



State of Oregon
Kate Brown, Governor

Oregon State Board of Nursing
Ruby Jason, MSN, RN, NEA-BC
Executive Director

17938 SW Upper Boones Ferry Road
Portland, OR 97224-7012
Telephone: (971) 673-0685
Fax: (971) 673-0684
E-Mail: oregon.bn.info@state.or.us
Website: www.oregon.gov/OSBN

Meeting Topic: Nursing Education Advisory Group
Meeting Date: February 4, 2016
Meeting Time: 9:00 am to 12:00 pm

Location: Oregon State Board of Nursing
Facilitator: Joy Ingwerson
Recorded: Yes (Adobe Connect)

NAME

Debra Buck
Sheryl Caddy
Bonnie Cox
Michele Decker
Beverly Epeneter
Debbie Henry
Joy Ingwerson
Troy Larkin
Marilyn McGuire
Joanne Noone
Ginger Simmons
Nancy Stephen
Gerry Sullivan
Dawn Vollers
Diane Waldo

AFFILIATION

Oregon State Board of Nursing
Linn-Benton Community College
OHSU Hospital & StudentMax Connections
Central Oregon Community College
Oregon State Board of Nursing
Legacy Health & StudentMax Connections
Oregon State Board of Nursing
Providence – Oregon Region
Portland Community College
OHSU SON – Ashland
Oregon State Board of Nursing
Oregon State Hospital
Chemeketa Community College
St. Charles Health System
Oregon Assoc. of Hospitals & Health Systems

Excused: Mallie Kozy, Cynthia Stegner
Other Attendees: Ricky Faircloth, Job Corps Student

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION/FOLLOW-UP
Approval of December Minutes and Announcements	<p>The minutes of the January 7, 2016 NEAG meeting were reviewed.</p> <p>J Ingwerson announced the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • She is presenting at the Oregon Student Nurses Association convention on February 20th at Portland Community College. They were very interested in learning about the NEAG's work on clinical placements. • Nine programs will come before the Board in February as they did not meet the two-year NCLEX® pass rate standards. For the first time in at least 10 years, Oregon fell out of the top ten in the past two quarters. Per Division 31, the rules allow for nursing student graduates to take 	<p>The January 7, 2016 meeting minutes were approved as presented.</p> <p>J Ingwerson to work with three programs to pilot a second NCLEX® pass rate metric by compiling historical data.</p>

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION/FOLLOW-UP
	<p>and retake the NCLEX® every 46th day for up to three years from their graduation date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Group discussed having a second metric: a cohort pass rate, or a first, second and third attempt pass rate within a certain period of time. Some states allow only a limited number of attempts (such as three total attempts) after which re-enrolling in a nursing education program is required. → Joy agreed to work with a few of the programs to do a pilot of a second metric and retrospectively look back at the data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) OHSU-Ashland as a small group; 2) Linfield as a large group; and 3) One other program (TBA) that provided an associate's degree with one graduation per year. 	
<p>Updates on Responses to Surveys</p>	<p>J Ingwerson updated the group on the status of the survey responses, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 49 Survey Monkeys and 43 spreadsheets have been submitted from the facilities. • The returned facility surveys included about half of the total hospitals. • Three or four facilities submitted the Survey Monkey or the spreadsheet, but not both. • All of the nursing education program surveys were submitted with the exception of one and this was expected due to personnel changes. • Kelly Ilic at the Oregon Center for Nursing (OCN) contacted OSBN about the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ OCN has moved all of the submitted program spreadsheet data into the massive data system. ○ OCN created a listing of errors in data submission (e.g. missing fields). Lack of data clarity is seen with submission of range figures (e.g. for hours in a week) rather than one number. Multiple instances of data fields being left blank have been found. ○ OCN has detailed their assumptions in terms of data analysis on a spreadsheet. <p>It was hoped that the response from facilities, especially acute care facilities, would be greater. Many smaller long-term care facilities did submit surveys, but not the larger corporations. Facility survey follow-ups were organized as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D Waldo agreed to follow-up with the hospitals. • J Ingwerson agreed to follow-up with 	<p>J Ingwerson to continue working with OCN on submitted surveys and data clarifications.</p> <p>D Waldo, J Ingwerson and D Buck to follow-up with facility surveys.</p>

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION/FOLLOW-UP
	<p>Marquis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D Buck agreed to follow-up with Prestige, Avamere, Impress, and Pinnacle. 	
<p>Inquiries on Membership on NEAG</p>	<p>J Ingwerson reviewed the status of the NEAG membership and explained the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In spite of planning otherwise, all of the current NEAG member terms, save one, are scheduled to end in August of 2018. • To prevent a mass exodus, she asked the group to let her know if anyone was interested in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Ending their NEAG membership early, either in August of 2016 or August 2017, or ○ Renewing their membership in 2018. • Three nurse educators have expressed interest in joining the group. When appointing members, the Board considers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ State-wide representation, and ○ A balance of nurse educators and practicing nurses. 	<p>J Ingwerson to send email to group querying interest in ending terms early.</p>
<p>Assessment Approaches Interpretative Statement</p>	<p>The group reviewed and discussed the draft of the high stakes testing interpretive statement. The discussion included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paraphrasing high stakes testing versus defining it. • Shortening the background information with additional info provided either via a link or in the Q & A. • Addressing the distinction between a vendor created exam and a faculty created exam in the Q & A. • Adding questions to the Q & A, including whether the program provided a remediation opportunity for students to address their areas of weakness. • Adding more examples to the Q & A. <p>Suggestions to re-order segments of the document may not be possible depending on the format the OSBN staff are required to use for documents of this type.</p>	<p>J Ingwerson to update interpretive statement draft and check the required formatting.</p>
<p>Reports from Members and/or Pertinent Committees</p>	<p>The group shared various meetings coming up in February with updates to be provided at the next NEAG meeting in March.</p> <p>Debra Buck announced that at the February Board meeting, she would be presenting a CNA & CMA Advisory Group Charter to the Board for appointment of members. The group was modeled</p>	<p>Group to provide updates on upcoming February meetings in March.</p>

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION/FOLLOW-UP
	<p>after the NEAG.</p> <p>Debra stated that more workforce dollars are going to for-profit NA programs than seen previously. Placements are challenging in several communities with multiple programs seeking placements in the same facilities for NA and MA students. OHSU is starting to take placements for an NA2 program.</p> <p>The ONLC work group focusing on faculty shortage meets February 11.</p>	
Next Meeting	The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, March 3, 2016 at the Oregon State Board of Nursing.	Agenda and February minutes to be sent to group prior to the March meeting.

Minutes completed by Ginger Simmons, Policy Analyst Administrative Assistant, and Joy Ingwerson, Nursing Education & Assessment Policy Analyst.