ms. Lopez mentioned that Ms. Moeller will be retiring effective September.

Oregon Board of Geologist Examiners (OSBGE)

Ms. Lopez briefly reported that she and JR Wilkinson met with OSBGE's new Administrator Christine Valentine on June 16, 2011 to discuss the Joint Compliance Committee (JCC) process, the flowchart, and action items from the August 17, 2010 meeting. The next meeting of the JCC is scheduled for September 22, 2011 here at the OSBEELS office.

Oregon Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Orientation Day

Ms. Lopez briefly commented that Registration Specialists, Matt Bryan and Jennifer O'Neill presented information on the pathway to registration on June 28, 2011 at the Salem Conference Center. There was no further discussion.

Water Resource Department (WRD)

Ms. Lopez reported that she and JR also met with Dwight French, Water Rights Services Division Administrator and Gerry Clark, WRD Certification Section staff on July 6, 2011 regarding future steps required by both agencies due to the passage of Senate Bill (SB) 126. Ideas were exchanged concerning continuing education requirements and the standards of practice, supervision and control, offering to perform services, and modifying documents of another. Mr. French also provided a copy of WRD's expenses related to the examination administration to assist in determining whether there is a need to increase the application fee as a result of the language in SB126, "*The board shall reimburse the department for department expenses related to the preparation, administration and scoring of the examination.*" This information will be referred to the Finance Committee for consideration with regard to fees.

Board Vacancies

Ms. Lopez again welcomed Steven Burger, P.E. to the Board. He was appointed by the Governor to succeed the position held by Ed Butts, P.E. Additionally, Ms. Lopez announced the re-appointment of Sue Newstetter for another four-year term (July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2015). The Governor's Office has yet to fill the other two vacant positions.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

President Linscheid briefly reported on his attendance at the Western Zone meeting. He also completed the Committee Assignments effective with the August Committee meeting schedule. There was no further discussion.

EXAMINATIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS COMMITTEE

On behalf of the E&Q Committee, Mr. Wahab reported that they met on June 10, 2011 to discuss the matters contained in the Committee minutes. Additional discussion was held regarding the following matter:

Exam Irregularity Report

Discussion was held regarding the notification received from NCEES concerning the examination scores of 4 examinees. NCEES applied five different statistical models to the April 2011 examinations to identify examinees who may have engaged in unauthorized behavior, including copying or collusion. The analysis began by examining the answer sheets for pairs of examinees seated in proximity to each other and continued when response patterns showed an unusual commonality of answer responses, particularly incorrect answers. The next steps will be to forward the matter to the LEC for further investigation. AAG Tucker-Davis commented that the investigation would need to provide enough evidence to sustain the burden of proof should any of the outcomes lead to a contested case hearing.

Registration

Comity Applications – Mr. Wahab directed the members’ attention to the list of 75 professional applicants for registration by comity. It was moved and seconded (Wahab/Tappert) to approve the list of 75 professional applicants as presented. The motion passed unanimously.

1st Registration Applications – Mr. Wahab directed the members’ attention to the 2 applicants seeking 1st registration. It was moved and seconded (Wahab/Tappert) to approve the 2 applicants as presented. The motion passed unanimously.

EXTERNAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE

On behalf of the ERC, Ms. Newstetter reported that they met on June 10, 2011, to discuss the matters as contained in the Committee minutes. Additional discussion was held regarding the following matters:

Symposium

It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to set the registration fee for the 2011 OSBEELS Symposium at \$80.00. The motion passed unanimously. It was further determined that there will be no late registration fee assessed. The registration fee includes lunch and registrants may earn up to 8 PDH units for their attendance.

Board Member Manual

A brief discussion was held regarding the Board Member Manual. AAG Tucker-Davis was requested to review the content to ensure legal sufficiency and complete the portions related to the Immunity from Lawsuits. Comments should be directed to Ms. Lopez.

Logo Project

The Board members reviewed the following taglines for promotional use: 1) “Safeguarding life, health, and property.” 2) “Certify the foundation for your future.” After a brief discussion, it was moved and seconded (Davis/Newstetter) to approve both logos for future use. The motion passed unanimously.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Mr. Tappert reported that the Finance Committee met on June 10, 2011, to discuss the matters as contained in the Committee minutes. Additional discussion was held regarding the following matter:

Procurement Policy

A discussion was held related to the needed upgrades for the database system. As a semi-independent agency and under ORS 182.460, OSBEELS is exempt, with some exceptions from the provisions of ORS chapters 240, 276, 279A, 279B, 279C, 282, 283, 291, 292 and 293. Since a major update is needed to the database system, AAG Tucker-Davis was requested to provide advice for consideration during the August meeting on the best course of action to take in terms of contracting for these services.

