



Juvenile Justice Information System

Data & Evaluation Reports Dispositions (2008)

JJIS Steering Committee
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

JJIS – A Shared Information System

Oregon Youth Authority
Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





Juvenile Justice Information System Vision & Goals

VISION

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development of a statewide juvenile justice information system that:

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies;
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the juvenile justice process;
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime; and
- Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

GOALS To accomplish the vision, JJIS will strive to accomplish the following goals.

Vision Statement	Goals in Support of Vision Statement
Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports a partnership of the OYA, 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; • Be the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and • Support statewide reporting.
Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders; • Support individual case plans; and • Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined.
Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.	JJIS will provide data and information to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop a knowledge base about outcomes relevant to functions and programs of the juvenile justice system; • Evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime.
Recognizes and supports the common needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.	JJIS will: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be developed in a cost-efficient and incremental manner; • Be operated in an efficient manner; • Eliminate duplicate data entry within the JJIS partnership agencies; • Develop common data elements while maintaining integrity of information and adhering to existing state justice information system standards; • Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into the system; • Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; • Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; • Be designed using the cooperative input of the JJIS partnership; and • Be guided by the decisions and policies of the JJIS Steering Committee.

JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

Jean Straight, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Business Services

Dave Koch, Assistant Director
Multnomah County Department of
Community Justice, Juvenile Services Division

Charles Logan-Belford, Director
Umatilla County Juvenile Department

Tim Loewen, Director
Yamhill County Juvenile Department

Trish Reding, Director
Polk County Juvenile Department

Lisa Smith, Director
Lane County Juvenile Department

Jann Brown
Multnomah County Juvenile Justice Department

Karen Andall, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Field Operations

Phil Cox, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Program Office

Joan Palmateer, Assistant Director
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations

Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator
Department of Corrections

JJIS Staff

Jill Petersen, Manager
OYA Information Systems

Cherie Lingelbach, Manager
OYA Research & JJIS Policy & Standards

Paul Egbert, Manager
JJIS Implementation & Training

Don Crossley, Manager
JJIS Development

David Brooks, Manager
OYA Technical Services

JJIS Data & Evaluation Subcommittee

-- serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:

- guide the development of routine publications/statistics; specific research initiatives; design, reporting, and analysis of JJIS data in order to provide quality program and system evaluations and forecasting for system resources and prevention and intervention strategies; and
- function as an analytical Users Group that assesses development, implementation, and interpretation of reports for consistency, accuracy, and appropriateness in the areas of program evaluation, forecasting, policy analysis, impact analysis, and trend analysis.

Joe Christy
Data & Evaluation Subcommittee Chair
and Washington County
Juvenile Department Director

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Introduction

This document contains three sets of statewide and county specific reports describing dispositions. The first two reports describe dispositions assigned during the reporting year and the characteristics of the juveniles receiving the dispositions. The third describes categories of offenses that were assigned dispositions during the reporting year, descriptions of the dispositions that were assigned for each offense category, and the characteristics of the juveniles that committed these offenses. Sub-totals and grand-totals are provided for each category. Each statistic is broken down by the youth's sex, age at the time of disposition, and race. The three sets of reports are:

<p>Disposition of Youth by Demographics</p>	<p>The number of youth that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year.</p> <p>Youth on the Disposition of Youth report are reported in most intense disposition category imposed for that youth during the year in the county that imposed the disposition. The youth is counted only once on the Disposition of Youth report, regardless of the number of dispositions a youth is assigned during the year. If there was more than one disposition with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.</p>
<p>Disposition of Referrals by Demographics</p>	<p>The number of referrals that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year.</p> <p>Referrals on the Disposition of Referrals report are reported in the most intense disposition category imposed for that referral during the year. If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.</p>
<p>Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category</p>	<p>The number of referrals that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year by offense category. The report includes felony, misdemeanor, non-criminal violation and dependency status offense referrals that received dispositions in the year.</p> <p>The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral, categorized according to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Criminal Code of Oregon, as defined by the Oregon Legislature. Severity is determined by each offense's category, type, and class. (See Appendix A.)</p> <p>Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. (See Appendix B.) If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.</p> <p>This report also provides demographics of the youth who received dispositions including gender, age at disposition, and race/ethnicity.</p>

