



Oregon

**State Board of Examiners for
Engineering & Land Surveying**

670 Hawthorne Ave. SE, Suite 220

Salem, OR 97301

(503) 362-2666

Fax (503) 362-5454

E-mail: osbeels@osbeels.org

EXAMINATIONS & QUALIFICATIONS COMMITTEE

Minutes of Meeting

February 15, 2008

The teleconference meeting was called to order at 9:05 a.m. in the conference room of the OSBEELS office at 670 Hawthorne Avenue SE, Suite 220, Salem, OR 97301.

Members present were:

Mari Kramer, Chair

Ron Stuntzner

Carl Tappert

Ed Butts (excused absence)

Staff present was:

Jenn Gilbert

Lauren Olson

Others present were:

Joanna Tucker-Davis, Assistant Attorney General (AAG)

New Business

Electrical and Computer Engineering—Memo Dated February 12, 2008

Included in the Committee packet, was a memo regarding the NCEES name change of the “Electrical” Engineering examination to read “Electrical and Computer” Engineering. The Committee agreed to forward the name change to the Rules and Regulations Committee to revise the Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 820-010-0450. In addition, the Committee was informed that the verbiage on wall certificates will also be revised.

David McKinnon—Letter Dated January 18, 2008

The Committee reviewed a letter from David McKinnon. Mr. McKinnon requested that his re-application be reviewed for the April 2008 fundamentals of engineering examination administration, even though his re-application did not meet the postmark deadline. The Committee determined that because Mr. McKinnon was admitted to the October 2007 examination based upon OAR 820-010-0225(2), and his transcript was not received in the Board office until January 14, 2008, Mr. McKinnon’s re-application will not be considered for the April 2008 administration. Staff will respond to Mr. McKinnon accordingly.

Kipley Smith—Letter Dated February 5, 2008

The Committee reviewed a letter from Kipley Smith. Mr. Smith requested admittance to the April 2008 fundamentals of land surveying examination administration based upon his 6 years and 6 months of experience. Mr. Smith's letter stated that according to his research, the law only required 4 years of experience when he began his surveying career. The Committee determined that Mr. Smith must qualify for the fundamentals of land surveying examination based upon the current rule at the time of application (OAR 820-010-0228(6)). Staff will respond to Mr. Smith accordingly.

Corianne Hart—Letter Dated February 11, 2008

The Committee reviewed documentation submitted by Corianne Hart. Ms. Hart applied for the fundamentals of engineering examination based upon OAR 820-010-0225(3)(d). After the initial review of her application and official transcripts, she was informed that the transcripts did not show completion of the 6 of 9 courses. After review of the additional documentation submitted by Ms. Hart, the Committee determined that she meets the qualifications to take the April 2008 examination. Staff will respond to Ms. Hart accordingly.

Seung Un Lee

AAG Joanna Tucker-Davis updated the Committee regarding an exam irregularity report from the NCEES. A teleconference was held earlier in the morning between AAG Tucker-Davis, Ms. Olson, Ms. Gilbert, Seung Un Lee, Sunny his wife, and a Korean interpreter through AT&T, regarding his request to reconsider his October 2007 results. It was revealed during the investigation that Seung Un Lee was a male. He also stated that he was not aware of his wife reporting to be Mr. Lee to assist in the matter. AAG Tucker-Davis reported that Mr. Lee stated he did hear and understand the announcement to end the morning portion of the exam. After discussion, the Committee determined that the recommendation will remain to consider his examination results invalid.

Review of Applications

Exam Applications

The Committee reviewed applications for the individuals listed below. Although course-by-course evaluations were received, the documentation stated the degrees awarded were not equivalent to degrees recognized by the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET). It was determined that an additional four years of qualifying work experience would be required to gain admission to the fundamentals of engineering examination pursuant to OAR 820-010-0227(4).

Ul Lok Bae
Tae Ho Jang

Kwang Gill Jin
Chul Mu Kim

Hyo Kyoung Lee
Hyun Keun Park

The Committee reviewed applications for the individuals listed below. Although course-by-course evaluations were received for bachelors degree's obtained, the documentation stated the degrees awarded were not equivalent to degrees recognized by the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET). In addition, the applicants also submitted course-by-course evaluations for graduate degrees obtained that did not comply with OAR 820-010-0225(3)(d). It was determined that an additional two years of qualifying work experience would

be required to gain admission to the fundamentals of engineering examination pursuant to OAR 820-010-0227(4).

Yong Ho Ahn
Seok Joo Hong

Jong Su Jeon
Hyung Sung Kim

Soo Dong Kwak
Heon Ok Park

The Committee reviewed applications for the individuals listed below. It was determined that the documentation submitted is sufficient for entrance into the professional engineering examination.

Mark Ezell
Dae Hee Jung
Aliaksei Kavaleuski

Deuk Soo Kim
Dinesh Kumar
Kyeong Hoon Lee

Jacob Reese
John Wolf
Jong Kun You

The Committee reviewed applications for the individuals listed below. It was determined that the documentation submitted is sufficient for entrance into the fundamentals of engineering examination.

Ki Nam Kim

Kent McKelvie

Jason Motley

The Committee reviewed an application for Michael Page. It was determined that the documentation submitted is sufficient for entrance into the fundamentals of land surveying examination.

Re-Applications

The Committee reviewed re-applications from those who did not achieve a passing grade in their first and second written examinations. As stated in OAR 820-010-0465, these applicants were required to submit valid evidence of further preparation. Evidence reviewed consists of additional experience gained, special training, courses taken and study materials reviewed. Of the 33 reapplications reviewed, 30 were admitted to the April 2008 examination, and 3 individuals will be required to elaborate on the information submitted. Staff will respond to those individuals accordingly.

Continuing Professional Development (CPD) Audit

The Committee reviewed CPD records from 17 individuals who responded to the second notice regarding the December 2007 audit. Of the 17 CPD records reviewed, 4 were found insufficient and will be requested to submit additional information. Staff will respond accordingly.

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 a.m.