Finance Reports

Members reviewed the Statement of Net Assets (Balance Sheet) and the Statement of Activities (Profit and Loss Statement for the period of July 1, 2009 through May 31, 2011. This time period reflects the latest data received in bank statements for the 2009 – 2011 biennium.

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE

Mr. Tappert reported that the LEC met on June 9, 2011, to discuss the following matters:

Informal Conferences

2636 – Walter J. Holle / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported that the Committee met by teleconference with respondent Walter J. Holle, PE, to discuss a Notice of Intent to Suspend Registration and Assess a \$4,000 Civil Penalty (NOI) for a lack of cooperation during an audit of his continuing professional development (CPD) activities in violation of ORS 672.200(4), OAR 820-010-0635(1),(5), OAR 820-015-0026, OAR 820-020-0015(7), and OAR 820-020-0025(1). Mr. Holle submitted a renewal form with the words “inactive status” printed on the signature line, which was not accepted, so Mr. Holle submitted a signed renewal form certifying he had completed the required professional development hours (PDH) units. However, when Mr. Holle was randomly chosen to participate in an audit of his CPD records, he believed his signed renewal form certified he *would* comply with the CPD rules in the current renewal period. This was incorrect.

Mr. Tappert noted that during the informal conference, the Committee found that Mr. Holle is not a resident of Oregon and has not practiced professional engineering in Oregon. In addition, Mr. Holle had submitted a retirement form with the intention to not return to active status. As a result, the Committee accepted his retirement without the opportunity for reinstatement and the Committee would waive the civil penalty and suspension. It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to approve the settlement agreement with Mr. Holle. The motion passed unanimously.

2647 – Philip L. Wurst / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported that the Committee met in an informal conference with respondent Philip Wurst, PE, to discuss a Notice of Intent to Assess a \$1,000 Civil Penalty for violation of ORS 672.200(4) and OAR 820-020-0015(8). When audited, Mr. Wurst failed to respond to any audit requests. When Mr. Wurst responded to the allegations for investigators, he submitted a CPD Organizational Form listing his claimed PDH units, but provided no supporting documentation. Eventually, Mr. Wurst was able to reconstruct records to show compliance with CPD requirements. However, he provided no acknowledgment for his lack of responding to the audit.

Mr. Tappert stated that Mr. Wurst asked the Committee if he was to pay a \$1,000 without explaining why he failed to respond to the audit. The Committee learned that Mr. Wurst had experienced personal tragedies that consumed his time. The Committee entered into a settlement agreement with Mr. Wurst wherein he would be assessed a \$500 civil penalty for failing to comply with CPD requirements during the audit. It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to approve the settlement agreement with Mr. Wurst. The motion passed unanimously.

2635 – Rimvydas I. Gurcinas / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported that the Committee met by teleconference with respondent Rimvydas Gurcinas, PE, to discuss a Notice of Intent for failing to cooperate with the Board and to maintain his CPD records in violation of ORS 672.200(4), OAR 820-010-0635(1),(5), OAR 820-015-0026(1), and OAR 820-020-0015(7),(8). When Mr. Gurcinas failed to respond to audit requests, Board Investigator Allen McCartt contacted Mr. Gurcinas who informed him that all of his records were destroyed due to heavy rain that collapsed the roof of his office.

Mr. Tappert informed the Board that Mr. Gurcinas experienced a total loss of records due to flooding and subsequent mold. As a result, Mr. Gurcinas has taken measures to protect both hard and electronic records. Upon consideration, the Committee offered a settlement agreement wherein the Committee would assess Mr. Gurcinas a \$500 civil penalty for failing to cooperate and would waive the suspension. It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to approve the settlement agreement with Mr. Gurcinas. The motion passed unanimously.

2641 – Leni J. Seymon / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported that the Committee met by teleconference with respondent Leni J. Seymon, PE, to discuss a Notice of Intent to Suspend Registration and Assess a \$3,000 Civil Penalty (NOI) for violations of ORS 672.200(4), OAR 820-010-0635(1),(5), OAR 820-015-0026, OAR 820-020-0015(7), and OAR 820-020-0025(1). Ms. Seymon placed her registration into exempt status in June 2006. In May 2008, she signed her renewal form certifying she had completed the PDH units that are required to return her registration to active status. When Seymon was randomly requested to participate in the CPD audit, she wrote that she was negligent in not recording her CPD efforts.