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

Disposition Group	Total	%	Sex			Age @ Disposition			Race/Ethnicity					
			Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
2008														
Dispositions of Youth by Demographics														
Gilliam														
Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated														
Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	5		2	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
Referred to Another Agency	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	2		0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	8		2	6	0	0	4	4	0	0	1	0	1	6
%		53.3%	25.0%	75.0	0.0	0.0%	50.0	50.0	0.0%	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	75.0
Authorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition														
Formal Accountability Agreement	4		0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1
Total	4		0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1
%		26.7%	0.0%	75.0	25.0	50.0%	0.0	50.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0
Adjudicated Delinquent														
Probation	3		1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
Total	3		1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
%		20.0%	33.3%	66.7	0.0	0.0%	33.3	66.7	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7
Total of Youth Dispositions for Gilliam	15		3	11	1	2	5	8	0	0	1	1	4	9
%		100.0%	20.0%	73.3	6.7	13.3%	33.3	53.3	0.0%	0.0	6.7	6.7	26.7	60.0

Disposition Group	Total	%	Sex			Age @ Disposition			Race/Ethnicity					
			Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White
2008														
Dispositions of Referrals by Demographics														
Gilliam														
Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated														
Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	7		2	5	0	0	5	2	0	0	3	0	1	3
Referred to Another Agency	1		0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	2		0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total	10		2	8	0	0	6	4	0	0	3	0	1	6
%		58.8%	20.0%	80.0	0.0	0.0%	60.0	40.0	0.0%	0.0	30.0	0.0	10.0	60.0
Authorized Diversion or Other Informal Disposition														
Formal Accountability Agreement	4		0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1
Total	4		0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	1
%		23.5%	0.0%	75.0	25.0	50.0%	0.0	50.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0
Adjudicated Delinquent														
Probation	3		1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
Total	3		1	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
%		17.6%	33.3%	66.7	0.0	0.0%	33.3	66.7	0.0%	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7
Total of Referral Dispositions for Gilliam	17		3	13	1	2	7	8	0	0	3	1	4	9
%		100.0%	17.6%	76.5	5.9	11.8%	41.2	47.1	0.0%	0.0	17.6	5.9	23.5	52.9

Offense Group	Total	Sex			Age @ Disposition			Race/Ethnicity						Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated							Authorized Diversion or Other Informal Dispositions					Adjudicated Delinquent					Adult Court			
		Females	Males	Unknown	12 and Younger	13 - 15	16 and Older	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Native American	Other/Unknown	White	Dismissed or No Jurisdiction	Referred to Another Agency	Review & Close	Warning	Divert & Close	Intake Office Contact & close	Rejected by DA	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process	Diversion	Diversion - Youth Court	Diversion - Traffic / Municipal Court	Informal Sanction(s)/Supervision	FAA	Formal Sanction	Probation	Commit/Other Agency(non-OYA)	Prob. Commit Oth. Agency (Non-OYA)	Prob. OYA Commit/Placement	OYA YCF Commit	Waived/Transfer	Adult Sentence
2008 Dispositions of Referrals by Offense Category																																		
<u>Gilliam Criminal Person</u>																																		
Assault	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person Other	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	4	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
%		0%	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<u>Property</u>																																		
Burglary	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal Mischief	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Criminal Trespass	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Theft	3	1	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	8	1	6	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
%		12.5%	75.0	0.0	25.0	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
<u>Substance/Alcohol</u>																																		
Substance/Alcohol	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
%		100.0%	0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Criminal	14	3	10	1	2	7	5	0	0	3	1	4	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0		
%	82.4%	21.4%	71.4	7.1	14.3	50.0	35.7	0	0	21.4	7.1	28.6	42.9	42.9	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
<u>Non-Criminal</u>																																		
<u>Alcohol/MIP</u>																																		
Alcohol/MIP	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
%		0%	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
<u>Tobacco</u>																																		
Tobacco	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Appendix A — JJIS Severity Scores

(adopted, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association, May 18, 2000)

Crime Category	Class & Type	Severity Score
Person	Murder*	19
Person	A Felony	18
Person	B Felony	17
Person	C Felony	16
Person	U Felony	15
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	A Felony	14
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	B Felony	13
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	C Felony	12
Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)	U Felony	11
Person	A Misdemeanor	10
Person	B Misdemeanor	9
Person	C Misdemeanor	8
Person	U Misdemeanor	7
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	A Misdemeanor	6
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	B Misdemeanor	5
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	C Misdemeanor	4
Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)	U Misdemeanor	3
Non Criminal	Violation	2
	Status Offense	1

* Aggravated Murder, Murder, Murder by Abuse, Murder in the Course of a Crime, Murder Intentional, Criminal Homicide, and Treason have the Type of Murder (instead of Felony), in order to obtain the highest severity score.

** Inchoates:

Attempts and Solicitations = 1 Class lower
Conspiracies = Same Class and Type

Appendix B — Notes About the Information

Rounding: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Statewide Youth and Referral Counts: Youth may have received a disposition in more than one county. On the Statewide Disposition of Youth report, the youth is counted only once on the report in the county that imposed the most intense disposition. The Disposition of Referrals report counts all referrals disposed in the county that imposed the disposition.

County: The county reported is the county responsible for assigning the youth’s disposition. If the county was recorded on the disposition it was selected as the responsible county.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and Ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths’ self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded Ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded Race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. The categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future when state standards are defined.

Disposition Categories: These reports categorize dispositions according to a standard developed by the JJIS Data and Evaluation Committee, and modeled after national reporting standards. Detailed dispositions have been grouped into the disposition reporting categories.

For all reports, dispositions are grouped into categories consistent with national reporting categories as follows. Categories are listed from least to most intense level of juvenile justice intervention.

Dismissed, Not Petitioned or Not Adjudicated	Dismissed or No Jurisdiction
	Referred to Another Agency
	Review & Close
	Warning
	Divert & Close
	Intake Office Contact & Close
	Rejected by DA
	Plea Bargain or Alternative Process
Authorized Diversion Programs or Other Informal Disposition	Diversion Supervision
	Diversion – Youth Court
	Diversion – Traffic/Municipal Court
	Informal Sanction(s)/ Supervision
	Formal Accountability Agreement
Adjudicated Delinquent	Formal Sanction
	Probation
	Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
	Probation and Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)
	Probation and Youth Authority Commitment for Community Placement
	Youth Authority Commitment for Youth Correctional Facility Placement
Adult Court	Waived/Transfer
	Adult Sentence

Dispositions Included on the Report:

- Dispositions that were assigned in the year. (Note: The referrals on which these dispositions have been imposed may have been received prior to the reporting year.)

- Dispositions for referrals that contain allegations of criminal offenses; violations; and dependency status offenses of runaway, beyond parental control, and behavior to endanger self or others. Dispositions for referrals for all other dependency matters and local ordinances are excluded from these reports, except as noted below.
- Disposition and Offense Categories: Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral. Referral offenses may change between referral and disposition. If a referral contained a qualifying allegation that was dismissed and a local ordinance that was assigned a more intense disposition, the report will display local ordinance as the most serious offense. However, as noted above, if a referral contained only a local ordinance, it is excluded from this report.

Example 1 - Referral with One Offense

Referral Allegation	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Burglary 1 - (Property)	Burglary 1 - (Property)	Probation	Probation	Burglary 1 - (Property)

Example 2 - Referral with Two Offenses

Referral Allegations	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Burglary 1 - (Property)		Probation	Probation	Burglary 1 - (Property)
Assault 1 – (Person)	Assault 1 – (Person)	Dismissed		

Example 3 - Referral with Two Offenses and a Local Ordinance Violation

Referral Allegations	Most Serious Referral Allegation	Disposition	Most Intense Disposition	Most Serious Disposed Allegation
Assault 4 - (Person)	Assault 4 - (Person)	Dismissed		
Runaway – (Dependency Status)		Referred to Another Agency		
Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)		Formal Accountability Agreement	Formal Accountability Agreement	Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)

Cautions: Disposition data is challenging to present for the following reasons.

- A youth may receive more than one disposition for the same referral. Different allegations on the same referral occasionally receive different dispositions.
- A referral may be disposed of with a less intense disposition because another related referral is disposed of with a more intense disposition.
- A disposition may change over time. A disposition may be replaced with a more intense disposition following non-compliance with the initial disposition (i.e., probation violation).
- A youth may receive more intensive dispositions if he/she is a repeat offender.

These reports examine the interrelationship between different referrals, types of offenses and judicial and juvenile department dispositions.