Mr. Tappert observed that Ms. Seymon insisted her failure was due to a lack of time and diligence. In addition, Ms. Seymon is a California resident where CPD's are not required. The Committee agreed to enter into a settlement agreement wherein the Committee assessed Ms. Seymon a \$500 civil penalty for failure to keep records. The suspension was waived. It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Newstetter) to approve the settlement agreement with Ms. Seymon. The motion passed unanimously.

Committee Meeting:

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee authorized the issuing of Notices of Intent to the following listed registrants for failing, in one manner or another, to comply with CPD requirements:

2632 – Kelly S. Dame	2645 – Steven A. Ward
2638 – Gail N. Luthy	2648 – Koichi Yamazaki
2657 – Charles M. Redfield	2674 – Richard A. Kellner
2660 – Gary L. Bisonett	2675 – Frank Lee
2663 – Larry R. Scoggins	2679 – Paul C. Anderson
2665 – Marc L. Able	2680 – Paul H. Durand
2666 – William F. Boehm	2681 – Craig W. Forbes
2667 – John M. Bosch	2682 – Paul E. Green
2668 – Jeffery M. Bruce	2683 – Fredrick M. Hotes
2669 – Stephen F. Burke	2685 – Vuppuluri D. Murty
2671 – Britton W. Gentry	2686 – Vince A. Roberts

2592 – David Place / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed that respondent David Place, PE (retired), claimed in a deposition that he was a professional engineer. Once his retired status was checked by a Board investigator, Mr. Place's resumé and a facsimile cover letter were found to show he also used the title "PE" without the retired designation. A retired registrant is not authorized to practice engineering as defined in ORS 672.005(1), including use of the PE title and providing professional testimony. The Committee determined to issue Mr. Place a Notice of Intent to Suspend Registration and to Assess a \$4,000 Civil Penalty for violations of ORS 672.007(1)(a), ORS 672.045(2), OAR 820-020-0025(1), and OAR 820-010-0720.

2629 – Stephen A. Ward / Jeffery L. Haag

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed that complainant Jeffery Haag alleged that respondent Stephen A. Ward, PE and Principle of Westech Engineering, violated the rules of professional conduct when Mr. Ward, acting as the City Engineer for Junction City, Oregon, recommended that the City Council forego the public bid process and approve a work change order that awarded additional work to the contractor working on a prison construction project. Mr. Haag also alleged that Mr. Ward had a conflict of interest because his son is employed by the contractor who was awarded the contract.

The investigation found the City requested that Mr. Ward prepare the first bid package regarding construction of an Oregon Department of Corrections (DOC) prison and a Department of Human Services (DHS) hospital. The City reviewed several bids and selected Emery & Sons to construct infrastructure improvements in four phases. Later, Mr. Ward was tasked to prepare a second bid package for the City Council as a change order to the original bid package because the DOC infrastructure would extend services to the DHS hospital. Compensation for the additional work would be incorporated into the City's existing contract with Emery & Sons.

The Committee learned that the City Council awarded Emery the construction work and approved the change order. Mr. Ward was not involved in making decisions. All recommendations were reviewed by City staffs before going to the City Council for discussion and approval. Furthermore, Junction City's former City Administrator confirmed Mr. Ward had disclosed his son's employment with Emery long before the contract was awarded. A motion to close the case as allegations unfounded was moved and seconded (Tappert/Newstetter). The motion passed unanimously.

2661 – Roger M. Natsuhara / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed that respondent Roger M. Natsuhara, PE, signed a renewal form certifying he had completed the required PDH units. When Mr. Natsuhara was randomly requested to participate in an audit of documentation to support the PDH units claimed as a condition of his renewal period, he responded stating he no longer needed his Oregon registration and no longer had the records. He requested that the Board "discontinue" his registration. During the investigation, he informed Board Investigator McCartt that when he retired from the Navy all of his paperwork was lost while in transition and during his move. However, the Committee determined that further investigation was warranted because Mr.

Natsuhara was in the military at the time of the audit. His military status at the time of the audit needed to be clarified before reaching an outcome of the investigation.

2676 – Adrian McJunkin / OSBEELS

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed that respondent Adrian McJunkin, PE, certified he had completed the required PDH units as a condition of renewal. Mr. McJunkin subsequently was randomly requested to participate in an audit of documentation to support the claimed PDH units. However, Mr. McJunkin failed to respond to any audit requests, so his file was transferred to the Regulation Department for review. The investigation found letters sent to Mr. McJunkin were returned. After additional efforts to locate Mr. McJunkin succeeded, he came into the Board office to deliver his PDH records and to explain that he has had continual problems with mail delivery. He confirmed that the address the Board has on file was correct, but the problems with receiving mail had reached a point where it caused him business interruptions. He had secured a new post office box and changed his mailing address with OSBEELS. Upon review of his documentation, which was found to be in order, the Committee recommended the Board close the case as allegations unfounded. A motion to close the case as allegations unfounded was moved and seconded (Tappert/Newstetter). The motion passed unanimously.

New Business:

Preliminary Evaluation: Ezell complaint

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed a preliminary evaluation of allegations submitted by K. Robert Ezell, PLS, regarding the City of Ashland. Mr. Ezell alleged that the City “likely” destroyed property corners during reconstruction of Tolman Creek Road and construction of the Old Mill Road area. The corners had not been replaced and he asserted the City must restore the corners and comply with ORS 209.150 or ORS 209.155. However, the Committee found that the allegations were made against the City and not against an individual. In addition, the Committee observed that the statutes do not describe the process to require monument replacement. The Board does not have the authority to compel monument replacement and as a result encouraged Mr. Ezell to contact the County Surveyor to discuss the matter.

Preliminary Evaluation: Martinez complaint

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed a preliminary evaluation of allegations submitted by Ruben Martinez regarding G. Hardy Li, PE. Mr. Martinez responded to a Craigslist advertisement for a CAD Drafting Technician. During the interview, Mr. Li apparently wanted to use Mr. Martinez for surveying activities, including boundary surveys. However, Mr. Martinez informed him that he would need to work under the supervision of an Oregon registered land surveyor because of his Oregon revocation, but he was still registered in Washington and could complete boundary surveys in that state. Mr. Li hired him and when Mr. Martinez was working on a map of survey he found “several discrepancies,” which he brought to Mr. Li’s attention. Mr. Martinez was let go. Afterwards, Mr. Martinez alleged that Mr. Li will not adhere to Oregon law and has violated the law in the past. The Committee found that Mr. Martinez did not submit any evidence regarding the allegations and determined to not open a case.

Preliminary Evaluation: Spreadbury complaint

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed a preliminary evaluation of allegations submitted

by Michael Spreadbury regarding Sean Connolly, PE, of Big Sky Acoustics, LLC (BSA). Mr. Spreadbury alleged that sound emission from the National Institute of Health-Rocky Mountain Laboratory (NIH-RML) in Hamilton, MT, were disturbing area residents. He wrote that Mr. Connolly prepared a report for the NIH-RML documenting current ambient noise level measurements along the Rocky Mountain Laboratory property lines and in the neighborhoods north and south of campus. He also noted Mr. Connolly had prepared the original noise study for the facility that set the regulatory standard for the facility. Mr. Spreadbury alleged that Mr. Connolly used an A-weighting on Low Frequency Noise (LFN) that diminished data collection when the results of the ambient noise study were compared to the regulatory thresholds. As a result, the facility was shown in compliance when Mr. Spreadbury believed it was not. The Committee determined, however, that the facility location and events were outside the jurisdiction of OSBEELS. Mr. Tappert noted that the Committee encouraged Mr. Spreadbury to contact the Montana Board for more information.

Preliminary Evaluation: LaForest complaint

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee discussed a preliminary evaluation of allegations submitted by Dale La Forest regarding Neil “Tobin” Cooley, PE. Mr. La Forest alleged that Mr. Cooley violated the Rules of Professional Conduct for not being truthful and for not including pertinent information in a report he submitted to OSBEELS. OSBEELS retained Mr. Cooley as a professional reviewer to analyze reports that Mr. La Forest prepared regarding the La Pine Biomass Power Project. Mr. Cooley concluded his report with the opinion that Mr. La Forest had engaged in the unlicensed practice of acoustical engineering. When the Committee reviewed the report on April 14, 2011, the Committee directed that a law enforcement case be opened against La Forest. However, Mr. La Forest alleged that Mr. Cooley omitted vital information that, if included, would undermine the claims against him. Mr. Tappert reminded the Board that the Committee reviewed the report and made the decision to open the case against Mr. La Forest. The Committee determined to not open a law enforcement case against Mr. Cooley.

Unfinished Business:

2558 – Dunham: Case summary

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee reviewed case #2558 that was closed pending action by another jurisdiction. William F. Galli, PE, PLS, alleged that Ralph E. Dunham, PE, PLS, was practicing engineering outside his area of competence when Mr. Dunham sealed and signed three drawings for retention designs for a failing slope in North Bend, Oregon. When the slope began to slide down onto the neighboring property a series of lawsuits were initiated. The Committee discussed the allegations on April 8, 2010, and determined to postpone review until the pending civil court case had concluded. The Committee learned that the civil case was settled and the court determined that Mr. Dunham and his employer were not responsible for the damage. In addition, the Committee learned that Cheryl Barnes had also filed a complaint because her property is adjacent to the property with slope failure. The Committee found that the court in the Barnes case ruled for Mr. Dunham. A motion to close the case as allegations unfounded was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis). The motion passed unanimously.

Winegar Complaint: Watson CWRE activities

Mr. Tappert reported the Committee reviewed a confidential memorandum by AAG Tucker-Davis regarding questions that the Committee had about the certified water right examiner

(CWRE) activities of Jack Watson, PLS. Ron Winegar was a CWRE client of Mr. Watson who refused to pay his bill once it was revealed that Mr. Watson was not registered as a CWRE. Mr. Watson sued Mr. Winegar and won a portion of his fees. Mr. Tappert informed the Board that the Committee met in executive session for the purpose of considering a memorandum from AAG Tucker-Davis that was exempt by law from inspection in accordance with the provisions of ORS Chapter 183 and ORS 192.660(2)(f) and (2)(h). When the Committee reconvened in public session, Mr. Tappert observed that Carl Stout, PLS, CWRE, was responsible for CWRE applications that Mr. Watson supported. Since a business relationship existed between the two parties, it appeared that Mr. Watson was not hiding that Mr. Stout was responsible for the CWRE work. More important, he added, the Board does not have jurisdiction over OAR 690-014-0050(3), which requires “all documents, drawings, or narrative required by these rules shall be prepared by or under the direct supervision of a CWRE.” Regardless, the Committee determined that the allegations warranted a letter of concern because questions of professional conduct were raised and in order to avoid future problems Mr. Watson should inform clients about who is performing CWRE work.

Mr. Tappert reported that the Committee discussed the Cases Subject to Collections, the Cases Subject to Monitoring, and the Case Status Report. There was no further discussion.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES COMMITTEE

Ms. Newstetter reported that the PPC met on June 10, 2011, to discuss the matters contained in the Committee minutes. There was no further discussion.

Mr. Linscheid reported that the Standards of Land Surveying Practices Committee met on June 9, 2011 to discuss the matters contained in the Committee minutes. There was no further discussion.

RULES AND REGULATIONS COMMITTEE

Mr. Seward reported that the R&R Committee met on June 10, 2011, to discuss the matters contained in the Committee minutes. Additional discussion was held regarding the following matters:

Requirements Related to the Fundamentals Examinations

It was moved and seconded (Tappert/Davis) to approve the proposed draft language of ORS 672.090, 672.105, and 672.118. The motion passed unanimously. Staff was directed to provide Mr. Foster with the proposed draft language of ORS 672.090, 672.105, and 672.118. These are the statutes related to senior status students and apply to both the FE and FLS examinations.

SB126

Ms. Lopez shared with the members the result of the meeting held with representatives of the Water Resource Department (WRD). For example, continuing education; the WRD agreed that a certified water right examiner (CWRE) should complete professional development hour (PDH) units as a condition of renewal. However, the issue at hand relates to the Registered Geologists authorized to hold a CWRE since they are not currently required to complete PDH units. Additionally, concerns related to professional conduct were discussed. As a result, AAG Tucker-Davis will provide advice related to the scope of authority for the Board to promulgate

rules with the passage of SB126, specifically as it relates to geologists for discussion during the August meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The members were able to view and discuss the various logos submitted by Crendo. After discussion, a consensus was made and staff was directed to contact Crendo. The final logo determined incorporated the graphic design of option #4 with the font style offered in option #7.

ADJOURN

The meeting was adjourned at 12:03 p.m.

NEXT MEETINGS

Next Board Meeting:

September 13, 2011

Next Committee Meetings:

LAW ENFORCEMENT:

Thursday, August 11th at 8:00 a.m.

Standards of Land Surveying Practices:

Friday, August 12th at 8:00 a.m.

RULES & REGULATIONS:

Friday, August 12th at 9:00 a.m.

EXAMINATIONS & QUALIFICATIONS:

Friday, August 12th at 10:00 a.m.

FINANCE:

Friday, August 12th at 11:00 a.m.

EXTERNAL RELATIONS:

Friday, August 12th at 11:30 a.m.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES:

Friday, August 12th at 1:00 p.m.